





Verifica e validazione sull'accoppiamento del codice di sistema RELAP5 e il codice di fluidodinamica computazionale FLUENT applicato su sistemi a piscina

> N. Forgione, M. Angelucci, D. Martelli, D. Rozzia, F. D'Auria, W. Ambrosini



Report RdS/PAR2015/046

VERIFICA E VALIDAZIONE SULL'ACCOPPIAMENTO DEL CODICE DI SISTEMA RELAP5 E IL CODICE DI FLUIDODINAMICA COMPUTAZIONALE FLUENT APPLICATO SU SISTEMI A PISCINA

N. Forgione, M. Angelucci, D. Martelli, D. Rozzia, F. D'Auria, W. Ambrosini (CIRTEN-UNIPI)

Settembre 2016

Report Ricerca di Sistema Elettrico

Accordo di Programma Ministero dello Sviluppo Economico - ENEA Piano Annuale di Realizzazione 2015 Area: Generazione di Energia Elettrica con Basse Emissioni di Carbonio Progetto: Sviluppo competenze scientifiche nel campo della sicurezza nucleare e collaborazione ai programmi internazionali per il nucleare di IV Generazione. Linea: Collaborazione ai programmi internazionali per il nucleare di IV Generazione Obiettivo: Termoidraulica del Refrigerante Responsabile del Progetto: Mariano Tarantino, ENEA

Il presente documento descrive le attività di ricerca svolte all'interno dell'Accordo di collaborazione "Sviluppo competenze scientifiche nel campo della sicurezza nucleare e collaborazione ai programmi internazionali per i nucleare di IV Generazione" Responsabile scientifico ENEA: Mariano Tarantino Responsabile scientifico CIRTEN: Giuseppe Forasassi



ENEN Ricerca Sistema Elettrico

Pag.

1

Titolo

VERIFICA E VALIDAZIONE SULL'ACCOPPIAMENTO DEL CODICE DI SISTEMA RELAP5 E IL CODICE DI FLUIDODINAMICA COMPUTAZIONALE FLUENT APPLICATO SU SISTEMI A PISCINA

Ente emittente Università di Pisa (CIRTEN)

PAGINA DI GUARDIA

Descrittori

Tipologia del documento:	Rapporto Tecnico
Collocazione contrattuale:	Accordo di programma ENEA-MSE su sicurezza nucleare e
	reattori di IV generazione
Argomenti trattati:	Generation IV reactors, Tecnologia del piombo,
-	Termoidraulica dei reattori nucleari

Sommario

This report, carried out at the DICI (Dipartimento di Ingegneria Civile e Industriale) of the University of Pisa, illustrates the activities performed in the framework of the AdP2015 and dealing with the application of an inhouse developed coupling methodology between a modified version of RELAP5/Mod3.3 and Fluent commercial CFD code, to a pool system, the CIRCE (CIRCulation Experiment) experimental facility. This document initially call up the coupling methodology used, which was developed and improved in the past years. The main applications of the coupling tool to the CIRCE pool system are the main topic of this report. A simplified model with a 2D CFD domain was employed for the simulation of a long transient, representing a PLOHS+LOFA scenario, and the main results are compared with experimental data. A 3D CFD model of the pool was also developed and used to perform shorter transients, both isothermal and non-isothermal tests. Numerical results are compared with the ones from the simulations with the 2D CFD domain and from experiments. The coupled calculations showed interesting results, with some discrepancy with respect to the experiments, due to some simplifications in the modelling. This outcome gives an input for the improvement of the next simulations. In regards to this, the computational domain of the new test section CIRCE-HERO is under development and is presented in the last part of this document.

Note

Riferimento CIRTEN: CERSE-UNIPI RL 1547/2016

Autori:

N. Forgione, M. Angelucci, D. Martelli, D. Rozzia, F. D'Auria, W. Ambrosini

Copia n.

In carico a:

2			NOME			
			FIRMA			
1			NOME			
-			FIRMA			
0		26/00/16	NOME	M. Tarantino		M. Tarantino
•	EMISSIONE	20/03/10	FIRMA	Allower Datas -		Allower Datas
REV.	DESCRIZIONE	DATA		CONVALIDA	VISTO	APPROVAZIONE



Index

Su	mma	ry	
1.	Intr	oduction	4
2.	Cou	pling procedure	5
3.	Cou	pled calculations with 2D CFD model	7
	3.1.	Computational Domain	7
	3.2.	CIRCE Test I experiment	
	3.3.	Boundary conditions	9
	3.4.	Main results of "Test I"	9
4.	Cou	pled calculations with 3D CFD model	
	4.1.	Computational domain	
	4.2.	Isothermal test	
	4.3.	Numerical results of "Test 1" calculation	
5.	CIR	RCE-HERO model for coupled calculations	
	5.1.	RELAP5 3.3 nodalization of CIRCE-HERO	
	5.2.	Geometrical domain for CIRCE-HERO coupled calculations	
6.	Con	nclusions	
Re	feren	ices	



Summary

This report, carried out at the DICI (Dipartimento di Ingegneria Civile e Industriale) of the University of Pisa, illustrates the activities performed in the framework of the AdP2015 and dealing with the application of an in-house developed coupling methodology between a modified version of RELAP5/Mod3.3 and Fluent commercial CFD code, to a pool system, the CIRCE (CIRCulation Experiment) experimental facility. This document initially call up the coupling methodology used, which was developed and improved in the past years. The main applications of the coupling tool to the CIRCE pool system are the main topic of this report. A simplified model with a 2D CFD domain was employed for the simulation of a long transient, representing a PLOHS+LOFA scenario, and the main results are compared with experimental data. A 3D CFD model of the pool was also developed and used to perform shorter transients, both isothermal and non-isothermal tests. Numerical results are compared with the ones from the simulations with the 2D CFD domain and from experiments. The coupled calculations showed interesting results, with some discrepancy with respect to the experiments, due to some simplifications in the modelling. This outcome gives an input for the improvement of the next simulations. In regards to this, the computational domain of the new test section CIRCE-HERO is under development and is presented in the last part of this document.

1. Introduction

This report describes the work performed at University of Pisa in the framework of the AdP2015 on the application of STH code and CFD code coupled calculations to a pool system.

From 2012, at DICI (Dipartimento di Ingegneria Civile e Industriale) of the University of Pisa, an inhouse coupling methodology between a modified version of RELAP5/Mod3.3 and Fluent commercial CFD code have been developed. The first developed coupling method used an explicit scheme for the advancement in time. Coupled calculations were performed with application to a simplified representation of the NACIE facility, with good agreement of the obtained results with experimental data [1]. In 2013 the numerical model was improved modifying the correlations used by the RELAP5/Mod.3.3 system code concerning the thermodynamic properties of lead, LBE and sodium, with several new simulations of the NACIE facility [2]. In 2014 the coupling model was improved with an implicit numerical scheme in order to enhance the stability of the coupling procedure. Also, both the explicit and implicit schemes were parallelized in order to perform multi processor CFD calculations inside the coupled model. Again, experimental data from NACIE facility were used to validate the developed numerical model [3]. In 2015, some modifications were implemented in the coupling methodology, in particular for the management of the exchanged data. The new procedure allowed to reduce the time needed for the data exchange between CFD and STH codes with benefit on the duration of the coupled simulations. Further, the coupled calculations were applied to a pool system (CIRCE facility), using a simplified 2D domain for the CFD simulation [4].

This work focused on thermal-hydraulic analysis on a pool system, with application on the CIRCE facility at ENEA Brasimone. Nevertheless, this report does not include a description of the CIRCE facility, since it could be find in several documents, e.g. [4-5].

STH codes are generally inadequate to investigate mixing and thermal stratification phenomena in large pool systems. On the other, the exclusive use of CFD codes requires excessive computational effort. The coupling between two or more scale thus appears to be a promising technique when the small scale phenomena take place in a limited part of the domain. In this report the simulation of a PLOHS + LOF transient, with the use of a 2D CFD model, is presented in Section 3. Further, a 3D CFD model of the CIRCE facility was developed, both isothermal and non-isothermal tests were simulated and the main results are presented in Section 4. Section 5 describes the developed RELAP5/Mod.3.3 model for the entire facility CIRCE+HERO test section and how it will be modified in order to prepare the computational domain for coupled calculations. The development of a new 3D CFD domain, which is necessary for the same purpose, is also presented in Section 5. The main conclusions of this work are summarized in Section 6.

2. Coupling procedure

The logic scheme used for the coupling model is represented in Figure 1. It highlights the thermodynamic variables exchanged at the interfaces between the two domains. This scheme differs from the logic used for applications to a loop system (NACIE) [1-3] and is the same used in [4]. The logic that was used for NACIE coupled calculations was unstable when applied to CIRCE calculations. Indeed, a small variation of the pressure given by RELAP5 at the outlet of the pool resulted in very large variation of the LBE mass rate entering the pool, mainly due to its large dimension [4]. Following the procedure in Figure 1, Fluent gives to RELAP5 the pressures both at the inlet and outlet section of the test section (ICE), while at the same time RELAP5 gives the mass flows (velocities) at the inlet and outlet sections of the pool (CFD domain). The temperature at the exit of the heat exchanger is given by RELAP5 to the Fluent pool inlet and vice versa, the temperature at the interface in the bottom (test section inlet) is given to RELAP5 by the pool outlet section of the Fluent domain. Moreover, atmospheric pressure for the cover gas is set as boundary condition to both the domains: at the top of the pool, in the Volume Of Fluid (VOF) region in the Fluent domain, and at the top of the separator of the test section in the RELAP5 domain. Guaranteed that the depth of the two interfaces is the same for both the domains, so that the pressure due to the column of fluid is correctly considered in both sides, this procedure is stable.



Figure 1: Exchanged variables for the RELAP5-Fluent coupled model for calculation on the CIRCE facility.

The numerical method adopted for the coupled simulation is the implicit scheme shown in Figure 2. It is widely described in [3] and [4] and it has not been modified for this work. In an implicit numerical scheme each inner iteration should be repeated until specified convergence criteria is satisfied.

	Sigla di identificazione	Rev.	Distrib.	Pag.	di
ENEN Ricerca Sistema Elettrico	ADPFISS – LP2 – 135	0	L	6	28

Nevertheless, in order to simplify the programming, a fixed number of inner iterations for each time step could be preferred. As in [3] and [4], also in this study three inner iterations were chosen, following a sensitivity analysis. This choice was a good compromise between CPU time and accuracy of results. The Fluent code is the "master code" and advances firstly by one time step and then the RELAP5 code (slave code) advances for the same time step period, using data received from the master code Fluent.



Figure 2: Implicit coupling scheme.

The logic to manage the Fluent code exclusively from Matlab, developed in [4], was used also in this work and is displayed in Figure 3. An add-on of Fluent called CORBA allows the creation of an interface between Matlab and Fluent as a Server. With this module it is possible to manage the Fluent session from Matlab through TUI specific commands. This method brought about great advantage in terms of the global computational time with respect the method used previously, which required the use of a parallelized User Define Function (UDF) to exchange data between Fluent and RELAP5 [1-3].

		Sigla di identificazione	Rev.	Distrib.	Pag.	di
ENER	Ricerca Sistema Elettrico	ADPFISS – LP2 – 135	0	L	7	28
	FLUENT AS A SERVER SERVER - update b. c. - solve 1 iteration - save data and write txt - core BA CORBA - TUI commar - core bass to RELAP5	pled Code Scheme RELAPS RS ds ds ds ds ds ds ds ATLAB At each external iteration	RELAPS Inp	D 3.3		

Figure 3: Coupled code management through the Matlab software.

Results file

3. Coupled calculations with 2D CFD model

3.1. Computational Domain

In this work, the system code-CFD code coupled model has been applied to the experimental facility CIRCE. A detailed description of the facility can be found in [4-5]. Basically, the CIRCE facility consists of a main cylindrical vessel, with a diameter of about 1.2 m and 8 m high, filled with about 70 tons of molten LBE. The Integral Circulation Experiment (ICE) test section is placed into the main vessel and comprises a *Fuel Pin Simulator* (FPS), which represents the Heat Source (HS) of the system, a fitting volume, which allows the hydraulic connection between the HS and the riser. A gas injection system is connected to the riser and provide the hydraulic head to enhance the circulation. A separator is placed at the end of the riser and addresses the LBE flow in the heat exchanger (HX), the heat sink of the system. At the exit of the HX the LBE goes back into the main pool. Further, a Decay Heat Removal (DHR) heat exchanger is placed in the pool and it is hydraulically de-coupled from the primary system.

Figure 4 shows the computational domain with a 2D CFD domain. The ICE test section and the HX are modeled with RELAP5, while the main pool and the DHR are modeled with Fluent CFD code. Initially, a simplified 2D CFD geometrical domain was developed aiming at reducing the computational power required. It is a 2D axial-symmetric geometry, assuming the DHR's axis as axis of symmetry. A detailed description of both the RELAP5 and the Fluent domains, so as the grid independence study and other information are reported in Ref. [4]. The coupling procedure and the logic for the exchange of data between the two domains was previously described in Section 2.

		Sigla di identificazione	Rev.	Distrib.	Pag.	di
ENER	Ricerca Sistema Elettrico	ADPFISS – LP2 – 135	0	L	8	28



Figure 4: Sketch of the computational domain for 2D coupled calculations.

3.2. CIRCE Test I experiment

The "Test I" experiment performed in the CIRCE facility reproduces a PLOHS+LOF accidental scenario [6]. In the CIRCE-ICE facility, the transition from forced circulation (at nominal conditions) to natural circulation is obtained reducing the thermal power generated in the HS, stopping the argon injection into the riser, cutting off the main HX, and activating the DHR heat exchanger. Test I started with a steady state condition at full power (nominal value of 730 kW) and forced circulation. The circulation of the LBE was provided by the natural circulation and enhanced by the gas injection system. During the initial stage the argon flow rate was 1.78 NI/s and the resulting LBE mass flow rate was about 57 kg/s. The heat was removed by a heat exchanger, where the secondary water entered at 20 °C and a mass flow rate of 0.65 kg/s. The transient was triggered after about 7 hours. The FPS power was reduced to 50 kW and then the gas injection was switched off. At this time, the LBE flow was induced only by the natural circulation component and a LBE flow rate of about 7.5 kg/s established in the facility. Meanwhile, the HX was disabled by closing



the injection of the secondary water and the DHR-system was activated. The air mass flow rate through the DHR was about 0.223 kg/s and the air inlet temperature was 20 °C.

3.3. Boundary conditions

The aim of the work was to investigate the capability of the coupled calculation to simulate the main thermal-hydraulics phenomena occurring in a pool system, with particular focus on the transition from forced to natural circulation together with the thermal stratification inside the CIRCE pool. For this reason, the boundary conditions for the calculation tried to reproduce as realistic as possible the experimental ones. Nevertheless, in the simulation, the power input was 95% of the nominal value in order to consider the amount of power effectively released to the LBE inside the ICE section. In fact, part of the supplied electrical power is lost radially or released outside the active region due to losses in the cold tails. So, the initial power input was 693.5 kW, which is decreased to 47.5 kW at the beginning of the transient. The other boundary conditions followed accurately the experimental ones and so was the time sequence of the inputs.

3.4. Main results of "Test I"

This sections shows the main results coming from the simulation of Test 1. The first part of the calculation aimed at achieving the steady state condition at full power and forced circulation, while the second part starts at about 24500 s, which is the beginning of the transient stage. It should be reminded that the boundary conditions for the steady state configuration (argon flow rate, power in the heat source, BCs for the secondary water) are all implemented in the RELAP5 domain. For the transient, the same inputs are modified in the RELAP5 domain, while the DHR inputs (air mass flow rate and inlet temperature) are set in the Fluent domain. Figure 5 shows the LBE mass flow rate at the entrance of the FPS. The coupled calculation can reproduce quite well the mass flow rate with a mean value of 53.4 kg/s against the average experimental value of 56.7 kg/s. In natural circulation flow at low power (50 kW nominal value), the calculated mass flow is 5.8 kg/s while the experimental one is about 7.0 kg/s.



Figure 5: LBE mass flow rate in the ICE test section.



Figure 6 reports the LBE temperature at the inlet and outlet of the fuel pin simulator (left) and of the heat exchanger (right), both belonging to the RELAP5 domain. The qualitative trends are in agreement with the experimental data for the first part of the simulation, while the increase of the LBE temperature is different during the transient. In the experiment, after a sudden variation at the beginning of the transient, the temperatures tend to stabilize and the increase is quite slow. In the calculation, after the sudden variation at the beginning of the transient the rise of the LBE temperature inside the test section is faster with respect to the experimental data (at time > 25000 s). Concerning the quantitative aspects, the temperature in the lower part of the pool, comprising the FPS inlet, seems to be underestimated by the calculation. This aspect is analyzed better in the following (see Figure 8).



Figure 6: LBE temperature across the fuel pin simulator (left) and across the heat exchanger (right).

Figure 7 shows the time trend of the power removed through the heat exchanger. The calculation overestimates the power removed and is equal to the power produced in the heat source. Instead, not all the power produced in the FSP is removed in the HX in the experiment, because part of the heat is transferred to the pool through radial losses. This aspect affects the temperature profile inside the pool. This phenomenon can be detected in Figure 8 which displays the time trend of LBE temperature at several axial positions inside the CIRCE pool. The experimental values are calculated considering the average among the values measured by the TCs distributed over the section at every monitored axial position.



ENEN Ricerca Sistema Elettrico



Figure 7: Power removed by the heat exchanger.



	Sigla di identificazione	Rev.	Distrib.	Pag.	di
ENEN Ricerca Sistema Elettrico	ADPFISS – LP2 – 135	0	L	12	28



Figure 8: Time trend of LBE temperature at several axial position inside the CIRCE pool.

Figure 9 reports the axial profiles of the LBE temperature at the steady state configuration (blue line) and after the start of the transient. Figure on the left displays the experimental results, considering once again the arithmetic average among the TCs distributed in each section, while the right figure shows results from calculation. LBE temperature distribution in the 2D CFD domain of the coupled calculation is reported in Figure 10. The calculation generally predicts colder temperature in the lower plenum, and the main temperature gradient is seen across the HX exit region. Instead, during the experiments the main gradient starts in the HX outlet area but extends up to the pool free surface. Moreover, the gradient in the upper plenum disappears once the HX is deactivated, confirming that this behaviour is due to the radial losses through the HX and the separator. This phenomenon is not simulated in the coupled calculation, leading to the described differences in the comparison of the numerical results with the experiment.



experimental profiles (left) and numerical results (right).



Figure 10: LBE temperature distribution inside the 2D CFD domain of the CIRCE pool.

Concerning the simulation of the decay heat removal system, Figure 11 shows the air mass flow rate (left), which was a boundary condition, and the difference between outlet and inlet temperatures across the DHR. The numerical results underestimate the air outlet temperature and the DHR efficiency (see Figure 12 left), as well the LBE mass rate which flows inside the DHR shell (Figure 12 right).



Figure 11: Air mass flow rate (left) and air temperature difference across the DHR (right).

	Sigla di identificazione	Rev.	Distrib.	Pag.	di
ENEN Ricerca Sistema Elettrico	ADPFISS – LP2 – 135	0	L	14	28



Figure 12: Power removed by the DHR (left) and LBE mass flow rate through the DHR (right).

The comparison between the LBE temperature at the inlet and outlet of the DHR is displayed in Figure 13. The calculated inlet temperature is higher than the experimental value, according to the results shown in Figure 9 (green line), while the outlet temperature is higher than the experimental one, due to the lower power removed (Figure 12 left). The discrepancy can be explained by the incorrect temperature prediction and/or incorrect modelling of the turbulence heat exchange. This aspect will be carefully analyzed in further analyses.



Figure 13: LBE temperature at inlet and outlet of the DHR.

4. Coupled calculations with 3D CFD model

4.1. Computational domain

Figure 14 shows the computational domain with a 3D CFD domain of the pool of CIRCE, which is the main difference with respect to the model is described in Section 3.1. In this model, the ICE test section and the DHR have the real dimensions and the correct position with respect to the pool. The grid is composed by 1450000 cells, both with tetrahedral and hexahedral elements



Figure 14: Sketch of the computational domain for 3D coupled calculations.

4.2. Isothermal test

The first coupled simulation performed with the new 3D CFD model was an isothermal experimental test in gas enhanced circulation flow. The boundary conditions of the test are reported in Table 1.

T [°C]	FPS Power %	HX Power %	Gas_lift [Nl/s]				
200		Step 1	1.9	Step 7	4.5		
		0		Step 2	2.3	Step 8	4.9
	0		Step 3	2.7	Step 9	4.1	
200			Step 4	3.1	Step 10	3.1	
			Step 5	3.7	Step 11	2.2	
			Step 6	4.1	-	-	

Table 1: Experimental boundary conditions of the isothermal test for gas enhanced circulation flow.

In the calculation, the argon mass flow rate is set in the RELAP5 domain, through the time dependent junction 4 (see Figure 14). The resulting LBE mass flow rate is compared with the experimental results. Figure 15 shows the comparison between the experimental trend and the input in the calculation of the argon mass flow rate. The input of the simulation was obtained as the

	Sigla di identificazione	Rev.	Distrib.	Pag.	di
ENEN Ricerca Sistema Elettrico	ADPFISS – LP2 – 135	0	L	16	28

average of the experimental value for each step. Figure 16 and Figure 17 report the main results of this test. Figure 16 display the time trend of the LBE mass flow rate. The results are compared with the experimental values, with the RELAP5 standalone calculations and with the coupled calculations performed with the 2D CFD domain (described in [4]). Figure 17 shows the average value of the LBE mass flow rate for each step computed by the RELAP5 standalone and the coupled simulations. The numerical results are plotted as function of the experimental values. All the numerical results set within the error bands of the experimental measurements, which is 3.8% of the average value and is represented by the two dashed grey lines in the figure.



Figure 15: Time trend of the argon mass flow rate during the isothermal test



Figure 16: Time trend of the LBE mass flow rate obtained during the isothermal test.

	Sigla di identificazione	Rev.	Distrib.	Pag.	di
ENEN Ricerca Sistema Elettri	ADPFISS – LP2 – 135	0	L	17	28



Figure 17: Average values of the LBE mass flow rate during the isothermal test.

4.3. Numerical results of "Test 1" calculation

The "Test I" experiment performed in the CIRCE facility, described in Section 3.2, was simulated also using the 3D CFD domain. Due to the high CPU time required for 3D CFD simulations, this coupled calculation was restricted just to the transient stage and only the first 500 s of simulation were performed. The aim of this simulation was to analyze the differences between the 2D and 3D coupled calculations in reproducing the transition from forced to natural circulation and thermal stratification during the PLOHS+LOF accidental scenario. The initial steady-state conditions at full power and forced circulation were set independently in both the RELAP5 and CFD domains, paying attention that the conditions of pressure and LBE mass flow rate at the interfaces were corresponding. This last condition prevents the onset of instabilities. Even in this case, the power input was 95% of the nominal value in order to consider the amount of power effectively released to the LBE inside the ICE section. So, the initial power input was 693.5 kW, which is decreased to 47.5 kW at the beginning of the transient. The argon gas flow rate, the secondary water mass flow rate and the water inlet temperature are boundary conditions of the RELAP5 domain, while the air mass flow rate and the air inlet temperature are boundary conditions of the CFD domain. Moreover, the initial LBE temperature in the pool (CFD domain) was set as initial condition of the transient simulation. The temperature profile assigned to the pool followed an axial trend with a homogeneous value in each section. The axial values were assigned from the average value of the TCs measurements for each monitored section at the steady-state configuration. The same simulation was set also together with a 2D CFD in order simplify the comparison between 2D and 3D coupled calculations, since the calculation described in Section 3.4 is characterized by slightly different boundary conditions.

	Sigla di identificazione	Rev.	Distrib.	Pag.	di
ENEN Ricerca Sistema Elettrico	ADPFISS – LP2 – 135	0	L	18	28

The main results of the 3D and 2D coupled simulations of the first 500 s of Test 1 are reported in the following. Figure 18 shows the LBE mass flow rate at the entrance of the FPS. The coupled calculations reproduce quite well the mass flow rate inside the test section, with a starting value of about 54 kg/s against the average experimental value of 56.7 kg/s. The transition to natural circulation flow at low power (50 kW nominal value) is well predicted up to the value of about 10 kg/s at the end of the performed simulation.



Figure 18: LBE mass flow rate in the ICE test section.

Figure 19 reports the LBE temperature at the inlet and outlet of the fuel pin simulator (left) and of the heat exchanger (right), both belonging to the RELAP5 domain. The trends are in quite agreement with the experimental data, even if the calculations predict a sudden decrease of the LBE temperature at the outlet of the heat exchanger after that the feedwater was disabled. This discrepancy could be again linked to the radial heat exchange through the shell of the heat exchanger, which is not modelled in the simulations. It can be seen in Figure 20 that in the first 100 s all the calculated power generated in the FPS is removed by the heat exchanger, while it is lower experimentally. The rate of the decrease of power removed by the heat exchanger is slower in the calculations but the final value tends toward the experimental one.

		Sigla di identificazione	Rev.	Distrib.	Pag.	di
ENEA	Ricerca Sistema Elettrico	ADPFISS – LP2 – 135	0	L	19	28



Figure 19: LBE temperature across the fuel pin simulator (left) and across the heat exchanger (right).



Figure 20: Time trend of the power removed by the heat exchanger.

Figure 21 and Figure 22 report the axial profiles of the LBE temperature at the beginning of the transient (blue line), 250 s (green line) and 500 s (red line) after the beginning. Figure 21 displays the experimental results, while Figure 22 results from calculation, with the 2D CFD domain (left) and 3D CFD domain (right). LBE temperature distribution in the CFD domains of the coupled calculation is reported in Figure 23 (2D on the left and 3D on the right). As already explained in Section 3.4, in the experiment, the gradient in the upper plenum disappears once the HX is de-activated, and this behaviour is due to the radial losses through the HX. Since this phenomenon is not simulated in the coupled calculations, the gradient in the upper pool does not disappear and the flattening of the temperature profile is slower.

	Sigla di identificazione	Rev.	Distrib.	Pag.	di
Ricerca Sistema Elettrico	ADPFISS – LP2 – 135	0	L	20	28



Figure 21: Experimental profile of the axial distribution of LBE temperature in the CIRCE pool.



Figure 22: Numerical results of the axial distribution of LBE temperature in the CIRCE pool: 2D CFD domain (left) and 3D CFD domain (right).





The results about the operation of the decay heat removal system are reported from Figure 24 to Figure 26. Figure 24 shows the air mass flow rate (left), which is set as boundary condition, the difference between outlet and inlet temperatures across the DHR on the right. The numerical results underestimate considerably the DHR efficiency. It can be noticed from the air temperature difference across the DHR, form the total power removed by the heat exchanger (Figure 25 left) and from the LBE mass flow rate inside the DHR shell (Figure 25 right). Consequently the decrease of the LBE outlet temperature is much slower in the calculations with respect to the experiment (in Figure 26).



Figure 24: Air mass flow rate (left) and air temperature difference across the DHR (right).

		Sigla di identificazione	Rev.	Distrib.	Pag.	di
ENEL	Ricerca Sistema Elettrico	ADPFISS – LP2 – 135	0	L	22	28



Figure 25: Power removed by the DHR (left) and LBE mass flow rate through the DHR (right).



Figure 26: LBE temperature at across the DHR.

In spite of a good qualitative comparison between the numerical results and the experiment, concerning the main thermal-hydraulic parameters of DHR system, a quantitative discrepancy can be noticed. This gap was explained a posteriori with an inadequate simulation of the turbulent heat transfer. In natural circulation regime, the efficiency of the heat exchanger affects also the hydraulic head and so the LBE mass flow rate. Moreover, some differences are observed also between the 2D and 3D calculations. Being the boundary conditions the same in both the simulations, this discrepancy seems to be more related to the difference in pressure drops, such as the ones at DHR inlet. As show in Figure 27, the slots for the LBE entrance are represented accurately in the 3D model, while is modeled through an equivalent opening in the 2D domain. Nevertheless, all these aspects need to be still carefully analyzed in order to confirm the hypotheses and then improves the modeling of the pool system.

	Sigla di identificazione	Rev.	Distrib.	Pag.	di
ENEN Ricerca Sistema Elettrico	ADPFISS – LP2 – 135	0	L	23	28



Figure 27: LBE inlet in the DHR for the 2D domain (right) and the 3D domain (left).

5. CIRCE-HERO model for coupled calculations

The CIRCE-HERO configuration consists in the installation of the test section HERO inside the CIRCE facility. The remaining part of the primary system is the same described in [5]. HERO is a heat exchanger made of seven double wall bayonet tubes enclosed in a hexagonal shell. It represents, as much as possible, the ALFRED SG tubes (1:1 in length). A complete description of the CIRCE-HERO facility can be found in [7], while Figure 28 shows a sketch of the CIRCE facility with the HERO test section.



Figure 28: Sketch of the HERO-CIRCE test section.



5.1. RELAP5 3.3 nodalization of CIRCE-HERO

A preliminary RELAP5 nodalization of CIRCE-HERO have been modeled and described in [7]. It is composed by 11 macro-regions: five of them are devoted to modeling of the pool, four parts model the entire test section (included the LBE side of HERO), then the water side of HERO and the air side of the DHR. Figure 29 shows a scheme of the nodalization and some relevant parts are highlighted. This nodalization was employed to perform preliminary RELAP5 standalone calculations on CIRCE-HERO. Nevertheless, this nodalization is not definitive and some work is still ongoing in order to finalize it.



Figure 29: RELAP5 3.3 nodalization of CIRCE-HERO

5.2. Geometrical domain for CIRCE-HERO coupled calculations

The ongoing work is finalized to perform coupled calculations of CIRCE-HERO. For this purpose, the RELAP5 nodalization needs to be modified. In particular, the part that models the test section (FPS, riser, separator HERO) and the secondary side of the heat exchanger will be simulated by RELAP5. Similarly, to the procedure adopted to simulate the ICE configuration, the pool and the DHR will be modeled with the CFD code. Figure 30 displays the computational domain foreseen for the RELAP5-ANSYS Fluent coupled calculations. The coupling procedure is the same adopted for previous calculations in a pool system (see Section 3.1), so are the exchanged data (see Figure 4). Regarding the modeling of the pool, the geometrical domain and the main dimensions have been already completed. More analyses are focusing on the spatial discretization. The objective is to reach a good compromise between accuracy and CPU time required for the calculation, which is the main drawback of the 3D modeling. Figure 31 shows one of the grid obtained, which is a nonconformal mesh characterized by both tetrahedral a hexahedral elements. The domain was divided



into many parts with the aim to maximize the use of hexahedral meshes. Approximately, a satisfactory grid is composed by about 2.4M cells and 1.2M nodes.



Figure 30: Computational domain of the CIRCE-HERO facility for coupled calculations.



Figure 31: Spatial discretization of the new 3D CFD domain, for the CIRCE-HERO calculations.



6. Conclusions

The present work focuses on the application of a coupling methodology between CFD codes and thermal-hydraulic system codes to the pool-type facility CIRCE. The analysis was performed using the coupling methodology developed and improved in the past years at the "Dipartimento di Ingegneria Civile e Industriale" of University of Pisa.

The coupled calculation of a PLOHS+LOF accidental scenario inside CIRCE facility was accomplished and the main results were compared with the experimental data. In the coupled calculations, the CFD domain includes just the modeling of the pool, with the aim to predict the thermal stratification phenomena occurring in the pool during an accidental scenario. A first calculation was performed with a 2D axis-symmetric domain of the pool region. The calculation allows representing more accurately the boundary conditions of the test and the phenomena involved during the transient with respect to the CFD and RELAP5 standalone simulations, with a qualitative agreement of the numerical results with the experimental data. Nevertheless, there are still some quantitative discrepancies, mainly due to some simplifications in the modeling. The outcome of this analysis will be taken into account to improve the model for the future simulations.

A 3D CFD model of the pool was also developed, which allows to eliminate the inaccuracy related to the non-realistic axial-symmetric hypothesis and to analyze 3D phenomena. On the other hand, the 3D model requires a spatial discretization with higher number of cells, so it requires a longer CPU time. The 3D model was used also to simulate the first part of a PLOHS+LOF transient and to compare the results with an analogous computation performed using a 2D CFD domain. The main numerical results showed a good qualitative prediction of the phenomena occurring during the transient, with some quantitative discrepancies in the representation of the DHR system due to an inadequate modeling of the turbulent flow. Some differences are also detected between the 2D and 3D simulations, more likely due to the geometrical simplifications of the 2D modeling. Further work is still going on with the aim to find the best way to simulate the pool system CIRCE, saving as much as possible the computational time but preserving the adequate accuracy.

The lesson learned from the previous analyses has been helpful in the development of the model for the new experimental test section HERO, which is installed inside the CIRCE pool. A preliminary RELAP5 nodalization of CIRCE-HERO was developed, but some work is still ongoing to finalize it. Moreover, this model will be modified and adapted for the coupled calculations. In the meanwhile, a 3D CFD model of the pool have been built, with a focus on the spatial discretization, with the aim to reach a compromise between accuracy of the calculations and CPU time required. The future efforts are addressed to complete the modeling of both the RELAP5 and CFD domains and to apply the coupling tool to the facility CIRCE-HERO in order to analyze the performance of the new test section.

	Sigla di identificazione	Rev.	Distrib.	Pag.	di
ENEN Ricerca Sistema Elettrico	ADPFISS – LP2 – 135	0	L	27	28

Nomenclature

Abbreviations and acronyms

AdP	Accordo di Programma
CFD	Computational Fluid Dynamic
CIRCE	CIRCulation Experiment
CORBA	Common Object Request Broker Architecture
CPU	Central Process Unit
DHR	Decay Heat Removal
DICI	Dipartimento di Ingegneria Civile e Industriale
ENEA	Agenzia nazionale per le nuove tecnologie, l'energia e lo sviluppo sostenibile
FPS	Fuel Pin Simulator
HERO	Heavy liquid mEtal pRessurized water cOoled tubes
HS	Heat Source
HX	Heat Exchanger
ICE	Integral Circulation Experiment
LBE	Lead bismuth eutectic
LOF	Loss of Flow
NACIE	Natural Circulation Experiment
PLOHS	Protected Loss of Heat Sink
RELAP	Reactor Loss of Coolant Analysis Program
STH	System Thermal Hydraulic
TUI	Text User Interface
UDF	User Defined Function
VOF	Volume of Fluid



References

- [1] D. Martelli, N. Forgione, G. Barone e A. Del Nevo, «Pre-test analysis of thermal-hydraulic behaviour of the NACIE facility for the characterization of a fuel pin bundle,» Adp MSE-ENEA LP3-C4, 2012.
- [2] D. Martelli, N. Forgione, G. Barone e W. Ambrosini, «System codes and CFD codes applied to loop-and pool-type experimental facility,» Adp MSE-ENEA LP2.C1, 2013.
- [3] D. Martelli, N. Forgione, G. Barone e W. Ambrosini, «Validation of the coupled calculation between RELAP5 STH code and Ansys Fluent CFD code,» Adp MSE-ENEA LP2.C1, 2014.
- [4] F. Andreoli, G. Damiani, D. Martelli, N. Forgione, W. Ambrosini, « Preliminary verification and validation of coupled calculation between the RELAP5/Mod. 3.3 STH code and the CFD code ANSYS Fluent » Adp MSE-ENEA LP2.C1, 2015.
- [5] G. Bandini, I. Di Piazza, A. Del Nevo, M. Tarantino and P. Gaggini, "CIRCE experimental setup design and test matrix definition," ENEA UTIS-TIC Technical Report, IT-F-S-001, 28 02 2011.
- [6] N. Forgione, D. Martelli, W. Ambrosini and F. Oriolo, "Final report on qualification of CFD modelling approaches," THINS-Deliverable, D-N: 2.1.10, 19/02/2015.
- [7] D. Rozzia, A. Del Nevo, N. Forgione, "CIRCE Pre-Test calculation report", MYRTE-Milestone MS 3.17, 04/2016.