



RICERCA DI SISTEMA ELETTRICO

Reattori di piccola/media taglia. Possibili sviluppi e collaborazioni

M.E. Ricotti, S. Boarin, Mauro Cappelli



RdS/2012/143

REATTORI DI PICCOLA/MEDIA TAGLIA. POSSIBILI SVILUPPI E COLLABORAZIONI Mauro Cappelli ENEA M.E. Ricotti, S. Boarin CIRTEN Settembre 2012

Report Ricerca di Sistema Elettrico

Accordo di Programma Ministero dello Sviluppo Economico - ENEA

Area: Governo, Gestione e Sviluppo, del Sistema Elettrico Nazionale

Progetto: Nuovo Nucleare da Fissione: Collaborazioni Internazionali e sviluppo Competenze in Materia Nucleare

Responsabile del Progetto: Massimo Sepielli, ENEA



ENEN Ricerca Sistema Elettrico

Titolo

Reattori di piccola/media taglia. Possibili sviluppi e collaborazioni

Descrittori

Tipologia del documento:

Rapporto Tecnico

Collocazione contrattuale: ACCORDO DI PROGRAMMA Ministero dello Sviluppo Economico -ENEA sulla Ricerca di Sistema Elettrico PIANO ANNUALE DI REALIZZAZIONE 2011

Progetto 1.3.1: Energia nucleare: NUOVO NUCLEARE DA FISSIONE: COLLABORAZIONI INTERNAZIONALI E SVILUPPO COMPETENZE IN MATERIA NUCLEARE, PAR 2011.

Argomenti trattati: Small Modular Reactors (SMRs), IV Generazione, Scenari energetici, Valutazioni economiche

Sommario

Il presente documento descrive lo stato dell'arte sulla tecnologia degli Small Modular Reactors (SMRs), con uno studio curato dal CIRTEN (Politecnico di Milano) a valle di una serie di incontri scientifici internazionali sul tema organizzati dallo stesso Politecnico di Milano nel periodo 2011-2012.

La tecnologia degli Small Modular Reactors è oggi una concreta opzione sostenibile nel contesto del dopo-Fukushima e della crisi finanziaria planetaria, specialmente per quei Paesi che non detengono alcun impianto nucleare in funzione.

Considerare la scelta degli SMRs significa pertanto affrontare allo stesso tempo problematiche tecniche, di sicurezza ed economiche.

Lo studio che qui si propone intende affrontare tali aspetti della tecnologia SMR offrendo una rassegna aggiornata delle principali offerte presenti sul mercato e sui progetti di ricerca attualmente in corso, analizzandone le possibili chiavi di successo sul mercato globale per il prossimo futuro.

Note

Copia n.

In carico a:

2			NOME			
_			FIRMA			
1			NOME			
-			FIRMA			
0	FMICCIONE	25/0/2012	NOME	M. Cappelli	M. Ciotti	M. Sepielli
Ŭ	EMISSIONE	23/3/2012	FIRMA	Met	Items C.W	Infl.
REV.	DESCRIZIONE	DATA		REDAZIONE	CONVALIDA	APPROVAZIONE



CIRTEN

 \underline{C} onsorzio \underline{I} nteruniversitario per la \underline{R} icerca \underline{TE} cnologica \underline{N} ucleare

POLITECNICO DI MILANO DIPARTIMENTO DI ENERGIA, Sezione INGEGNERIA NUCLEARE-CeSNEF

Collaborazioni internazionali per studi su Small Modular Reactors (SMR)

M.E. Ricotti, S. Boarin

CERSE-POLIMI RL-1481/2012

Milano, Agosto 2012

Lavoro svolto in esecuzione della linea progettuale LP1– punto B.3 AdP MSE-ENEA "Ricerca di Sistema Elettrico" - PAR2011 Progetto 1.3.1 – "Nuovo Nucleare da Fissione: collaborazioni internazionali e sviluppo competenze in materia nucleare".





INDEX

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY 3 -
IAEA Technical Meeting on "Options to Enhance Energy Supply Security using NPPs based on SMRs"
List of the presentations, contributions and discussions
IAEA Workshop on "Technology Assessment of Small and Medium-sized Reactors (SMRs) for Near Term
Deployment"
List of the presentations, contributions and discussions
Presentation offered by POLIMI11
Results of the discussion on Economics and Constructability of LWR-SMRs
IAEA Consultants' Meeting on "Incorporating Lessons Learned from the Fukushima Accident in SMR
Technology Assessment for Design of Engineered Safety Systems"
List of the presentations, contributions and discussions
Presentation offered by POLIMI
POLIMI contribution on Lessons Learned from Fukushima and Possible countermeasures
OECD-NEA 7th Meeting of the Working Party on Nuclear Energy Economics
List of the presentations, contributions and discussions
Presentation offered by POLIMI116
Short Info on the Collaboration Agreements between Politecnico di Milano and some Small Modular
Reactors projects





EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The document reports the description of the main international meetings attended by POLIMI in the period 2011-2012, referred to international collaborations and corresponding contributions on the topic of Small Modular Reactors. The four meetings/workshops are:

INTERNATIONAL ATOMIC ENERGY AGENCY

Technical Meeting on "Options to Enhance Energy Supply Security using NPPs based on SMRs" IAEA Headquarters, Vienna, Austria, 3 – 6 October 2011, VIC, Building A: Room A0531 Scientific Secretaries: M. Hadid Subki (NENP/NPTDS), M. K. Laina (NENP/NPTDS)

INTERNATIONAL ATOMIC ENERGY AGENCY

Workshop on "Technology Assessment of Small and Medium-sized Reactors (SMRs) for Near Term Deployment" IAEA Headquarters, Vienna, Austria, 5 - 9 December 2011, VIC, Building M, Room M6 Scientific Secretaries: M. Hadid Subki (NENP/NPTDS), M. K. Laina (Ms) (NENP/NPTDS)

INTERNATIONAL ATOMIC ENERGY AGENCY

Consultants' Meeting on "Incorporating Lessons Learned from the Fukushima Accident in SMR Technology Assessment for Design of Engineered Safety Systems" IAEA Headquarters, Vienna, Austria, 30 May – 1 June 2012 VIC Building-A, Room A0541 Scientific Secretary: M. Hadid Subki (NENP/NPTDS)

OECD-NEA

Committee for Technical and Economic Studies on Nuclear Energy Development and The Fuel Cycle (NDC) 7th Meeting of the Working Party on Nuclear Energy Economics, 10 November 2011, OECD Headquarters, 2 Rue André Pascal, 75016 Paris, Conference Centre, Room E Secretariat of the Meeting: Jan Horst Keppler, Marco Cometto

The last page of the report includes short info on the Collaboration Agreements between Politecnico di Milano and some Small Modular Reactors projects, namely NuScale, FlexBlue and Flibe Energy company.





1

INTERNATIONAL ATOMIC ENERGY AGENCY

Technical Meeting on "Options to Enhance Energy Supply Security using NPPs based on SMRs"

IAEA Headquarters, Vienna, Austria, 3 – 6 October 2011

List of the presentations, contributions and discussions

Objectives of the Meeting and Update of IAEA Programme on Common Technology and Issues for SMRs	H. Subki NENP/NPTDS
In the past three decades, Nuclear Power Technology Development Section States activities relating to cross-cutting technology and institutional issue reactors. The IAEA ensures the overall coordination of Member State expe- facilitating the sharing of experience and transfer of knowledge in the field facilitate the development of SMRs by taking a systematic approach to ider competitiveness and reliable performance of SMRs, and by addressing con focus has been on developing international recommendations and guidance newcomer countries.	n at the IAEA has engaged with its Member es for small and medium-sized power erts participating in SMR activities by d, coordinating efforts of Member States to ntify key enabling technologies to achieve mmon issues of deployment. The current e on SMRs focusing on specific needs of
Featured Presentation #1: Benefits from Integrating SMRs with Renewable Energy Resources in Electricity Generation	Mr. D. Shropshire EC – JRC, Petten, the Netherlands
Nuclear power supports the goals of the European Union low-carbon social while emitting no CO2. SMRs could supply balancing electricity to even of Current nuclear technology has the capability for a degree of load-followin capability through increased flexibility over a wide power range. Strategies reduce the daily and seasonal power variation are presented. SMRs of var conditions could lead to the largest reductions in power variability and his Technology-SMRs coupled with off-shore wind could cut the power variab	ety by being a dependable source of energy, ut the supply from renewable resources. ing, and future reactors could extend this es for integrating SMRs with wind energy to ious types, sizes, and operational ghest utilization of transmission resources. ility of the combined system in half.
Featured Presentation #2:The NEOP Approach - Ensuring Relevance of Nuclear Technology to the Emerging Users	Mr. R. Sollychin NEFW/RRS
A study is required to investigate whether or not nuclear energy's contribu- increasing. Centralized energy systems are being compared to the locally of the populace system (NEOP) treats nuclear energy as part of the locally of Thorium utilization is deemed essential. NEOP systems can be develope requiring a shift in paradigm in design, licensing, economic assessment, as	tion to world energy usage is really optimized energy systems. Nuclear energy optimized energy system, in which the role of from existing or innovative technologies, and so forth.
Featured Presentation #3: Potential strategies for utilizing SMRs for Combined-Heat-and-Power Generation	Mr. D. Shropshire EC – JRC, Petten, the Netherlands
A hybrid system of nuclear and renewables offer promising advantages. The as "plug-in" power sources. The approach can facilitate high utilization a system for electricity. Transmission infrastructure costs will be shared by the From the operation point of view, the scheme would further reduce power for excess wind energy, and produce heat for various applications.	he current SMR technology can be utilized and improve capacity factor in an energy both nuclear and renewable plants projects. variability from RES, provide energy sink
Role of Small and Medium Nuclear Reactors (SMRs) to Support Nuclear Power Program in Pakistan	Mr. W. M. Butt PAEC, Pakistan
The first Nuclear Power Plant of the country started commercial operation Due to non-availability of vendor support, the plant was operated indigener support to ensure safe and continuing operation of plant. Necessary facilit O&M of first NPP and providing infrastructure for the future NPPs. At pre- are in operation, two under construction while more in planning phases. T developing the infrastructure for considering the effective use of SMRs for	n in 1972 and this was a 137MWe PHWR. ously. This involved all types of engineering ties were developed for supporting the esent, two more NPPs (325 MWe PWRs) The operating experience resulted in suiting with the energy requirements of





different regions of the country.				
Application of Small Fast Reactor 4S for Energy Supply Security	Mr. K. Arie Toshiba Corp., Japan			
The small fast reactor, 4S (Super-Safe, Small and Simple) has distinguished features such as enhanced passive safety, long refuelling interval and low maintenance requirements as well as high temperature capability and enhanced security. Using these features, the 4S is suitable to supply electricity and/or heat for remote area communities, mining sites, oil sands recovery, seawater desalination and hydrogen production. In addition, the 4S-based Hybrid System along with its enhanced nuclear safety could be a key social infrastructure for energy supply security by combination with smart grid, energy storage system, and desalination plant.				
Update on Slovenia Nuclear Energy Programme	Mr. G. Miroslav Ministry of the Environment and Spatial Planning, Slovenia			
Slovenia is operating one unit of 700 MWe class two-loop pressurized was Krsko NPP site, which is jointly owned by Croatia. The PWR was the first Europe. The construction of the plant was started in 1975 and the plant w by the commercial operation in 1983. Replacement of the two steam gener uprated 6% then 3% subsequently. The reactor has 40 years operational l pursued.	ter reactor made by Westinghouse at the t western nuclear power plant in eastern as connected to the grid in 1981, followed rators was done in 2001 and the plant was life, but a 20-year extension is being			
INPRO Methodology for Nuclear Energy System Assessment and Activities on Technology Assessment for Future Nuclear Energy System	Mr. R. Beatty NENP/INPRO			
INPRO has developed a methodology to assess sustainable nuclear system consistent with the goals set out in its basic principles. With the methodolo improved that the implementation of nuclear energy requires a holistic ap supports countries developing new nuclear energy capacity to consider te develop their nuclear system deployment plans.	ns on national, regional and global levels, ogy, Member States understanding has pproach and a long range review. INPRO schnology assessment activities as they			
Integrated Nuclear Infrastructure Development Programme for Newcomer Countries	Mr. D. Kovacic NENP/INIG			
The Integrated Nuclear Infrastructure Development Programme adopts the gaps and helps Member States develop the national infrastructure to impl- nuclear reactor technology. It deals with key infrastructure issues for new understanding the nuclear power option and issues associated with its low commitments.	he Milestones approach, which identifies ement their first NPP based on the existing ecomer countries and provides guidance for ag-term national and international			
IAEA's Approaches to Assess Environmental Impacts due to NPP operation	Ms. A. Miketa NENP/PESS			
Planning and Economic Studies Section at the IAEA assists Member State have inherent capability to perform analysis and develop alternative strat to evaluate the energy-economic-environmental implications, and to asses energy in securing affordable and clean supplies of energy. To date, the Li its Member States various Energy Analysis and Planning tools for the ass country's national energy mix, and to provide justification for introduction national position that covers social, economic, environmental aspects.	es in capacity building, so the countries begies to achieve sustainable energy supply, ss the potential contribution of nuclear AEA has developed and made available to essment of nuclear energy contribution in n of nuclear power, and helped establish			
Potential Contributions of Modular HTGRs to Energy Supplies in China	Mr. Y. Sun INET – Tsinghua University, China			
Nuclear energy is a practical energy source which can help to ease the challenge of energy supply security and power generation. China has already been implementing a nuclear power program. A large number of nuclear power plants are being operated, constructed or under planning. The graphite moderated high temperature gas-cooled reactor (HTGR) has been under development in China for the past decades and the first demonstration plant is under construction. The modular HTGR technology has unique features such as high temperature and inherent safety characteristics that apart from power generation enable supplying process heat at various temperature levels.				
The Development of Small and Medium Reactors (SMRs) in China	Mr. S. Cui Huaneng Nuclear Power Development, China			





Although the Fukushima nuclear accident has not reversed China's decise the growing demand for electricity, it made the Chinese government elevel plants. Under these circumstances, SMRs featuring safety, flexibility and wide attention. Currently, SMRs under development in China include PW (including High Temperature Gas-Cooling Reactor, HTGR), Fast Neutro China has given importance to the development of HTR, and has launche HTGR in Shidao Bay. The project is run by China Huaneng Group.	ion to develop nuclear power for satisfying ute the safety standard for nuclear power compatibility to the grid begin to draw R type CNP, CANDU reactor, HTR n Reactor and Nuclear Heating Reactor. d a demonstration project of 200MW			
Technology Development, Design and Utilization Features of SVBR-100 and its Deployment Scheme	Mr. S. Borovitskiy JSC AKME Engineering, Russian Federation			
SVBR-100 is an integral, modular, small sized fast reactor with the net electrical power of 100 MW, designed both for newcomer as well as expanding nuclear countries. The reactor has been developed since 2009 by the JSC AKME Engineering, a joint venture of Rosatom and EuroSibEnergo in collaboration with other established institutions. The SVBR-100 design takes advantage of 80 reactor-year experience in the operation of small lead-bismuth cooled reactors for nuclear submarines. The small fast reactor adopts up to 9 years fuel cycle that allows a significant reduction of natural uranium consumption.				
Technology Development, Design and Utilization Features of IRIS and its Deployment Scheme	Mr. M. Ricotti Politecnico di Milano, Italy			
The first 10 years of the IRIS project will be presented, summarizing its te resurgence of SMRs. IRIS is one of several small reactor concepts origina incorporates a number of novel technology advancements that it either in its predecessors to bring them into a higher technical level.	cchnical achievements and influence on the ated in late 1990s. The reactor design troduced for the first time, or improved from			
Major findings of the INPRO project on Legal and Institutional Issues for Transportable NPPs and possible follow-up activity	Mr. V. V. Kuznetsov NENP/INPRO			
A Transportable Nuclear Power Plant (TNPP) is a factory manufactured, transportable and relocatable NPP that when fuelled is capable to produce final energy products like electricity, process heat, and so forth. The deployment of TNPP could face new legal issues in the international context, related for instance to obligation and responsibility of the operators to comply with international legal instruments as well as with IAEA safety standards and security recommendations. The INPRO project on the subject has studied legal and institutationl issues for TNPP deployment and to propose solutions to address the identified challenges.				
Malaysia Energy Policy: New Role to Malaysia Nuclear Agency	Mr. M. Rawi Malaysia Nuclear Agency, Malaysia			
The previous and current status of the Malaysia Energy Policy will be discussed. The Malaysia Energy Policy in the tenth Malaysia Plan emphasizes on the nuclear power as an energy option for Malaysia and the new role for the Malaysian Nuclear Agency in supporting the National Nuclear Power Programme. The Malaysian Government has formulated the first National Energy Policy in 1979, with three objectives: (1) sustainable energy supply, (2) optimum utilisation and (3) environmental preservation. The environmental objective is about securing that factors pertaining to environmental protection are not neglected in pursuing the energy supply and utilisation objectives.				
Prospects of SMRs in Indonesia's Energy System	Ms. C. Johari, Mr. S. Soenarko NENP/INPRO and INIG			
Indonesia possesses unique features that present opportunities to incorporate SMRs in the national energy system for the introduction of electricity, and possibly co-generation, in small islands, given the fact that nuclear energy is already part of the national energy mix policy. Recent study indicates that SMRs are a viable option for a capital cost of less than US\$3,500 /kWe. The deployment of SMRs in such locations, however, poses real challenges with regard to issues such as infrastructure development, electricity grid, availability of skilled personnel, emergency preparedness, and safety-security-safeguard aspects, and more importantly due to recent Fukushima accident, political commitment and public acceptance.				
Small Sized Reactors: Case for the Asia-Pacific Region	Mr. H. Peimani Energy Studies Institute/NUS, Singapore			
The countries of the Asian-Pacific region, suffering from land scarcity an electricity demand from small scattered energy-consuming locations coul their specific geographical, geological and climatic circumstances, a con operating in a well-integrated power grid can address growing electricity	d some facing financial restrains and/or d benefit from SMRs. As determined by abination of SMRs and renewables prequirements without contributing to			

global warming. This kind of systems could be a means to deal with the excessive demand and to replace some of





thei red	their existing fossil fuelled-power generators; they can also be a measure for combating global warming through reducing their current CO2 emissions.				
	Prospects and Constraints of SMR Deployments in Thailand: A regulatory perspective	Mr. P Boonsuwana Bureau of Nuclear Safety Regulation, Thailand			
In T an a mos thei less safe SM cha	In Thailand, the need for reliable energy sources in response to increased electricity demand makes nuclear power an alternative reliable energy option, regardless public opposition due to Fukushima. Large LWR-type-reactors are most likely to be approved primarily due to their proven technology and established licensing process. However, their construction cost, large footprint and the possibility to produce large-scale accidents make their construction less attractive. The SMRs start making economic sense, as they are smaller, cheaper, easier to deploy and probably safer than the typical LWRs due to their smaller size and passive safety features. Despite the benefits, concerns that SMR technologies are not "proven", the issues of nuclear proliferation, and the licensing process are perceived as challenges.				
	Technical Requirements of Jamaica for Nuclear Reactor Technology for Near Term Deployment	Mr. Z. Mian Office of Utilities Regulation, Jamaica			
Jan thou dete gov Ene suc the mix	haica is the largest English Speaking Island economy in the Caribbean usand km2 and a population of 2.7 million. The per capita income is Usermined to reduce its dependency on imported oil by optimizing the pot ternment has created a roadmap towards achieving 20% fuel supply mit ergy Policy places enhanced reliance on fuel diversification strategy that h fuel in the short- to medium-term. In view of development of SMRs and longer-term Jamaica would have to evaluate the potential role of nucles.	with a total land area of about 11 S\$ 4,980 (2010). The country is entials of renewable energy. The ix shift towards renewable sources. The at would rely on natural gas and other ad advancements in nuclear technology, in ear options in its overall energy supply			
	Technical Requirements of Mongolia for Nuclear Reactor Technology for Near Term Deployment (tentative)	Mr. G. Manlaijav , Nuclear Technology Authority, Mongolia			
	Development of New and Renewable Energy in Mongolia (tentative)	Mr. T. Tseren Ministry for Mineral Resources and Energy, Mongolia			
	Guideline of Development of NE Series Report on the Subject	Mr. H. Subki – IAEA Mr. D. Shropshire – EC-JRC			
	Working Session #1: Development of Outline for the publication on the subject	All – lead by Meeting Chairmen			
	Working Session #2: Development of Terms of Reference, Introduction/Executive Summary for the publication	All – lead by Meeting Chairmen			
	Wrap Up Session, Meeting Conclusion and Action Plan	H. Subki NENP/NPTDS			

During the meeting, the presentation offered by prof. Ricotti is in the largest part similar to the presentation offered in the IAEA Workshop on "Technology Assessment of Small and Medium-sized Reactors (SMRs) for Near Term Deployment" (5 - 9 December 2011). The content of the speech is reported in the following section hence is not repeated here.





INTERNATIONAL ATOMIC ENERGY AGENCY

Workshop on "Technology Assessment of Small and Medium-sized Reactors (SMRs) for Near Term Deployment"

IAEA Headquarters, Vienna, Austria, 5 - 9 December 2011

List of the presentations, contributions and discussions

•	Workshop Outline and Update of IAEA Programme on Common Technology and Issues for SMRs	M.H. Subki NENP/NPTDS
•	IAEA Programme on Advanced Nuclear Power Technology Development	T. Koshy SH - NENP/NPTDS
•	IAEA Programme on Reactor Technology Assessment and Selection : Process and Approach	S. P. Schultz NENP/NPTDS
•	Technology Development, Design and Safety Features of CAREM-25 and its Deployment Scheme	P. Zanocco CNEA, Argentina
•	Technology Development, Design and Safety Features of KLT-40s and its Deployment Scheme	Y. Fadeev OKBM Afrikantov, Russian Federation
•	Technical Requirements of Malaysia for Nuclear Reactor Technology for Near Term Deployment	M. Maskin (Ms) MOSTI, Malaysia
•	Technology Development, Design and Safety Features of CNP-300 and new Small Reactors in China	Q. Lin SNERDI, China
•	Pakistan's Experience in Operating CNP-300s and Near Term Deployment Scheme	M. K. Chughtai PAEC, Pakistan
•	Overview of Nuclear Power Infrastructure Preparedness in Newcomer Countries	D. Kovacic, NENP/INIG
•	INPRO Methodology for Nuclear Energy System Assessment and Activities on Technology Assessment for Future Nuclear Energy System	R. Beatty NENP/INPRO
•	IAEA Safety Assessment Requirements	H. Khartabil NSNI/NSNS
•	Technology Development, Design and Safety Features of SMART and its Deployment Scheme	H.K. Joo KAERI, Republic of Korea
•	Technology Development, Design and Safety Features of mPower and its Deployment Scheme	D.E. Lee Babcock & Wilcox, USA
•	Technology Development, Design and Safety Features of NuScale and its Deployment Scheme	J. N. Reyes NuScale Power, USA
•	Technology Development, Design and Safety Features of Westinghouse SMR and its Deployment Scheme	M. Anness Westinghouse Electric, USA
•	Technology Development, Design and Safety Features of IRIS and its Deployment Scheme	M.E. Ricotti Politecnico di Milano, Italy
•	A Proposal to Prepare for Introduction of SMR Using Feasibility Studies Based on the SUSTINE-NEOP Approach	R. Sollychin NEFW/RRS
٠	Breakout Session #1: Member States Exercise on Technology Assessment for Light Water Reactor - SMRs	Lead by T. Koshy NENP/NPTDS





•	Technology Development Design and Safety Features of the	J. Honwood CANDU Energy Inc
Ū	Enhanced CANDU6 (EC-6) and its Deployment Scheme	Canada
•	Technology Development, Design and Safety Features of PHWR 220, PHWR 540, and PHWR 700 and their Operating Performance	U. Muktibodh NPCIL, India
•	Technology Development, Design and Safety Features of AHWR300-LEU and its Deployment Scheme	A. K. Nayak BARC, India
•	Breakout Session #2: Member States Exercise on Technology Assessment for Heavy Water Reactor - SMRs	Lead by J.H. Choi NENP/NPTDS
•	Technical Requirements of Uruguay for Nuclear Reactor Technology for Near Term Deployment	P. De Lucia Terni (Ms) ANCAP, Uruguay
•	Possible Financing Schemes for Current and Near Term Nuclear Power Projects	N. Barkatullah (Ms) NE/PESS
•	Technical Requirements of Ghana for Nuclear Reactor Technology for Near Term Deployment	I. Aboh GAEC, Ghana
•	IAEA Programme on Non-Electric Applications coupled with Small and Medium-sized Reactors	I. Khamis NENP/NPTDS
•	Member States Exercise on Technology Assessment for Non-Electric Applications	Lead by I. Khamis NENP/NPTDS
٠	IAEA Programme on Gas Cooled Reactors Technology	B.M. Tyobeka NENP/NPTDS
•	Technology Development, Design and Safety Features of HTR-PM and its Deployment Scheme	Y. Sun INET, China
•	Technology Development, Design and Safety Features of EM2/GT- MHR and its Deployment Scheme	R. W. Schleicher General Atomic, USA
٠	Member States Exercise on Technology Assessment for Gas Cooled Reactor - SMRs	Lead by B.M. Tyobeka NENP/NPTDS
٠	Technical Requirements of Indonesia for Nuclear Reactor Technology for Near Term Deployment	M. D. Purwadi BATAN, Indonesia
٠	IAEA Programme on Fast Reactors Technology	S. Monti NENP/NPTDS
٠	Technology Development, Design and Safety Features of PRISM and its Deployment Scheme	E. Loewen GE Hitachi, USA
٠	Member States Exercise on Technology Assessment for Fast Reactor / Liquid Metal Reactor - SMRs	Lead by S. Monti
•	Newcomer Countries' Exercise and Feedback Presentations:	Member States and NPTDS
	o LWR - SMRs	Technical Leads
	o HWR - SMRs	
	o GCR - SMRs	
	o FR/LMR-SMRs	
	 Non-Electric Applications 	
•	Closing Remarks	T. Koshy, S/H-NPTDS





Group	Α	В	С
Room	M6	M 0E 67	M 0E 68
Technology Developers	Korea – SMART	Russian Federation –	Argentina – CAREM-25
	Italy – IRIS	KLT-40s	USA – NuScale
	USA – mPower	China – CNP300	USA – Westinghouse
		Pakistan – CNP300	SMR
Scope of Discussion	Standardization &	Plant performance and	Economics
	Simplification	operability	Constructability
	Safety	Proven Technology	_
Facilitators:	T. Koshy	A.S. Rao	J. Cleveland
	S.P. Schultz	K. Yamada	D. Ingersoll
Newcomer Countries:	Albania	Croatia	Bangladesh
	Algeria	Ghana	Kenya
	Indonesia	Malaysia	Nigeria
	Vietnam	Sudan	Thailand
		Singapore	Uruguay

Breakout Session #1: Member States Exercise on Technology Assessment for LWR - SMRs

The invited Group Leads (Rapporteurs) for the LWR - SMRs breakout sessions:

Group A Lead: Mr. Zaki Su'ud – Indonesia

Group B Lead: Mr. Zeljko Tomsic - Croatia

Group C Lead: Mr. Francis Ibitoye - Nigeria

The presentation offered by prof. Ricotti during the workshop is reported in the next section.

The subsequent section ("Newcomer Countries' Exercise and Feedback Presentation – Group C for LWR - SMRs") shows the results of the Breakout Session #1 and the related discussion and Q&A process, including the contribution given by POLIMI on the IRIS SMR concept.







Technology Development, Design and Safety Features of IRIS and its Deployment Scheme

prof. Marco E. Ricotti Politecnico di Milano, Department of Energy, CeSNEF-Nuclear Engineering Division

Vienna, 06 december 2011



LP1.B3





HISTORY OF IRIS IAEA - Viel 09/09/1999, kick-off meeting at MIT: Westinghouse, MIT, UCBerkeley, POLIMI 20 Angenet Accessing note Inter 2010 a tomica . TIJINDON'S prof. Marco E. Ricotti POLITECNICO DI MILANO **Original Team and assignments** (yellow: Italian partners) IAEA - Vier 06 D er 2011 INDUSTRY Westinghouse USA Overall coordination, core design, safety analyses, licensing, commercialization BNFL* UK Fuel and fuel cycle Ansaldo Energia / Ansaldo Italy Steam generators design Ansaldo Nucleare / Camozzi / Mangiarotti Italy Steam generators fabrication ENSA - (ATB Riva Calzoni) Spain - (Italy) Pressure vessel and internals NUCLEP - (ATB Riva Calzoni) Brazil - (Italy) Containment, pressurizer (Rolls Royce) UK Control rod drive mechanisms LABORATORIES ORNL USA I&C, PRA, desalination, shielding, pressurizer CNEN Brazil Pressurizer design, transient analyses, desalination ININ Mexico PRA, neutronics support LEI Lithuania PRA, district heating co-generation ENEA - SIET Testing, integral facility, seismic, shielding Italy UNIVERSITIES Safety analyses, shielding, thermal hydraulics, steam generators Polytechnic of Milan Italy design, internal CRDMs, economics, bio-fuel co-generation MIT USA Advanced cores, maintenance Tokyo Inst. of Technology Japan Advanced cores, PRA, seismic University of Zagreb Neutronics, safety analyses Croatia Containment analyses, severe accident analyses, neutronics, CFD, University of Pisa Italy seismic Polytechnic of Turin Italy Source term, thermal hydraulics

POWER PRODUCERS AND ARCHITECT ENGINEER COMPANIES

USA

USA

Brazil

Spain

Estonia

prof. Marco E. Ricotti

(USA) - Italy

(Georgia Institute of Technology)

(TVA)*

Eletronuclear

(Esti Energia)

(Empresarios Agrupados)

(Bechtel)* - SAIPEM, Maire Tecnimon

BOP, AE

AE

Advanced core designs; shielding; dose reduction

Maintenance, utility perspective

Developing country utility perspective

Smaller country/grid utility perspective















Safety-by-Design™

-aims at eliminating by design possibility for accidents to occur,

- -at reducing probability of occurrence for remaining accidents,
- -at reducing consequences,

-eliminates systems/components that were needed to deal with those accidents.

Passive Safety Systems

-protect against still remaining accidents and mitigate their consequences, -fewer and simpler than in passive LWRs.

Active Safety Systems

-no active safety systems are required,

-but, active non-safety systems may contribute to reducing the probability of CDF (Core Damage Frequency).

IMPROVES SAFETY WHILE SIMPLIFYING DESIGN









IRIS Design Features

- Integral PWR module 335 MWe, Safety-by-Design[™] approach
- Long Life Core (~4 years, no maintenance outage within it)
- 8 helical-coil steam generators (compressed tubes, no crud: no Stress Corrosion Cracking)
- 8 axial flow fully immersed primary coolant pumps (low ΔP, no leakages, no maintenance, self-cooled, already used at 500°C in chemical industry)
- Internal Control Rod Drive Mechanisms (no penetrations/leakages, no Rod Ejection Accident)
- Integral Pressurizer (high prz volume / reactor power ratio, no sprays)
- CDF 10-8 event/r y (internal+seismic)

prof. Marco E. Ricotti



10

IAEA - Vienna







IRIS: Small Break LOCA (SBLOCA)



- No large break LOCA
- In SB LOCA, Reactor Vessel and Containment become thermodynamically coupled
- Reactor Vessel depressurized by internal heat removal
- Containment pressure allowed to rise (small, spherical geometry)
- Pressure differential across the break equalizes quickly and LOCA is stopped
- Long term sequence depends on outside heat removal
- Self-limiting, no need for water injection (no HPSI)
- Core remains covered for all postulated breaks during the whole transient



Example: Double ended break in 2" DVI line Collapsed water level (very conservative, mixture level higher)

prof. Marco E. Ricotti





IRIS: Safety-by-Design[™] implementation 13 IAEA - Vienna r 201 Condition IV Design Basis Events IRIS Design Characteristi Effect on Condit by IRIS Safety Safety Implication Accidents Affected No large primary piping Large break Loss of Coolant Large break LOCA Integral layout Accidents (LOCAs) Increased water inventory Increased natural circulation Other LOCAs Decrease in heat removal various events Large, tall vessel ccommodates internal Control Rod Control rod ejection, head penetrations failure Spectrum of control rod Eliminated Drive Mechanisms (CRDMs) ejection accidents Depressurizes primary system by condensation and not by loss of mass · LOCAs · LOCAs Effective heat removal by Steam Heat removal from inside Generators (SG)/Emergency High Removal System (EHRS) All events for which effective cooldown is required the vessel Anticipated Transients Without Screen (ATWS) Reduced driving force through primary · LOCAs opening Reduced size, higher design pressure containment Decreased importance of single pump . Locked rotor, shaft seizure/ Reactor coolant pump Eliminated Multiple, integral, shaftless coolant pumps shaft break failure break No shaft · Loss of Flow Accidents Reactor coolant pump Downgraded (LOFAs) seizure No SG safety valves Steam generator secondary system cannot over-pressure secondary system Piping designed for full Reactor Coolant System (RCS) pressure reduces piping failure orbabelility Feed line break Feed line break · Steam generator tube Steam generator tube Downgraded rupture High design pressure steam generator system Downgraded Steam system piping failure probability Feedwater system pipe Downgraded Once through steam Feed line break Limited water inventory break generators Steam line break Overheating events, including feed line break Large pressurizer volume/reactor Integral pressurizer power · ATWS Fuel handling accidents Unaffected prof. Marco E. Ricotti POLITECNICO DI MILANO





















prof. Marco E. Ricotti











IRIS: Pressure Vessel Embrittlement eliminated



SG modules > large Downcomer water thickness (core-vessel): 1.7 m

- Fast n flux on vessel: ~10⁵ times less than in current PWRs → "Cold vessel"
- External dose practically avoided
- No embrittlement, no surveillance







 Deterministic safety analysis and PSA calculation during the development of the preliminary design













IRIS: results of the Safety-by-Design™ & Risk-Informed approaches



Criterion	Typical Advanced LWRs	IRIS	
Defense-in-Depth (DID)	Redundant and/or diverse active systems or Passive systems	No active systems; Safety-by-Design™ with fewer passive safety systems	
Class IV Design Basis	8 typically	Only 1 remains Class IV	
Events	considered	(fuel handling accident)	
Core Damage	~10 ⁻⁵ - 10 ⁻⁷ events	~10 ⁻⁸ events	
Frequency (CDF)	per year	per year	
Large Early Release	~10 ⁻⁶ - 10 ⁻⁸ events	~10 ⁻⁹ events	
Frequency (LERF)	per year	per year	

prof. Marco E. Ricotti

POLITECNICO DI MILANO

IRIS Safety-by-Design™: The 5 Most Severe Accident Precursors since 1979 as ranked by NRC

28 IAEA - Vienna 05 December 2011

(Cannot Occur or are Intrinsically Mitigated in IRIS)

Rank	Year	Plant	Accident Precursor	IRIS
1	1979	Three Mile Island	Pressurizer Power Operated Relief Valve stuck open Partial Core Meltdown occurred	Same accident cannot occur : IRIS has integral pressurizer and no power operated relief valve. Similar accidents (any small break LOCA) have intrinsic mitigation (core always covered)
2	1985	Davis Besse	Total Loss of Feedwater (main and auxiliary) Core Damage Probability = 7*10 ⁻²	Cannot occur: IRIS safety grade decay heat removal system (EHRS) does not require any source of water injection to the steam generators; also, increased primary side thermal inertia inherently mitigate loss of main feedwater events
3	1981	Brunswick	Residual Heat Removal (RHR) U-tubes Heat Exchanger Failure due to blockage (oyster shells) Core Damage Probability = 9*10 ⁻³	BWR Event; eliminated by design and operational procedures for RHR, inherent mitigating features
4	1991	Shearon Harris	Unavailability of high pressure safety injection (HPSI) pump Core Damage Probability = 6*10 ⁻³	Cannot occur: IRIS does not need, thus does not have safety related HPSI pumps
5	2002	Davis Besse	Degraded vessel head; unqualified coatings and debris in containment; potential HPSI pump failure during recirculation Core Damage Probability = 6*10-3	Cannot occur: IRIS has no vessel head penetrations by adoption of internal CRDMs and has no HPSI pumps

























IRIS Prototypic Components & Test Facilities for Design Certification

Prototypic 20 MW bundle, tested originally for ISIS Ansaldo reactor and having the same diameter as one of the IRIS Steam Generators



IETI (SIET Italy) Facility: thermal-fluid-dynamics experiments on a full-scale helical-coil tube of the IRIS Reactor Steam Generator

> SPES-3 at SIET (Piacenza, Italy) will be used to demonstrate IRIS integrated system performance and to support design approval (AP600 testing was performed at SIET)

prof. Marco E. Ricotti



POLITECNICO DI MILANO

IAEA - Vienna 05 December 2011



























- 3 forged pieces + 4 helical coil SG simulators
- Detailed design provided by italian manufacturing enterprises
- Co-funding (50%) by same industries
- Estimated cost: >5 M€
- Co-funding: discussions with Regional Government, Ministry of Economic Development
- Partnership: Industries, ENEA, SIET labs, POLIMI






















E 4	
31	

prof. Marco E. Ricotti











Dimension of the students' involvement



University	Under-graduate	Master	Doctorate& post-doc	
FER - Univ. of Zagreb	3	1	3	
Georgia Tech		2		
Massachusetts Inst. of Technology	1	4	1	
Polytechnic of Milan (CIRTEN)	1	28	10	
Polytechnic of Turin (CIRTEN)		3		
Univ. of Pisa (CIRTEN)	28	8	1	
Tokyo Inst. of Technology		6	6	
Univ. of California at Berkeley		2		
Univ. of Tennessee	1	4		
Ohio State Univ.		4	1	
Univ. of Michigan	6	2		
Sub-total	40	63	22	
TOTAL		125		

prof. Marco E. Ricotti

POLITECNICO DI MILANO

55

IAEA - Viel

oher 2011



- "(re)think different" a non-bias approach
- innovation no technological jump
- international cooperation and team
- involve young, talented, motivated people
- address all items at the same time ("parallel & loop design")

prof. Marco E. Ricotti











Newcomer Countries' Exercise and Feedback Presentation Friday, 9 December 2011:

Group C for LWR - SMRs Economics, Constructability

Presenter: Francis Ibitoye (Nigeria)

IAEA Workshop on Technology Assessment of SMRs for Near Term Deployment, 5 - 9 December 2011

Structure of Group C for LWR – SMRs

• SMR Designs, Technology Developers:

- IRIS, Politecnico di Milano Italy (M. Ricotti)
- NuScale, NuScale Power USA (J.N. Reyes)
- Westinghouse SMR, Westinghouse USA (M. Anness)

Newcomer Countries:

- Bangladesh
- Kenya
- Nigeria
- Thailand
- Uruguay

Expert Facilitators:

- J. Cleveland (Consultant, Austria)
- D.T. Ingersoll (ORNL, USA)

IAEA Workshop on Technology Assessment of SMRs for Near Term Deployment, 5 - 9 December 2011

2

1





Assessment Summary on IRIS (1)

On Economics

- Capital cost: A simulation tool was used to estimate costs in a top-down approach. Penalty is of the order of 70% but can be reduced to 7% by multiple saving factors. Need to conduct a bottom-up approach.
- Preliminary estimate of overnight cost is 7-10% more than for LRs in terms of \$/kW installed.
- Costs will be reduced if SMRs are constructed in sequence. Costs can be lower than for an equivalent LR on the long run.
- Fuel costs for multiple units should be about the same for an equivalent LR.
- O&M: e.g consider 4 SMRs; penalty: 4 control rooms, staffing. Compared with one large reactor, O&M cost can be reduced for equivalent SMRs because of higher CF. Shutting down 1 unit for maintenance still enable generation from 3 other units. This may eventually override the penalty.
- Need to compare costs of SMRs with other options, not just LRs.
- Because of much lower n flux on the PV, decommissioning costs should be lower than for LRs

IAEA Workshop on Technology Assessment of SMRs for Near Term Deployment, 5 - 9 December 2011

Assessment Summary on IRIS (2)

On Constructability

- Modularization: Each IRIS system is an independent plant, in terms of safety systems and control.
- Key systems are separated. Administration and services can be shared.
- The footprint of 4 SMRs is about the same as that for 1 LR.
- Construction time: 3 years is assumed, but this is not yet optimized.

IAEA Workshop on Technology Assessment of SMRs for Near Term Deployment, 5 - 9 December 2011





Assessment Summary on NuScale (1)

On Economics

- Need to understand what is included in the costs.
- Costs can be reduced by "economy of small"
 - Design Simplicity and Scalability
 - Simpler Safety Systems and Analyses
 - Factory Fabrication
 - Modular Parallel Construction
 - Innovative Operations
- O&M cost: cost of labour different for different countries. Savings on staffing and infrastructure, since a 12-module unit can be controlled from one control room.
- Decommissioning cost is only small fraction of total cost. Module includes PV & containment. Decommissioning is matter of lifting out the module. A new module can actually be reinstalled in same location immediately.
- Cost estimates made available only on request following a nondisclosure agreement.

Assessment Summary on NuScale (2)

On Constructability

- Factory constructed
- Units can be transported by truck, rail or barge.
- Skid-mounted turbines and easily replaceable.
- Highly scalable
- Adding more units is an installation, not a major construction project.
- Construction time 36 months for a base unit of 12 modules

IAEA Workshop on Technology Assessment of SMRs for Near Term Deployment, 5 - 9 December 2011





Assessment Summary on Westinghouse SMR (1)

On Economics

- Capital costs 75% of total cost. High level of certainty for the estimates.
- Operating costs 15%. B/c of less systems, passive safety systems, reduction in the amount of cables, length of piping etc. result in lower O&M costs. Reduction of the amount of labour.
- Standardization: robust global network for replacement of components.
- Fuel costs 10%: No striking differences b/w conventional LWR and SMR in terms of burnup; security of fuel supply and price stability reduces prices uncertainties.
- Localization: cost efficiency will mean less localization. Simplifications, more local resources to be used hopefully in the future.
- Detailed cost estimates can be released to potential clients after signing a confidentiality agreement.

IAEA Workshop on Technology Assessment of SMRs for Near Term Deployment, 5 - 9 December 2011

Assessment Summary on Westinghouse SMR (2)

On Constructability

- Draws experience from on-going AP1000 projects in US and China. Construction time is 40 mths for AP1000.
- Construction time for SMR : 18 -24 mths for first module, and 12 mths for site excavation before construction.
- Cost effective deployment scheme: optimizing use of labour, concrete, welders etc. Local industry can be used for deployment

IAEA Workshop on Technology Assessment of SMRs for Near Term Deployment, 5 - 9 December 2011





Specific Requirements from Newcomer Countries (if any)

Bangladesh

- Construction time and capital costs are main concerns
- Regulatory requirement does not prevent us from turning to nuclear.
- Spent fuel and High Level waste concerns
- Support for financing.

Kenya

- Regulatory authority since 1982. Collaboration with US NRC. Nuclear option already identified in a national energy master plan. NEPIO also setup. At tail phase of milestone 1.
- Bilateral agreements preferred compared to multilateral approach through IAEA.
- Need for information about the price that countries are expected to offer.

Nigeria

- Wants developers to take into consideration the adverse weather conditions in some countries high ambient temperature and humidity.
- Need to provide estimates for transportation costs, since these could become quite significant for some locations.

Thailand

If vendors are ready to sell internationally, they should have a proposal for financing options.

Uruguay

- Modularization is important because Uruguay has small grid and small population and nuclear contribution can grow as demand grows
- Constructability: Increase in costs because of delays.

IAEA Workshop on Technology Assessment of SMRs for Near Term Deployment, 5 - 9 December 2011 9





INTERNATIONAL ATOMIC ENERGY AGENCY

Consultants' Meeting on "Incorporating Lessons Learned from the Fukushima Accident in SMR Technology Assessment for Design of Engineered Safety Systems"

IAEA Headquarters, Vienna, Austria, 30 May – 1 June 2012

List of the presentations, contributions and discussions

- Engineered Safety Features adopted in Advanced SMR Designs for Near Term and Future Deployment
- Overview of the IAEA Activities on Severe Accident Management in response to the Fukushima Accident
- Overview of the Recent International R&D Activities on Reactor Safety
- INPRO project on Review of Innovative Reactor Concepts for Prevention and Mitigation of Severe Accidents
- Applying Lessons-Learned from the Fukushima Accident to Water Cooled Reactor Technology Development
- What really happened at the Fukushima Daiichi nuclear Power Plants?
- Concepts to Enhance Safety Systems' Performance in SMRs on the Basis of Lessons-Learned from the Fukushima Accident
- Prevention of recurrence of the Fukushima Accidents
- R&D activities in Japanese universities on reactor safety; advanced study on two-phase flow dynamics
- Evolution of **BWR** designs and technologies; operating fundamentals; suppression-pool containment performance;
- Advanced concepts of passive safety cooling systems of **ESBWR** to cope with various DBA and severe accidents
- Design Characteristics and Advanced Safety Features of the AHWR300-LEU reactor
- Technical requirements of Newcomer Countries on Reactor Safety; R&D activities in PRA of small reactors
- The Implementation of a Risk-Informed Approach to the Safety Design of the **IRIS** reactor
- Design Characteristics and Advanced Safety Features of the SMART reactor
- Provisions for **KLT-40S** Reactor Plant Safety Performance under Extreme External Hazards
- Discussion on the Available **Deterministic** and **Probabilistic Analyses Methodologies** for Advanced Reactors
- Consultants Input to the IAEA on identification of safety lessons-learned to be incorporated in the design of advanced SMRs of integral water-cooled reactor type
- Identification of subjects of near-term and long-term international R&D activities in SMR technology development, in the area of advanced engineered safety features designs
- Wrap Up Session, Meeting Conclusions and Action Plan
- Closing Remarks

Mr. H. Subki, NENP/NPTDS Mr. M. Kim NSNI/SAS

Mr. L. Meyer NENP/INPRO

Mr. K. Yamada NENP/NPTDS

Mr. M. Aritomi Tokyo Institute of Technology, Japan

Mr. M. Aritomi Tokyo Institute of Technology, Japan

Mr. W. Marquino GE Power & Water, USA

Mr. W. Marquino GE Power & Water, USA

Mr. A. K. Nayak BARC, India

Mr. S. Syarip BATAN, Indonesia

Mr. M. Ricotti Politecnico di Milano, Italy

Mr. S. Choi KAERI, Republic of Korea

Mr. I. Bylov and **Mr. K. Veshnyakov** OKBM Afrikantov, Russian Federation All

All

All

H. Subki NENP/NPTDST. Koshy, SH-NPTDS









Politecnico di Milano, Department of Energy, CeSNEF-Nuclear Engineering Division

Vienna, 2012 May 31







Meaning of "Risk-Informed approach" in this example:

Concurrent use of deterministic + probabilistic safety tools and analysis, aiming at providing the highest, robust, balanced, optimised safety features for new reactor systems, at all levels (design, operation, accident management)

prof. Marco E. Ricotti





The IRIS (International Reactor Innovative & Secure) IAEA - Vien project: 10 years of R&D 31 May 2012 Westinghouse, MIT, Oak Ridge Nat.Lab. (UCBerkeley) Ansaldo Nucleare, Politecnico di Milano, Univ. di Pisa, Politecnico di Torino, ENEA, Mangiarotti Nuclear, Maire Tecnimont, ATB Riva Calzoni, SAIPEM (ENI) Rolls Royce CNEN research center, NUCLEP Industries ENSA Industries, Empresarios Agrupados Univ. of Zagreb Tokyo Inst.of Technology Lithuanian Energy Institute ININ research center EESTI Energia Growing interest on the project: at 2009, 20 partners from 10 countries Key italian contribution 2010: Westinghouse decided to abandon the initiative The IRIS project kept on going by Italy-Croatia-Japan partners, key activity: large scale testing (SIET labs) for licensing phase prof. Marco E. Ricotti POLITECNICO DI MILANO



Safety-by-Design™

-aims at eliminating by design possibility for accidents to occur, -eliminates systems/components that were needed to deal with those accidents,

-at reducing probability of occurrence for remaining accidents, -at reducing consequences.

Passive Safety Systems

-protect against still remaining accidents and mitigate their consequences, -fewer and simpler than in passive LWRs.

Active Systems

-no active safety systems are required,

-but, active non-safety systems may contribute to reducing the probability of CDF (Core Damage Frequency) in Accident Management.

IMPROVES SAFETY WHILE SIMPLIFYING DESIGN

prof. Marco E. Ricotti

POLITECNICO DI MILANO

IAEA - Vienn













POLITECNICO DI MILANO

prof. Marco E. Ricotti

TCS

(1 of 8)

SG Mak

Start Up Fe

1 of 4 Subsystem

AUX TR BIDGI

ed Line (1 of 4







- Once actuated, their continued operation relies only on natural forces (gravity, natural circulation) with no motors, fans, diesels, etc.
- · Core will remain covered under all accident sequences
- Heat sink designed to provide cooling for 7 days without
 operator action or off-site assistance for replenishing
- Additional diverse systems to minimize probability of Core Damage/Radioactivity Release

prof. Marco E. Ricotti







Risk-Informed process in a SMR design phase: the IRIS case



 Deterministic safety analysis and PSA calculation during the development of the preliminary design









LP1.B3

8. Transients without main feed water

10. Loss of offsite power

(Steam line break)

11. Steam line break

9. Loss of condenser/turbine bypass system

(Transient without main feed water)

(ATWS precursor: to be analyzed)

(ATWS precursor: to be analyzed

12. ATWS precursor: to be analyzed

(Loss of condenser/turbine bypass system)

prof. Marco E. Ricotti

10. Loss of main feedwater

13. Loss of offsite power

16. Core power excursion

12. Loss of component cooling/service water/compressed air

17. ATWS precursor with main feedwater: to be analyzed

19. ATWS precursor with safety injection: to be analyzed

18. ATWS precursor without main feedwater: to be analyzed

14. Main steam line break downstream of MSIVs

15. Main steam line break upstream of MSIVs

11. Loss of condenser





Risk-Informed process: design + deterministic safety + PSA level-1



IAEA – Vienna 31 May 2012

17

Improvements from starting phase to iterative phase

Function	The conceptual phase PR	A	The preliminary design phase PRA for risk-informed design			
	LOCA	Transients	LOCA	Transients		
Reactor sub-criticality	Control rod insertion	Control rod insertion	Control rod insertion, and/or EBS or CVCS	Control red insertion, and/or EBS or CVCS		
Emergency core cooling (short/long-term)	EHRS	MFWS with turbine bypass, or SFWS with turbine bypass, or EHRS	ЕНКЭ	MPWS with turbine bypass, or SFWS with turbine bypass, or EHRS		
Primary system depressurization	EHRS or ADS	EHRS or ADS	EHRS or ADS	EHRS or ADS		
Feed and bleed	NA	N/A	N/A	CVCS and NRHR with ADS successful		
Long-term core makeup	LGMS	LGMS	LGMS	LGMS		
Containment depressurization	CPSS or PCCS	CPSS or PCCS	CPSS or PCCS	CPSS or PCCS		
Containment cooling	PCCS	PCCS	PCCS	PCCS		

ADS: automatic depressurization system, CPSS: containment pressure suppression system, CVCS: chemical and volume control system, EHRS: emergency core cooling system, MFWS: main feed water system, NRHR: normal residual heat removal system, PCCS: passive containment cooling system.

POLITECNICO DI MILANO



Improvements from starting phase to iterative phase

prof. Marco E. Ricotti

Results of the preliminary design phase PRA for risk-informed design

Case #	Description	CDF	Δ (%)
00	Base case	1.98×10^{-6}	±00.00
01	ADS valves quarterly tested	5.88×10^{-7}	-70.15
02	EHRS (4/4 working) credited as a success criteria for DVI Line break	9.62×10^{-7}	-51.17
03	ADS provided with a third identical line	1.06×10^{-6}	-46.19
04	ADS provided with a third line with two solenoid valves	5.18×10 ⁻⁷	-73.71
05	EHRS provided with a solenoid valve instead of one of the two air-operated valves	1.74×10^{-6}	-11.67
06	ADS with one of the two line substituted with two solenoid valves	7.37×10^{-7}	-62.59
07	EHRS credited of successfully working also without closure of main steam isolation valves	1.42×10^{-6}	-27.92
08	EHRS provided with a stop-check valve instead of one of the two check valves	1.87×10^{-6}	-5.56
09	EBS provided with a solenoid valve instead of one of the two air-operated valves for train	1.97×10^{-6}	-0.50
10	EBS provided with different kind of motor-operated valves	1.98×10^{-6}	-
11	EBS not required for LOCA mitigation	1.98×10^{-6}	-
Combined cases			
12	01 + 02	5.33×10^{-7}	-73.08
13	01 + 02 + 07	2.26×10^{-7}	- 88.59
14	01 + 02 + 05 + 07	1.04×10^{-7}	-94.75
15	01 + 02 + 05 + 07 + 08	4.51×10^{-8}	-97.72
16	01 + 02 + 05 + 07 + 08 + 09	3.87×10^{-8}	-98.04
17	01 + 02 + 05 + 07 + 08 + 09 + 10	2.32×10^{-8}	-98.83
18	01 + 02 + 05 + 07 + 08 + 11 (new base case)	1.21×10^{-8}	- 99.39

ADS: automatic depressurization system, EBS: emergency boration system, EHRS: emergency heat removal system, DVI: direct vessel injection.

prof. Marco E. Ricotti













IRIS: results of the Safety-by-Design™ & Risk-Informed approaches

Criterion	Typical Advanced LWRs	IRIS			
Defense-in-Depth (DID)	Redundant and/or diverse active systems or Passive systems	No active systems; Safety-by-Design™ with fewer passive safety systems			
Class IV Design Basis	8 typically	Only 1 remains Class IV			
Events	considered	(fuel handling accident)			
Core Damage	~10 ⁻⁵ - 10 ⁻⁷ events	~10 ⁻⁸ events			
Frequency (CDF)	per year	per year			
Large Early Release	~10 ⁻⁶ - 10 ⁻⁸ events	~10 ⁻⁹ events			
Frequency (LERF)	per year	per year			

<u>10-8, 10-9... to be interpreted as "robust, balanced, optimal design" of the reactor</u> <u>safety level</u>

prof. Marco E. Ricotti

POLITECNICO DI MILANO

21

IAEA – Vienna 31 May 2012









- Elimination/strong reduction (NPP fences) of the Emerg. Planning Zone
- New procedure developed: Deterministic + Probabilistic needed to evaluate EPZ (function of radiation dose limit and NPP safety level)
- Procedure developed within a IAEA CRP; discussed with NRC









Risk-Informed process: design + deterministic safety + PSA level-2 and -3



25

IAEA - Vien 31 May 2012













Risk-Informed process: design + deterministic safety + PSA level-2 and -3

IAEA – Vienna 31 May 2012

SMR - Effective dose at 30 days: 10 mSv (rain conditions)













-			Exchanged Thermal Power		
-	200 MMgal/y		<u>Ethanol</u> 256 MW _{th}	(10% Surge capacity)	270 MWe
+	200 MMgal/y		Ethanol + HP bleeding 385 MW _{th}	(10% Surge capacity)	240 MWe
() +	250.000 m2/d		Desalination 520 MW _{th}		208 MWe
+	-	6	Ethanol 253 MW _{th}	(10% Surge capacity)	440 MM
	200 MMgal/y	300,000 m³/d	Desalination 635 MW _{th}		110 MVVe
🅦 +	-	L.	Ethanol + HP bleeding 389 MW _{th}	(10% Surge capacity)	105 MWo
	200 MMgal/y	240,000 m ³ /d	Desalination 506 MW		105 10100

Tools for Risk-Informed SMRs: probabilistic + deterministic analysis

Probabilistic side:

- PSA tools are available, dynamic & living PSA are under development
- uncertainties have to be taken into account
- Human Factors to be included since the beginning
- concurrent evaluation of complex systems besides NPP (SMRs are suitable for co-generation/co-production)
- Deterministic side:
 - Identification and consideration of extreme boundary conditions in the area, following extreme external events
 - Suitable modelling tools and experimental validation for passive safety systems and integral layout (eg for SMR-iPWR)

prof. Marco E. Ricotti

POLITECNICO DI MILANO

33

IAEA – Vienna 31 May 2012







SMR-iPWR (IRIS): SPES-3 large scale integral test facility

3. Integral Test Facility

- Full scale (1:1) in height temperature pressure
- Scaled 1:100 in power volume
- Testing of most accident scenarios
- Validation of codes and behavior of passive safety systems and containment-vessel coupling
- > 700 measurement points, new instrumentation developed
- 2010: scaling phase and design phase completed
- 2011: site preparation and control room completed, bid for components except RPV simulator
- 2012: start construction phase

prof. Marco E. Ricotti



35

IAEA - Vier

31 May 2012







SPES-3 nodalization: Primary, Secondary and RWST (RELAP, GOTHIC, TRACE)









IAEA – Vienna 31 May 2012

SPES-3 nodalization: Containment (RELAP, GOTHIC, TRACE)



prof. Marco E. Ricotti

POLITECNICO DI MILANO

SPES-3: integral reactor simulator

- 3 forged pieces + 4 helical coil SG simulators
- Detailed design provided by italian manufacturing enterprises
- Co-funding (50%) by same industries
- Estimated cost: 5 M€
- Co-funding: discussions with Regional Government, Ministry of Economic Development
- Partnership: Industries, ENEA, SIET labs, POLIMI

















- SMRs are likely to be more robust (with respect to current technology) towards extreme boundary conditions in the area, following severe external events: this potentiality has to be demonstrated (implementing Fukushima lessons learned is a critical test bed)
 - More easy to extend grace period? (3 → 7 days with no intervention); to set up "plug-in" supply systems? (water, electricity); to cope with extreme boundary conditions? (transportation, communications)
 - Warnings: multiple modules (common cause failure, HF)
- A new approach? "Progressive safety", to avoid cliff-edge effects?
 - Example: main building seismically isolated
 - Designed for a reference PGA
 - Later in time, **new knowledge** on the site may require to increase, even sensibly, the ref. PGA
 - New seismic isolators designed, tested and substituted













Lessons Learned from Fukushima Accident to Apply to Nuclear Power Technology Development

Additional Input from the Consultancy on SMR Engineered Safety Features on 30 May- 01 June 2012

Category	Individual Lessons Learned and Recommendations	Sources	Integrated Lessons Learned /CM Lessons (April 2012 + June 1 , 2012)	Applicable Reactor Type		/pe	Possible Countermeasures / Technology Development	
				LWR	HWR	HTGR	FR	
1. Design and siting	External Hazards: There is a need to ensure that in considering external natural hazards: • the siting and design of nuclear plants should include sufficient protection against infrequent and complex combinations of external events and these should be considered in the plant safety analysis – specifically those that can cause site flooding and which may have longer term impacts; • plant layout should be based on maintaining a 'dry site concept', where practicable, as a defence-in- depth measure against site flooding as well as physical separation and diversity of critical safety systems; • common cause failure should be particularly considered for multiple unit sites and multiple sites, and for independent unit recovery options, utilizing all on- site resources should be provided; • any changes in external hazards or understanding of them should be periodically reviewed for their impact on the current plant	IAEA Lesson 1	 1.1 Strengthen measures against extreme external events and subsequent events Excess of design basis earthquake did not cause any known significant damage (<i>will apply also to SMRs</i>) Design basis tsunami height is less than actual (<i>could apply also to SMRs</i>) Extensive tsunami and explosion damage and debris created significant logistical difficulties and inhibited response actions (<i>could apply, to a less</i> <i>extent -H2 explosions could be avoided</i> <i>in SMRs- also to SMRs</i>) Repeated earthquakes and tsunami threats stopped work on occasions (<i>could apply also to SMRs</i>) [Note] Positive lessons Negative lessons Neutral lessons 	Α				SMR countermeasures / developments: -usually SMRs have small footprint for the containment building/main building; some SMRs adopt the seismic isolation option; in case of re-evaluation of the seismic grade of the site (see NRC recommendation), the isolators could be re-designed and substituted, allowing further flexibility in updating the seismic resistance of the containment building/main building -cliff-edge effects, eg due to tsunami exceeding protective walls, can be avoided by use of passive safety systems (eg no DG, use of air cooling systems, etc.), or by incorporating by design suitable solutions (water-tight rooms, high elevation for critical safety systems outside the main building, H2 passive recombiners, explosion-proof secondary building, etc.) warnings: -in case of underground site (positive solution for seismics), water tightness of safety critical SSC and access paths (corridors, stairs, rooms, etc.) should be ensured -in case of safety critical SSC moved to higher elevation, other hazards should be addressed (aircraft crash, tornadoes, seismics, etc.)




Category	Individual Lessons Learned and Recommendations	Sources	Integrated Lessons Learned /CM Lessons (April 2012 + June 1 , 2012)	Applicable Reactor Type			pe	Possible Countermeasures / Technology Development
				LWR	HWR	HTGR	FR	
	 configuration; and an active tsunami warning system should be established with the provision for immediate operator action. 							
	Strengthen measures against earthquakes and tsunamis: we will consider the handling of plurally linked seismic centers as well as the strengthening of the quake resistance of external power supplies. Regarding tsunamis, from the viewpoint of preventing a severe accident, we will assume appropriate frequency and adequate height of tsunamis in consideration of a sufficient recurrence period for attaining a safety goal. Then, we will perform a safety design of structures, etc. to prevent the impact of flooding of the site caused by tsunamis of adequately assumed heights, in consideration of the destructive power of tsunamis. While fully recognizing a possible risk caused by the flooding into buildings of tsunamis exceeding the ones assumed in design, we will take measures from the viewpoint of having defenses-in-depth, to sustain the important safety	Japanes e Govern ment Lesson 1						





Category	Individual Lessons Learned and Recommendations	Sources	Integrated Lessons Learned /CM Lessons (April 2012 + June 1 , 2012)	Applicable Reactor Type			/pe	Possible Countermeasures / Technology Development
				LWR	HWR	HTGR	FR	
	sites and the huge destructive power of run-up waves.							
	Consideration of NPS	Japanes						
	arrangement in basic designs:	e						
	Japan will promote the adequate	Govern						
	placement of facilities and	ment						
	buildings at the stage of basic	Lesson						
	design of NPS arrangement, etc. in	1						
	order to further ensure the							
	and prevent an expansion of							
	impacts from the accident in							
	consideration of the occurrence of							
	serious accidents. In this regard, as							
	for existing facilities, additional							
	response measures will be taken to							
	add equivalent levels of							
	functionality to them.							
	Ensuring Protection:	NRC						
	The Task Force recommends that	Recom						
	the NRC require licensees to	mendati						
	reevaluate and upgrade as	on 2						
	necessary the design-basis seismic							
	structures systems and							
	components for each operating							
	reactor.							
	Ensuring Protection:	NRC						
	The Task Force recommends, as	Recom						
	part of the longer term review, that	mendati						
	the NRC evaluate potential	on 3						
	enhancements to the capability to							





Category	Individual Lessons Learned and Recommendations	Sources	Integrated Lessons Learned /CM Lessons (April 2012 + June 1 , 2012)	Applicable Reactor Type			pe	Possible Countermeasures / Technology Development
				LWR	HWR	HTGR	FR	
	prevent or mitigate seismically induced fires and floods.							
	Enhancing Mitigation: The Task Force recommends that the NRC strengthen SBO mitigation capability at all operating and new reactors for design-basis and beyond-design-	NRC Recom mendati on 4						
	basis external events. Impact of Natural Hazards: The UK nuclear industry should initiate a review of flooding studies, including from tsunamis, in light of the Japanese experience, to confirm the design basis and margins for flooding at UK nuclear sites, and whether there is a need to improve further site - specific flood risk assessments as part of the periodic safety review programme, and for any new reactors. This should include sea - level protection.	ONR IR-10						
	Seismeic Resilience: Once detailed information becomes available on the performance of concrete, other structures and equipment, the UK nuclear industry should consider	ONR IR-15						





Category	Individual Lessons Learned and Recommendations	Sources	Integrated Lessons Learned /CM Lessons (April 2012 + June 1 , 2012)	App	Applicable Reactor Type			Possible Countermeasures / Technology Development
				LWR	HWR	HTGR	FR	
	any implications for improved understanding of the relevant design and analyses.							
	Extreme External Events: When considering the recommendations in this report the UK nuclear industry should consider them in the light of all extreme hazards, particularly for plant layout and design of safety - related plant.	ONR IR-16						
	Safety Case: All nuclear site licensees should give appropriate and consistent priority to completing Periodic Safety Reviews (PSR) to the required standards and timescales, and to implementing identified reasonably practicable plant improvements.	ONR FR-1						
	Extreme External Events: The UK nuclear industry should ensure that structures, systems and components needed for managing and controlling actions in response to an accident, including plant control rooms, on - site emergency control centres and off - site emergency centres, are adequately protected against hazards that	ONR FR-2						





Category	Individual Lessons Learned and Recommendations	Sources	Integrated Lessons Learned /CM Lessons (April 2012 + June 1 , 2012)	App	licable R	eactor Ty	/pe	Possible Countermeasures / Technology Development
	could affect several simultaneously.			LWK	HWK	HIGK	FK	
	Extreme External Events: Structures, systems and components needed for managing and controlling actions in response to an accident, including plant control rooms, on - site emergency control centres and off - site emergency centres, should be capable of operating adequately in the conditions, and for the duration, for which they could be needed, including possible severe accident conditions.	ONR FR-3						
	External Hazards: There is a need to ensure that in considering external natural hazards: •; •; • common cause failure should be particularly considered for multiple unit sites and multiple sites, and for independent unit recovery options, utilizing all on- site resources should be provided;	IAEA Lesson 1	 1.2 Consider issues concerning multiple reactor sites and multiple sites Benefit from twin units (allowed temporary cross connection with electrical systems between unit 5 and unit 6) (<i>will apply also to SMRs</i>) Unexpected problem from twin units (H2 explosion in unit 4) Multi-unit failure caused unexpected challenges (<i>may apply also to SMRs</i>) 	A				SMR countermeasures / developments: -some SMRs are proposed in multiple units: some safety related SSC could be designed in order to supply endangered units on the site warnings: -multiple SMR units on the same site or in the same building have to duly address the common cause failure issues and related accident management concerns





Category	Individual Lessons Learned and Recommendations	Sources	Integrated Lessons Learned /CM Lessons (April 2012 + June 1 , 2012)	Applicable Reactor Type			/pe	Possible Countermeasures / Technology Development
				LWR	HWR	HTGR	FR	
	•; and •							
	Severe accidents: External events have a potential of affecting several plants and several units at the plants at the same time. This requires a sufficiently large resource in terms of trained experienced people, equipment, supplies and external support. An adequate pool of experienced personnel who can deal with each type of unit and can be called upon to support the affected sites should be ensured.	IAEA Lesson 7						





Category	Individual Lessons Learned and Recommendations	Sources	Integrated Lessons Learned /CM Lessons (April 2012 + June 1 , 2012)	App	Applicable Reactor Type		/pe	Possible Countermeasures / Technology Development
				LWR	HWR	HTGR	FR	
	Response to issues concerning the siting with more than one reactor: Japan will take measures to ensure that emergency operations at a reactor where an accident occurs can be conducted independently from operation at other reactors if one power station has more than one reactor. Also, Japan will assure the engineering independence of each reactor to prevent an accident at one reactor from affecting nearby reactors. In addition, Japan will promote the development of a structure that enables each unit to carry out accident responses independently,	Japanes e Govern ment Lesson 6		LWR	HWR	HTGR	FR	
	by choosing a responsible person for ensuring the nuclear safety of each unit.							
	Strengthening Emergency	NRC						
	Preparedness:	Recom						
	the Task Force recommends that the NRC require that facility emergency plans address prolonged SBO and multiunit events.	mendati on 9						
	Strengthening Emergency	NRC						
	Preparedness:	Recom						
	The Task Force recommends, as part of the longer term review, that the NRC pursue additional	mendati on 10						





Category	Individual Lessons Learned and Recommendations	Sources	Integrated Lessons Learned /CM Lessons (April 2012 + June 1 , 2012)	Applicable Reactor Type			/pe	Possible Countermeasures / Technology Development
				LWR	HWR	HTGR	FR	
	emergency preparedness topics related to multiunit events and prolonged SBO.							
	Multi-reactor Sites: The UK nuclear industry should ensure that safety cases for new sites for multiple reactors adequately demonstrate the capability for dealing with multiple serious concurrent events induced by extreme off - site hazards.	ONR IR-11						
	Ensure power supplies: Japan will secure a power supply at sites for a longer time set forth as a goal even in severe circumstances of emergencies, through the diversification of power supply sources by preparing various emergency power supply sources such as air-cooled diesel generators, gas turbine generators, etc., deploying power-supply vehicles and so on, as well as equipping switchboards, etc. with high environmental tolerance and generators for battery charging, and so on.	Japanes e Govern ment Lesson 2	 1.3 Ensure off-site and on-site electricity supplies All emergency D/Gs started as designed after off-site power loss (will apply also to SMRs that use DGs) Location of switchboards low in the building with little margin to external flooding design level was critical to the outcome of the accident (could be avoided by SMRs) Complete loss of DC power was not considered (e.g. LOCA closure of IC AC valves) (could be considered by SMRs) Signal was generated by loss of all DC power and caused IC valves to close (LOCA signal) disabling passive gratement (could be considered by SMRs) 	Α				 SMR countermeasures / developments: -usually SMRs rely on passive safety, thus on-site and off-site electricity should not be needed for the grace period; DG systems could be avoided (at least as safety grade systems) -SMRs could incorporate since the design phase the adoption of "plug-in" water+electricity supply, and the adoption of renewables+storage systems (?!?) warnings: -the identification of a suitable grace period (without need of intervention from operators and of electricity supply) should be duly addressed





Category	Individual Lessons Learned and Recommendations	Sources	Integrated Lessons Learned /CM Lessons (April 2012 + June 1, 2012)	Applicable Reactor Type			pe	Possible Countermeasures / Technology Development
				LWR	HWR	HTGR	FR	
	Enhancing Mitigation:	NRC						
	The Task Force recommends that	Recom						
	the NRC strengthen SBO	mendati						
	mitigation capability at all	on 4						
	operating and new reactors for							
	design-basis and beyond-design-							
	basis external events.							
	Strengthening Emergency	NRC						
	Preparedness:	Recom						
	The Task Force recommends that	mendati						
	amorgonou plana address	011 9						
	prolonged SBO and multiunit							
	events							
	Strengthening Emergency	NRC						
	Prenaredness.	Recom						
	The Task Force recommends, as	mendati						
	part of the longer term review, that	on 10						
	the NRC pursue additional							
	emergency preparedness topics							
	related to multiunit events and							
	prolonged SBO.							
	Off-site Electricity Supplies:	ONR						
	The UK nuclear industry should	IR-17						
	undertake further work with the							
	National Grid to establish the							
	robustness and potential							
	unavailability of off - site							
	electrical supplies under severe							
	hazard conditions.							





Category	Individual Lessons Learned and Recommendations	Sources	Integrated Lessons Learned /CM Lessons (April 2012 + June 1 , 2012)	Applicable Reactor Type		/pe	Possible Countermeasures / Technology Development	
	On-site Electricity Supplies: The UK nuclear industry should review any need for the provision of additional, diverse means of providing robust sufficiently long - term independent electrical supplies on sites, reflecting the loss of availability of off - site electrical supplies under severe conditions.	ONR IR-18		LWR	HWR	HIGK	FK	
	External Hazards: There is a need to ensure that in considering external natural hazards: • the siting and design of nuclear plants should include sufficient protection against infrequent and complex combinations of external events and these should be considered in the plant safety analysis – specifically those that can cause site flooding and which may have longer term impacts; • plant layout should be based on maintaining a 'dry site concept', where practicable, as a defence-in- depth measure against site flooding as well as physical separation and diversity of critical safety systems; • common cause failure should be particularly considered for multiple unit sites and multiple sites, and for independent unit	IAEA Lesson 1	 1.4 Ensure design of safety-related structures, systems and components All control rods successfully inserted (will have to apply also to SMRs) Non-electrically-driven systems (IC, RCIC) started as designed (will have to apply also to SMRs) Containment vessel integrity was lost on all at power units (1,2,3) (should be avoided by design by SMRs) Once-through cooling system in a damaged reactor creates a large amount of radioactive liquid waste (could apply also to SMRs) Access to some manual valves was difficult due to radiation concerns (should be avoided by design by SMRs) If unit 1 IC had been kept in service (and water makeup to tanks) the core damage would have been delayed or avoided Unit 2 and unit 3 would have needed to bleed (vent) and feed to avoid core 	A				SMR countermeasures / developments: -SMRs can implement since the design phase the safety related SSC needed to cope with CV integrity, diverse shutdown, core cooling and decay heat removal, with positive features easily exploitable by SMRs: *reduced decay heat and sorce term (due to small size), *wide use of passive safety features (eg air cooling or easily externally cooling containment vessel, large pools/large amount of water per MWth, with respect to current reactors), *reduced radiation field (internal shielding), *positioning of manually-activate safety components/systems in suitable areas, *in-vessel core retention and cooling warnings: -once through cooling system should be addressed and possibly eliminated, especially in case of underground siting





Category	Individual Lessons Learned and Recommendations	Sources	Integrated Lessons Learned /CM Lessons (April 2012 + June 1, 2012)	App	Applicable Reactor Type		pe	Possible Countermeasures / Technology Development
				LWR	HWR	HTGR	FR	
	recovery options, utilizing all on- site resources should be provided; •; and •		damage - limited by water supply and power to pump (steam)					
	Severe accidents: Particularly in relation to preventing loss of safety functionality, the robustness of defence-in-depth against common cause failure should be based on providing adequate diversity (as well as redundancy and physical separation) for essential safety functions	IAEA Lesson 9						
	Ensure robust cooling functions of reactors and PCVs: Japan will secure robust alternative cooling functions for its reactors and PCVs by securing alternative final heat sinks for a durable time. This will be pursued through such means as diversifying alternative water injection functions, diversifying and increasing sources for injection water, and	Japanes e Govern ment Lesson 3						





Category	Individual Lessons Learned and Recommendations	Sources	Integrated Lessons Learned /CM Lessons (April 2012 + June 1, 2012)	App	Applicable Reactor Type			Possible Countermeasures / Technology Development
				LWR	HWR	HTGR	FR	
	introducing air-cooling systems.							
	Ensuring the water tightness of essential equipment facilities: Japan will ensure the important safety functions even in the case of tsunamis greater than ones expected by the design or floods hitting facilities located near rivers. In concrete terms, Japan will ensure the water-tightness of important equipment facilities by installing watertight doors in consideration of the destructive power of tsunamis and floods, blocking flooding routes such as pipes, and installing drain pumps, etc.	Japanes e Govern ment Lesson 8						
	Ensuring the independence and diversity of safety systems: the Japanese Government will ensure the independence and diversity of safety systems so that common cause failures can be adequately addressed and the reliability of safety functions can be further improved.	Japanes e Govern ment Lesson 26						





Category	Individual Lessons Learned and Recommendations	Sources	Integrated Lessons Learned /CM Lessons (April 2012 + June 1 , 2012)	Applicable Reactor Type		/pe	Possible Countermeasures / Technology Development	
				LWR	HWR	HTGR	FR	
	Enhancing Mitigation: The Task Force recommends that the NRC strengthen SBO mitigation capability at all operating and new reactors for design-basis and beyond-design- basis external events.	NRC Recom mendati on 4						
	Site and Plant Layout: The UK nuclear industry should review the plant and site layouts of existing plants and any proposed new designs to ensure that safety systems and their essential supplies and controls have adequate robustness against severe flooding and other extreme external events. Extreme External Events: When considering the recommendations in this report the UK nuclear industry should consider them in the light of all extreme hazards, particularly for	ONR IR-13 ONR IR-16						
	extreme nazards, particularly for plant layout and design of safety - related plant. Exteme External Events: Structures, systems and components needed for managing and controlling actions in response to an accident, including plant control rooms, on - site emergency control centres and off - site emergency centres, should be capable of operating adequately in	ONR FR-3						





Category	Individual Lessons Learned and Recommendations	Sources	Integrated Lessons Learned /CM Lessons (April 2012 + June 1, 2012)	App]	licable R	eactor Ty	rpe FP	Possible Countermeasures / Technology Development
	the conditions, and for the duration, for which they could be needed, including possible severe accident conditions.			LWK			TR	
	Severe accidents: The risk and implications of hydrogen explosions should be revisited and necessary mitigating systems should be implemented.	IAEA Lesson 8	 1.5 Ensure measures for prevention and mitigation of hydrogen explosions Radioactive release was significantly reduced on unit 1 and unit 3 due to water scrubbing (could apply also to SMRs) Extensive tsunami and explosion damage and debris created significant logistical difficulties and inhibited response actions (could apply, to a less extent -H2 explosions could be avoided in SMRs- also to SMRs) Venting did not prevent containment failure due to inability to properly implement o Venting delay due to practical difficulties; High dose Lack of control air Lack of DC Lack of light Communication difficulties (could be avoided by SMRs) 	Α				SMR countermeasures / developments: -SMRs could exploit reduced H2 production due to smaller size warnings: -SMRs probably cannot avoid by design the H2 concerns





Category	Individual Lessons Learned and Recommendations	Sources	Integrated Lessons Learned /CM Lessons (April 2012 + June 1 , 2012)	Applicable Reactor Type		pe	Possible Countermeasures / Technology Development	
				LWR	HWR	HTGR	FR	
	Enhancement of measures to prevent hydrogen explosions: we will enhance measures to prevent hydrogen explosions such as by installing of flammability control systems that would function in the event of a severe accident in reactor buildings, for the purpose of discharging or reducing hydrogen in the reactor buildings, in addition to measures to address hydrogen within the PCVs.	Japanes e Govern ment Lesson 9						
	Enhancement of containment	Japanes						
	venting system: we will enhance the containment venting system by improving its operability, ensuring its independence, and strengthening	e Govern ment Lesson 10						
	its function of removing released	10						
	Enhancing Mitigation: The Task Force recommends requiring reliable hardened vent designs in BWR facilities with Mark I and Mark II containments.	NRC Recom mendati on 5						
	Ennancing Mitigation: The Task Force recommends, as part of the longer term review, that the NRC identify insights about hydrogen control and mitigation inside containment or in other buildings as additional information	NRC Recom mendati on 6						





Category	Individual Lessons Learned and Recommendations	Sources	Integrated Lessons Learned /CM Lessons (April 2012 + June 1 , 2012)	Applicable Reactor Type		/pe	Possible Countermeasures / Technology Development	
				LWR	HWR	HTGR	FR	
	is revealed through further study of the Fukushima Dai-ichi accident.							
	Combustible Gases: The UK nuclear industry should review the ventilation and venting routes for nuclear facilities where significant concentrations of combustible gases may be flowing or accumulating to determine whether more should be done to protect them.	ONR IR-21						
	Severe accidents: Emergency Response Centres should have available as far as practicable essential safety related parameters based on hardened instrumentation and lines such as coolant levels, containment status, pressure, etc., and have sufficient secure communication lines to control rooms and other places on- site and off-site. Off-site Emergency Arrangements to Protect the Public and Environment: Greater consideration should be given to providing hardened systems, communications and sources of monitoring equipment	IAEA Lesson 5 IAEA Lesson 10	 1.6 Ensure hardened instrumentation and lines for safety-related parameters and monitoring equipment Loss of reactor instrumentation data hampered operator understanding and response (also from TMI) Situation awareness was very difficult under these conditions (<i>situation may apply also to SMRs</i>) 	A				 SMR countermeasures / developments: SMR simplification in design and O&M should help in reducing that type of concern; also "mild and slow accident evolution" transients should help warnings: the topic requires innovative solutions - ie reliable instrumentation should be developed anyway for all the NPPs





irces	Integrated Lessons Learned /CM Lessons (April 2012 + June 1 , 2012)	Applicable Reactor Type		pe	Possible Countermeasures / Technology Development	
		LWR	HWR	HTGR	FR	
anes e vern ent sson 4 RC com ndati n 7 NR -22						
	nes ern nt son 4 .C om dati 7 .IR 22	nes ern nt son 4 <u>C</u> om dati 7 IR 22	nes ern nt son 4 <u>C</u> om dati 7 <u>IR</u> 22	nes ern nt son 4 TC om dati 7 TR 22	nes ern nt son t C om dati 7 IR 22	nes ern nt ion 4 C Om dati 7 R 22





Category	Individual Lessons Learned and Recommendations	Sources	Integrated Lessons Learned /CM Lessons (April 2012 + June 1 , 2012)	Applicable Reactor Type		pe	Possible Countermeasures / Technology Development	
				LWR	HWR	HTGR	FR	
	Ensure robust cooling functions of spent fuel pools: Japan will secure robust cooling measures by introducing alternative cooling functions such as a natural circulation cooling system or an air-cooling system, as well as alternative water injection functions in order to maintain the cooling of spent fuel pools even in case of the loss of power supplies. Enhancing Mitigation: The Task Force recommends enhancing spent fuel pool makeup capability and instrumentation for the spent fuel pool. Spent Fuel Strategies: The UK nuclear industry should ensure the adequacy of any new spent fuel strategies compared with the expectations in the Safety Assessment Principles of passive safety and good engineering practice. Fuel Pond Design: The UK nuclear industry should ensure that the design of new spent fuel ponds close to reactors minimises the need for bottom penetrations and lines that are prone to siphoning faults. Any that are necessary should be as robust	Japanes e Govern ment Lesson 4 NRC Recom mendati on 7 ONR IR-12 ONR IR-14	1.7 Enhance robustness of spent fuel cooling	A	HWR	HTGR	FR	SMR countermeasures / developments: several SMRs solutions use spent fuel pit below grade; due to the size of the core, less decay heat and less source term should be considered
	to faults as are the ponds							





Category	Individual Lessons Learned and Recommendations	Sources	Integrated Lessons Learned /CM Lessons (April 2012 + June 1 , 2012)	Applicable Reactor Type			/pe	Possible Countermeasures / Technology Development
				LWR	HWR	HTGR	FR	
	themselves.							
	Cooling Supplies: The UK nuclear industry should review the site contingency plans for pond water make up under severe accident conditions to see whether they can and should be enhanced given the experience at Fukushima.	ONR IR-20						
	Effective use of probabilistic safety assessment (PSA) in risk management: the Japanese Government will further actively and swiftly utilize PSA while developing improvements to safety measures including effective accident management measures based on PSA.	Japanes e Govern ment Lesson 27	1.8 Use PSA effectively for risk assessment and management - Analysis of plant had not previously considered all the failure modes that occurred (<i>in principle, that may apply</i> <i>to any NPP technology, GenIV</i> <i>included</i>) -The physical consequence of the events were largely in line with previous understanding	Α				SMR countermeasures / developments: SMRs can/must exploit risk-informed approach since the design phase, to encrease robustness of the safety level





Category	Individual Lessons Learned and Recommendations	Sources	Integrated Lessons Learned /CM Lessons (April 2012 + June 1 , 2012)	Applicable Reactor Type		/pe	Possible Countermeasures / Technology Development	
				LWR	HWR	HTGR	FR	
	Safety Case: The nuclear industry should ensure that adequate Level 2 Probabilistic Safety Analyses (PSA) are provided for all nuclear facilities that could have accidents with significant off - site consequences and use the results to inform further consideration of severe accident management measures. The PSAs should consider a full range of external events including "beyond design basis" events and extended mission times.	ONR FR-4						
2. On- site emergen cy prepared ness and response	Severe accidents: For severe situations, such as total loss of off-site power or loss of all heat sinks or the engineering safety systems, simple alternative sources for these functions including any necessary equipment (such as mobile power, compressed air and water supplies) should be provided for severe accident management.	IAEA Leson 2	 2.1 Ensure on-site emergency response facilities, equipment and procedures On-site emergency response center (seismically isolated) was very useful (should apply also to SMRs) The use of Non-Safety related systems limited damage (should apply also to SMRs) Loss of power supplies removed some communication equipment and delayed actions Lack of information limited external advice Recovery of heavily damaged equipment was not achieved Failure of some on-site communication systems delayed and complicated actions (specifically 	A				 SMR countermeasures / developments: SMRs usually provide simplification as a common characteristic: that should help significantly in reducing the problems (eg seismically isolated buildings, passive safety systems with active non-safety-related systems, standardised "plug-in" systems) warnings: the problem of communications and supply of emergency energy for light and instrumentation is probably a common issue for all the NPP technologies (innovative solutions are needed)





Category	Individual Lessons Learned and Recommendations	Sources	Integrated Lessons Learned /CM Lessons (April 2012 + June 1 , 2012)	Applicable Reactor Type		pe	Possible Countermeasures / Technology Development	
				LWR	HWR	HTGR	FR	
			 mobile systems) Lack of standardized external connections could have delayed reenergization Lack of light was a major issue Loss of HVAC created access difficulties (<i>in principle, some could apply also to SMRs - eg communication lost, some could be avoided by design by SMRs - eg standardised external connections</i>) The ability to physically locate and manually operate valves and equipment under difficult circumstances on the plant was very important 					
	Severe accidents: Such provisions as are identified in Lesson 2 should be located at a safe place and the plant operators should be trained to use them. This may involve centralized stores and means to rapidly transfer them to the affected site(s). Severe accidents: Nuclear sites should have adequate on-site seismically robust, suitably shielded, ventilated and well equipped buildings to house the Emergency Response Centres, with similar capabilities to those provided at Fukushima Dai-ni and Dai-ichi, which are also secure	IAEA Lesson 3 IAEA Lesson 4						





Category	Individual Lessons Learned and Recommendations	Sources	Integrated Lessons Learned /CM Lessons (April 2012 + June 1, 2012)	Applicable Reactor Type			ype	Possible Countermeasures / Technology Development
				LWR	HWR	HTGR	FR	
	against other external hazards such as flooding. They will require sufficient provisions and must be sized to maintain the welfare and radiological protection of workers needed to manage the accident.							
	Severe accidents: Emergency Response Centres should have available as far as practicable essential safety related parameters based on hardened instrumentation and lines such as coolant levels, containment status, pressure, etc., and have sufficient secure communication lines to control rooms and other places on- site and off-site.	IAEA Lesson 5						
	Severe accidents: Severe Accident Management Guidelines and associated procedures should take account of the potential unavailability of instruments, lighting, power and abnormal conditions including plant state and high radiation fields.	IAEA Lesson 6						





Category	Individual Lessons Learned and Recommendations	Sources	Integrated Lessons Learned /CM Lessons (April 2012 + June 1, 2012)	Applicable Reactor Type			/pe	Possible Countermeasures / Technology Development
				LWR	HWR	HTGR	FR	
	Thorough accident management (AM) measures: we will change the accident management measures from voluntary safety efforts by operators to legal requirements, and develop accident management measures to prevent severe accidents, including a review of design requirements as well, by utilizing a probabilistic safety assessment approach. Improvements to the accident response environment: we will enhance the accident response environment that enables continued accident response activities even in case of severe accidents through measures such as strengthening radiation shielding in the control rooms and the emergency centers, enhancing the exclusive ventilation and air conditioning systems on site, as well as strengthening related equipment, including communication and lightening systems without use of AC power	Japanes e Govern ment Lesson 5 Japanes e Govern ment Lesson 11		LWR	HWR	HTGR	FR	
	supply.							





Category	Individual Lessons Learned and Recommendations	Sources	Integrated Lessons Learned /CM Lessons (April 2012 + June 1 , 2012)	Applicable Reactor Type			pe	Possible Countermeasures / Technology Development
				LWR	HWR	HTGR	FR	
	Enhancement of the radiation exposure management system at the time of the accident: we will enhance the radiation exposure management system at the time of an accident occurs by storing the adequate amount of personal dosimeters and protection suits and gears for accidents, developing asystem in which radioactive management personnel can be expanded at the time of the accident and improving the structures and equipment by which the radiation doses of radiation	Japanes e Govern ment Lesson 12						
	Workers are measured promptly. Enhancing Mitigation: The Task Force recommends strengthening and integrating onsite emergency response capabilities such as emergency operating procedures, severe accident management guidelines, and extensive damage mitigation guidelines. Off - site Infrastructure Resilience:	NRC Recom mendati on 8						
	The UK nuclear industry should review the dependency of nuclear safety on off - site infrastructure in extreme conditions, and consider whether enhancements are necessary to sites' self sufficiency given for the reliability of the grid	IR-8						





Category	Individual Lessons Learned and Recommendations	Sources	Integrated Lessons Learned /CM Lessons (April 2012 + June 1, 2012)	Applicable Reactor Type			/pe	Possible Countermeasures / Technology Development
				LWR	HWR	HTGR	FR	
	under such extreme circumstances.							
	Cooling Supplies: The UK nuclear industry should review the need for, and if required, the ability to provide longer term coolant supplies to nuclear sites in the UK in the event of a severe off - site disruption, considering whether further on - site supplies or greater off - site capability is needed. This relates to both carbon dioxide and fresh water supplies, and for existing and proposed new plants.	ONR IR-19						
	Safety Case: The UK nuclear industry should review, and if necessary extend, analysis of accident sequences for long - term severe accidents. This should identify appropriate repair and recovery strategies to the point at which a stable state is achieved, identifying any enhanced requirements for central stocks of equipment and logistical support.	ONR IR-25						





Category	Individual Lessons Learned and Recommendations	Sources	Integrated Lessons Learned /CM Lessons (April 2012 + June 1 , 2012)	Applicable Reactor Type		vpe	Possible Countermeasures / Technology Development	
				LWR	HWR	HTGR	FR	
	Extreme External Events: Structures, systems and components needed for managing and controlling actions in response to an accident, including plant control rooms, on - site emergency control centres and off - site emergency centres, should be capable of operating adequately in the conditions, and for the duration, for which they could be needed, including possible severe accident conditions.	ONR FR-3						
	Severe accidents: External events have a potential of affecting several plants and several units at the plants at the same time. This requires a sufficiently large resource in terms of trained experienced people, equipment, supplies and external support. An adequate pool of experienced personnel who can deal with each type of unit and can be called upon to support the affected sites should be ensured. On-site Emergency Arrangements to Protect Workers: Large scale radiation protection for workers on sites under severe accident conditions can be effective if appropriately organized and with well led and suitable	IAEA Lesson 7 IAEA Lesson 14	2.2 Enhance human capabilities and capacities - Manual stop of HPCI in unit 3 prior to confirmation that the alternative system was effective	A				SMR countermeasures / developments: passive safety systems automatically actuated should avoid this concern; Human Factors can be taken into account since SMR design phase





Category	Individual Lessons Learned and Recommendations	Sources	Integrated Lessons Learned /CM Lessons (April 2012 + June 1 , 2012)	Applicable Reactor Type			/pe	Possible Countermeasures / Technology Development
				LWR	HWR	HTGR	FR	
	trained staff.							
	On-site Emergency Arrangements to Protect Workers: Exercises and drills for on-site workers and external responders in order to establish effective on-site radiological protection in severe accident conditions would benefit from taking account of the experiences at Fukushima.	IAEA Lesson 15						
	Enhancement of training responding to severe accidents: we will enhance training to respond to severe accidents by promptly building a structure for responding to accident restoration, identifying situations within and outside power plants, facilitating the gathering of human resources needed for securing the safety of residents and collaborating effectively with relevant organizations.	Japanes e Govern ment Lesson 13						





Category	Individual Lessons Learned and Recommendations	Sources	Integrated Lessons Learned /CM Lessons (April 2012 + June 1, 2012)	Applicable Reactor Type			/pe	Possible Countermeasures / Technology Development
				LWR	HWR	HTGR	FR	
	Human resources for nuclear safety and nuclear emergency preparedness and responses: the Japanese Government will enhance human resource development within the activities of nuclear operators and regulatory organizations along with focusing on nuclear safety education, nuclear emergency preparedness and response, crisis management and radiation medicine at educational organizations. Human Capabilities and Capacities: The UK nuclear industry should review existing severe accident contingency arrangements and training, giving particular consideration to the physical, organisational, behavioural, emotional and cultural aspects for workers having to take actions on - site, especially over long periods. This should take account of the impact of using contractors for some aspects on - site such as maintenance and their possible response.	Japanes e Govern ment Lesson 25 ONR IR-24						





Category	Individual Lessons Learned and Recommendations	Sources	Integrated Lessons Learned /CM Lessons (April 2012 + June 1 , 2012)	App	Applicable Reactor Type		/pe	Possible Countermeasures / Technology Development
				LWR	HWR	HTGR	FR	
3. Off- site emergen cy prepared ness and response	Severe accidents: For severe situations, such as total loss of off-site power or loss of all heat sinks or the engineering safety systems, simple alternative sources for these functions including any necessary equipment (such as mobile power, compressed air and water supplies) should be provided for severe accident management. Severe accidents: Such provisions as are identified in Lesson 2 should be located at a safe place and the plant operators should be trained to use them. This may involve centralized stores and means to rapidly transfer them to the affected site(s). Off-site Emergency Arrangements to Protect the Public and Environment: Greater consideration should be given to providing hardened systems, communications and sources of monitoring equipment for providing essential information for on-site and off-site responses, especially for severe accidents. Central control of emergency supplies and equipment and setting up rescue team: we will introduce systems for centrally controlling emergency	IAEA Leson 2 IAEA Lesson 3 IAEA Lesson 10 Japanes e Govern ment Lesson	3.1 Strengthen off-site infrastructure resilience - The use of off-site resources limited damage - Recovery of a heavily damaged plant is dependent on external equipment	A	ΠWK		FK	SMR countermeasures / developments: less dependence on off-site infrastructure should be implemented by design, passive safety features help
1			l					l





Category	Individual Lessons Learned and Recommendations	Sources	Integrated Lessons Learned /CM Lessons (April 2012 + June 1 , 2012)	App	Applicable Reactor Type			Possible Countermeasures / Technology Development
				LWR	HWR	HTGR	FR	
	supplies and equipment and setting up rescue teams for operating such systems in order to provide emergency support smoothly even under harsh circumstances.	15						
	Responses to combined emergencies of both large-scale natural disasters and prolonged nuclear accident: we will prepare the structures and environments where appropriate communication tools and devices and channels to procure supplies and equipment will be ensured in the case of concurrent emergencies of both a massive natural disaster and a prolonged nuclear accident. Also, assuming a prolonged nuclear accident, we will enhance emergency response preparedness including effective mobilization plans to gather human resources in various fields who are involved with accident response and support for affected persons	Japanes e Govern ment Lesson 16						
	Off - site Infrastructure Resilience:	ONR						
	Once further relevant information becomes available, the UK nuclear industry should review what lessons can be learnt from the comparison of the events at the Fukushima - 1 (Fukushima Dai -	IR-9						





Category	Individual Lessons Learned and Recommendations	Sources	Integrated Lessons Learned /CM Lessons (April 2012 + June 1 , 2012)	Applicable Reactor Type			/pe	Possible Countermeasures / Technology Development
				LWR	HWR	HTGR	FR	
	ichi) and Fukushima - 2 (Fukushima Dai - ni) sites.							
	Emergency Control Centres, Instrumentation and Communications: The UK nuclear industry, in conjunction with other organisations as necessary, should review the robustness of necessary off - site communications for severe accidents involving widespread disruption.	ONR IR-23						
	Safety Case: The UK nuclear industry should review, and if necessary extend, analysis of accident sequences for long - term severe accidents. This should identify appropriate repair and recovery strategies to the point at which a stable state is achieved, identifying any enhanced requirements for central stocks of equipment and logistical support.	ONR IR-25						





Category	Individual Lessons Learned and Recommendations	Sources	Integrated Lessons Learned /CM Lessons (April 2012 + June 1 , 2012)	Applicable Reactor Type		/pe FR	Possible Countermeasures / Technology Development	
	External Hazards:	ΙΔΕΔ	3.2 Strangthan national arrangements	for omer	geney n	ronorodn	066	probably no difference from SMP to LP
	There is a need to ensure that in	Lesson	and response	tor emer	gency p	epareun	C99	probably no unreferice noin Swik to LK
	considering external natural	1	- Fire engines were successful in injecti	na watei	• to syste	ms hut		
	hazards.	1	limited to low pressure	ing water	to syste	ms but		
	• •		- Off-site radiation monitoring posts di	d not coi	nsider lo	ss of nov	ver	
	• •		condition	u not coi	isiuei io	55 01 P 0 %		
	• •		- SPEEDI has to be used to be useful					
	• · · and		- 51 LEDI has to be used to be useful					
	• an active tsunami warning system							
	should be established with the							
	provision for immediate operator							
	action.							
	Off-site Emergency Arrangements	IAEA						
	to Protect the Public and	Lesson						
	Environment:	11						
	The use of IAEA Safety							
	Requirements (such as GS-R-2)							
	and related guides on threat							
	categorization, event classification							
	and countermeasures, as well as							
	Operational Intervention Levels,							
	could make the off-site emergency							
	preparedness and response even							
	more effective in particular							
	circumstances.							
	Central control of emergency	Japanes						
	supplies and equipment and setting	e						
	up rescue team:	Govern						
	we will introduce systems for	ment						
	centrally controlling emergency	Lesson						
	supplies and equipment and setting	15						
	up rescue teams for operating such							
I	systems in order to provide							





Category	Individual Lessons Learned and Recommendations	Sources	Integrated Lessons Learned /CM Lessons (April 2012 + June 1 , 2012)	Applicable Reactor Type			pe	Possible Countermeasures / Technology Development
				LWR	HWR	HTGR	FR	
	emergency support smoothly even under harsh circumstances.							
	Responses to combined emergencies of both large-scale natural disasters and prolonged nuclear accident: we will prepare the structures and environments where appropriate communication tools and devices and channels to procure supplies and equipment will be ensured in the case of concurrent emergencies of both a massive natural disaster and a prolonged nuclear accident. Also, assuming a prolonged nuclear accident, we will enhance emergency response preparedness including effective mobilization plans to gather human resources in various fields who are involved with accident response and support	Japanes e Govern ment Lesson 16						
	for affected persons.							
	Reinforcement of environmental monitoring	Japanes						
	the Government will develop a	Govern						
	structure through which the	ment						
	Government will implement	Lesson						
	environmental monitoring in a	17						
	reliable and well-planned manner							
	during emergencies.							





Category	Individual Lessons Learned and Recommendations	Sources	Integrated Lessons Learned /CM Lessons (April 2012 + June 1 , 2012)	Applicable Reactor Type			/pe	Possible Countermeasures / Technology Development
				LWR	HWR	HTGR	FR	
	Enhancement of communication relevant to the accident:	Japanes e						
	we will reinforce the adequate provision of information on the	Govern ment						
	accident status and response, along with appropriate explanations of the effects of radiation to the residents in the vicinity. Also, we	Lesson 19						
	will keep in mind having the future outlook on risk factors is included in the information delivered while incidents are still ongoing.							
	Adequate identification and forecasting of the effect of released	Japanes e						
	radioactive materials: The Japanese Government will	Govern ment						
	facilities to ensure that release source information can be securely	Lesson 21						
	obtained. Also, it will develop a plan to effectively utilize SPEEDI (System for Prediction of							
	Environmental Emergency Dose Information) and other systems to address various emergent cases							
	and disclose the data and results from SPEEDI, etc. from the earliest stages of such cases.							





Category	Individual Lessons Learned and Recommendations	Sources	Integrated Lessons Learned /CM Lessons (April 2012 + June 1 , 2012)	Applicable Reactor Type			/pe	Possible Countermeasures / Technology Development
				LWR	HWR	HTGR	FR	
	Strengthening Emergency Preparedness: The Task Force recommends, as part of the longer term review, that the NRC should pursue emergency preparedness topics related to decisionmaking, radiation monitoring, and public education. National Emergency Response Arrangements: The Government should consider carrying out a review of the Japanese response to the	NRC Recom mendati on 11 ONR IR-2						
	emergency to identify any lessons for UK public contingency planning for widespread emergencies, taking account of any social, cultural and organisational differences.							
	National Emergency Response Arrangements: The Nuclear Emergency Planning Liaison Group should instigate a review of the UK's national nuclear emergency arrangements in light of the experience of dealing with the prolonged Japanese event.	ONR IR-3						
	Openness and Transparency: Both the UK nuclear industry and ONR should consider ways of enhancing the drive to ensure more open, transparent and trusted	ONR IR-4						





Category	Individual Lessons Learned and Recommendations	Sources	Integrated Lessons Learned /CM Lessons (April 2012 + June 1 , 2012)	App	Applicable Reactor Type			Possible Countermeasures / Technology Development
				LWR	HWR	HTGR	FR	
	communications, and relationships, with the public and other stakeholders.							
	Planning Controls: The relevant Government departments in England, Wales and Scotland should examine the adequacy of the existing system of planning controls for commercial and residential developments off the nuclear licensed site. National Emergency Response Arrangements: The nuclear industry with others should review available techniques for estimating radioactive source terms and undertake research to test the practicability of providing real - time information on the basic characteristics of radioactive releases to the environment to the responsible off - site authorities, taking account of the range of conditions that may exist on and off the site	ONR FR-5 ONR FR-6						




Category	Individual Lessons Learned and Recommendations	Sources	Integrated Lessons Learned /CM Lessons (April 2012 + June 1 , 2012)	App	licable R	eactor Ty	/pe	Possible Countermeasures / Technology Development
				LWR	HWR	HTGR	FR	
	National Emergency Response Arrangements: The Government should review the adequacy of arrangements for environmental dose measurements and for predicting dispersion and public doses and environmental impacts, and to ensure that adequate up to date information is available to support decisions on emergency countermeasures.	ONR FR-7						
	Openness and Transparency: The Government should consider ensuring that the legislation for the new statutory body requires ONR to be open and transparent about its decision - making, so that it may clearly demonstrate to stakeholders its effective independence from bodies or organisations concerned with the promotion or utilisation of nuclear energy.	ONR FR-8						
	Research: ONR should expand its oversight of nuclear safety - related research to provide a strategic oversight of its availability in the UK as well as the availability of national expertise, in particular that needed to take forward lessons from Fukushima. Part of this will be to ensure that ONR has access to	ONR FR-10						





Category	Individual Lessons Learned and Recommendations	Sources	Integrated Lessons Learned /CM Lessons (April 2012 + June 1 , 2012)	App	licable R	eactor Ty	pe	Possible Countermeasures / Technology Development
				LWR	HWR	HTGR	FR	
	sufficient relevant expertise to fulfil its duties in relation to a major incident anywhere in the world.							
	Off-site Emergency Arrangements	IAEA	3.3 Enhance communication and	Α				
	to Protect the Public and	Lesson	contacts with the international					
	Environment:	13	community					
	community should take advantage							
	of the data and information							
	generated from the Fukushima							
	accident to improve and refine the							
	existing methods and models to							
	determine the source term involved							
	in a nuclear accident and refine							
	emergency planning arrangements.							





Category	Individual Lessons Learned and Recommendations	Sources	Integrated Lessons Learned /CM Lessons (April 2012 + June 1, 2012)	App	licable R	eactor Ty	pe	Possible Countermeasures / Technology Development
				LWR	HWR	HTGR	FR	
	Enhancement of responses to	Japanes						
	assistance from other countries and	e						
	communication to the international	Govern						
	community:	ment						
	the Japanese Government will	Lesson						
	contribute to developing a global	20						
	structure for effective responses,							
	by cooperating with the							
	international community, for							
	example, developing a list of							
	supplies and equipment for							
	effective responses to any							
	accident, specifying contact points							
	for each country in advance in case							
	of an accident, enhancing the							
	information sharing framework							
	through improvements to the							
	international notification system,							
	and providing faster and more							
	accurate information to enable the							
	implementation of measures that							
	are based upon scientific evidence.							
	International Arrangements for	ONR						
	Response:	IR-1						
	The Government should approach							
	IAEA, in co - operation with							
	others, to ensure that improved							
	arrangements are in place for the							
	dissemination of timely							
	authoritative information relevant							
	to a nuclear event anywhere in the							
	world.							





Category	Individual Lessons Learned and Recommendations	Sources	Integrated Lessons Learned /CM Lessons (April 2012 + June 1, 2012)	App	licable R	eactor Ty	/pe	Possible Countermeasures / Technology Development
4. Nuclear safety culture and infrastru cture	Global Nuclear Safety: The UK Government, nuclear industry and ONR should support international efforts to improve the process of review and implementation of IAEA and other relevant nuclear safety standards and initiatives in the light of the Fukushima - 1 (Fukushima Dai - ichi) accident. Off-site Emergency Arrangements to Protect the Public and Environment: The use of long term sheltering is not an effective approach and has been abandoned and concepts of 'deliberate evacuation' and 'evacuation-prepared area' were introduced for effective long term countermeasures using guidelines of the ICRP and IAEA. Establishment of a clear division of labor between relevant central and local organizations: we will review and define roles and responsibilities of relevant organizations including the NERHQs (Nuclear Emergency Response Headquarters), clearly specify roles, responsibilities and tools for communication while also improving institutional mechanisms.	ONR FR-9 IAEA Lesson 12 Japanes e Govern ment Lesson 18	4.1 Review and clarify regulatory and emergency response framework - Consideration between evacuations and venting decision					SMR countermeasures / developments: emergency response needs and ares should be limited by SMR source term and level of safety





Category	Individual Lessons Learned and Recommendations	Sources	Integrated Lessons Learned /CM Lessons (April 2012 + June 1 , 2012)	Арр	licable R	eactor Ty	/pe	Possible Countermeasures / Technology Development
				LWR	HWR	HTGR	FR	
	Clear definition of widespread evacuation areas and radiological protection guidelines in nuclear emergency: the Japanese Government will make much greater efforts to clearly define evacuation areas and guidelines for radiological protection in nuclear emergencies. Clarifying the Regulatory Framework: The Task Force recommends establishing a logical, systematic, and coherent regulatory framework for adequate protection that appropriately balances defense-in- depth and risk considerations. Safety Assessment Approach: Once further detailed information is available and studies are completed, ONR should undertake a formal review of the Safety Assessment Principles to determine whether any additional guidance is necessary in the light of the Fukushima accident, particularly for "cliff - edge"	Japanes e Govern ment Lesson 22 NRC Recom mendati on 1 ONR IR-5		LWR	HWR	HTGR	FR	
	effects.							





Category	Individual Lessons Learned and Recommendations	Sources	Integrated Lessons Learned /CM Lessons (April 2012 + June 1 , 2012)	App	licable R	eactor Ty	pe	Possible Countermeasures / Technology Development
				LWR	HWR	HTGR	FR	
	 External Hazards: There is a need to ensure that in considering external natural hazards: ; ; any changes in external hazards or understanding of them should be periodically reviewed for their impact on the current plant configuration; and 	IAEA Lesson 1	4.2 Reinforce safety regulatory bodies and legal structures	Α				
	Off-site Emergency Arrangements to Protect the Public and Environment: The use of IAEA Safety Requirements (such as GS-R-2) and related guides on threat categorization, event classification and countermeasures, as well as Operational Intervention Levels, could make the off-site emergency preparedness and response even more effective in particular circumstances.	IAEA Lesson 11						
	Follow-up IRRS (Integrated Regulatory Review Service) Mission: Nuclear regulatory systems should ensure that regulatory independence and clarity of roles are preserved in all circumstances	IAEA Lesson 16						





Category	Individual Lessons Learned and Recommendations	Sources	Integrated Lessons Learned /CM Lessons (April 2012 + June 1, 2012)	App	licable R	eactor Ty	/pe	Possible Countermeasures / Technology Development
				LWR	HWR	HTGR	FR	
	in line with IAEA Safety Standards.							
	Thorough accident management (AM) measures: we will change the accident management measures from voluntary safety efforts by operators to legal requirements, and develop accident management measures to prevent severe accidents, including a review of design requirements as well, by utilizing a probabilistic safety assessment approach	Japanes e Govern ment Lesson 5						
	Reinforcement of safety regulatory bodies: the Japanese Government will separate NISA (Nuclear and Industrial Safety Agency) from METI (Ministry of Economy, Trade and Industry) and start to review implementing frameworks, including the NSC (Nuclear Safety Commission) and relevant ministries, for the administration of nuclear safety regulations and for environmental monitoring.	Japanes e Govern ment Lesson 23						





Category	Individual Lessons Learned and Recommendations	Sources	Integrated Lessons Learned /CM Lessons (April 2012 + June 1, 2012)	App	licable R	eactor Ty	/pe	Possible Countermeasures / Technology Development
				LWR	HWR	HTGR	FR	
	Establishment and reinforcement	Japanes						
	of legal structures, criteria and	е						
	guidelines:	Govern						
	the Japanese Government will	ment						
	review and improve the legal	Lesson						
	structures governing nuclear safety	24						
	and nuclear emergency							
	preparedness and response, along							
	with related criteria and guidelines.							
	During this process, it will							
	reevaluate measures taken against							
	age-related degradation of existing							
	facilities, from the viewpoint of							
	structural reliability as well as the							
	necessity of responding to new							
	knowledge and expertise including							
	progress in system concepts. Also,							
	the Japanese Government will							
	clarify technical requirements							
	based on new laws and regulations							
	or on new findings and knowledge							
	for facilities that have already been							
	approved and licensed, in other							
	words, it will clarify the status of							
	retrofitting in the context of the							
	legal and regulatory framework.							
	The Japanese Government will							
	make every effort to contribute to							
	improving safety standards and							
	guidelines of the IAEA by							
	providing related data.							





Category	Individual Lessons Learned and Recommendations	Sources	Integrated Lessons Learned /CM Lessons (April 2012 + June 1, 2012)	App	licable R	eactor Ty	/pe	Possible Countermeasures / Technology Development
				LWR	HWR	HTGR	FR	
	Human resources for nuclear	Japanes						
	safety and nuclear emergency	e						
	preparedness and responses:	Govern						
	the Japanese Government will	ment						
	enhance human resource	Lesson						
	development within the activities	25						
	of nuclear operators and regulatory							
	organizations along with focusing							
	on nuclear safety education,							
	nuclear emergency preparedness							
	and response, crisis management							
	and radiation medicine at							
	educational organizations.							
	Improving the Efficiency of NRC	NRC						
	Programs:	Recom						
	The Task Force recommends that	mendati						
	the NRC strengthen regulatory	on 12						
	oversight of licensee safety							
	performance (i.e., the Reactor							
	Oversight Process) by focusing							
	more attention on defense-in-depth							
	requirements consistent with the							
	freemended derense-in-depth							
	Tramework.							
	Emergency Response	UNK						
	Afrangements and Exercises:	IK-0						
	ONR should consider to what							
	extent long - term severe accidents							
	can and should be covered by the							
	programme of emergency							
	exercises overseen by the							
	regulator.							





Category	Individual Lessons Learned and Recommendations	Sources	Integrated Lessons Learned /CM Lessons (April 2012 + June 1 , 2012)	App	licable R	eactor Ty	pe	Possible Countermeasures / Technology Development
				LWR	HWR	HTGR	FR	
	Emergency Response Arrangements and Exercises: ONR should review the arrangements for regulatory response to potential severe accidents in the UK to see whether more should be done to prepare for such very remote events.	ONR IR-7						
	Thoroughly instill a safety culture: the nuclear operators whose organization and individuals have primary responsibility for securing safety should look at every knowledge and every finding, and confirm whether or not they indicate a vulnerability of a plant. They should reflect as to whether they have been serious in introducing appropriate measures for improving safety, when they are not confident that risks concerning the public safety of the plant remain low. organizations or individuals involved in national nuclear regulations, as those who responsible for ensuring the nuclear safety of the public, should reflect whether they have been serious in addressing new knowledge in a responsive and prompt manner, not leaving any doubts in terms of safety.	Japanes e Govern ment Lesson 28	 4.3 Thoroughly improve and instil safety culture Operators performed above and beyond call of duty Operators stayed within approved dose limits (except for limited inadvertent events) Operators/utility had no hesitation in carrying out actions to the benefit of the public but economic detriment to the facility Appropriate operational response was not constrained by fear of personal harm Operators initiated non-standard procedures early in the event (e.g. fire engines) 	Α				SMR countermeasures / developments: simplicity usually adopted in SMRs should help





Category	Individual Lessons Learned and Recommendations	Sources	Integrated Lessons Learned /CM Lessons (April 2012 + June 1 , 2012)	App	licable R	eactor Ty	ype	Possible Countermeasures / Technology Development
				LWR	HWR	HTGR	FR	
	Japan will establish a safety culture by going back to the basics, namely that pursuing defenses-in- depth is essential for ensuring nuclear safety, by constantly learning professional knowledge on safety, and by maintaining an attitude of trying to identify weaknesses as well as room in the area of safety. Strengthening Emergency Preparedness: The Task Force recommends, as part of the longer term review, that the NRC should pursue emergency preparedness topics related to decisionmaking, radiation monitoring, and public education. Human Capabilities and Capacities:	NRC Recom mendati on 11 ONR FP. 11		LWK	IIWK			
	Capacities: The UK nuclear industry should continue to promote sustained high levels of safety culture amongst all its employees, making use of the National Skills Academy for Nuclear and other schemes that promote "nuclear professionalism".	FR-11						

OECD-NEA

Committee for Technical and Economic Studies on Nuclear Energy Development and The Fuel Cycle (NDC) 7th Meeting of the Working Party on Nuclear Energy Economics, 10 November 2011

List of the presentations, contributions and discussions

٠	Report on Work of the International Atomic Energy Agency in the Areas of Economics and Finance
	(Presentation by the IAEA Secretariat)
٠	Results of the Workshop on "Enhancing the Contribution of Nuclear Power to a Low-carbon
	Electricity Future" held on 9 November 2011 (Open discussion) [Agenda – NEA/NDC(2011)26]
٠	Feedback on Presentations and Sales of the WPNE study on "Carbon Pricing, Power Markets and the
	Competitiveness of Nuclear Energy" [NEA/NDC(2011)36]
The Sy	stem Effects of Nuclear Power:
٠	Detailed Outline: Update and Status of Activities in the Context of the System Effects Study
	[NEA/NDC(2011)21/REV] (Presentation by Secretariat)
٠	Chapter 1. "The System Effects Engendered and Experienced by Nuclear Power"
	[NEA/NDC(2011)27] (Presentation by Secretariat of advanced draft for comment and discussion)
٠	Chapter 3. "The Contribution of Nuclear Power to the Minimisation of Long-Run and Short-Run
	System Effects" [NEA/NDC(2011)37]
٠	Chapter 4. "A Comparaison of the Grid and System Costs of Different Technologies" (Presentation by
	Secretariat and discussion)
٠	Chapter 5. "The Institutional and Regulatory Framework for Integrating System Effects and
	Flexibility Services" [NEA/NDC(2011)29]. (Presentation by Secretariat of advanced draft for
	comment and discussion)
٠	Chapter 6a. "Smart Electricity Grids" [NEA/NDC(2011)30] (Presentation of advanced draft for
	comment and discussion, Dirk Van Herten, University of Leuwen)
•	Chapter 6b. "SMR Economic Potential in Integrated Electricity Systems" [NEA/NDC(2011)38]
	(Presentation of draft for comment and discussion, Marco Ricotti, Politecnico Di Milano)
•	Proposed New Title for the WPNE Project on "The System Effects of Nuclear Power"
	[NEA/NDC(2011)31] (Initiating discussion about title and policy conclusions of study)
•	"Economics of Long-term Operation of Nuclear Power Plants" [NEA/NDC(2011)34] (Summary
	Record of the 1st Meeting of the Expert Group) (Presentation by Secretariat)
•	"Economics of the Back-End of the Nuclear Fuel Cycle" [NEA/NDC(2011)24] (Draft Agenda of the
	1st Meeting of the Expert Group). (Presentation by Secretariat).







SMRs are gaining increasing interest all around the "nuclear" world

But, since the nuclear "business as usual" is Large Size NPPs:

- > Are SMRs economically-financially competitive?
- > Are SMRs' technology & deployment representing a "similar" or "scaled" business with respect to LRs?
- How can we evaluate and quantify penalties/advantages of SMRs vs LRs?
- > Are there already suitable tools to compare such deployments?

Origin of the work: IRIS project opportunity + collaboration with IAEA Scope: comparison of deployments (SMRs vs LRs)







- Factors not fully quantifiable and not explicitly considered in an investment evaluation (technical, social,...)
 Impossible to manage or control in some or all the phases
- of the project
 Able to influence the economic performances or the feasibility of the project

prof.Marco Ricotti

POLITECNICO DI MILANO

Evaluation of the impact

on LR and SMR:

differential?

4

Project Risk







Constraints

Each configuration

prof.Marco Ricotti

Differential

POLITECNICO DI MILANO

Qualitative









External Factors differential between LR and SMR

PROJECT LIFE CYCLE RELATED

Qualitative	Sub- Factors	Evaluation	Result
	FOAK risks	Qualitative	Differential
Risks Associated to the Project	Supply Chain	Qualitative	Differential
	Construction	Qualitative	Differential
Design Robustness	-	Quantitative	Differential
Historical and Political Aspects	-	Qualitative	Differential
Competences Required for the Operations	-	Qualitative	Differential



- Ancillary services are in charge to maintain the security and the quality of electricity supply
- To control the system frequency is the most important of them: it requires that a certain amount of active power be kept in reserve, to re-establish the balance between load and generation in continuous. Such reserve is usually named as "spinning reserve",

SPINNING RESERVE DEFINITION:

Unutilized generation capacity which can be activated **by the TSO** (Transmission System Operator) to control the electric system frequency, **independently** from the time window of availability.









QUANTIFICATION ALGORITHM

- Split electric system in different areas which can be considered like isolated systems
- Calculate the LC (Largest Contingency) for every area: it's the sum of the two largest generating unit. The WE (Worst Event) is the contemporary outage of the two main autonomous groups of generation in the area.
- Hypothesize to establish in each area a 1340 MWe LR or four stand-alone 335 MWe. Calculate new LC for each of new cases and the difference from the actual LC. If difference is not zero, new NPPs increase required spinning reserve and the burden for TSO.
- 4. Sum the differences of all areas for each configuration: one LR and four SMRs. Results measure the increase of reserve due to LRs or SMRs construction on the whole territory. The nearer to one the ratio between sums is, the smaller the differential impact will be.



IMPLEMENTATION ON THE ITALIAN SCENARIO







WPNE meeting Pasts, 10 Nov. 2011



C4 0

IMPLEMENTATION ON THE ITALIAN SCENARIO

	Ste	p Z			Ste	ep 3		
ADEA	ACTUAL	ACTUAL		1 LR			4 SMR	
AREA	WE	LC	WE	LC	DIFF.	WE	LC	DIFF.
Turin	790+800	1.590	1.340+800	2.140	550	As actual	1.590	0
Milan	800+850	1.650	1.340+850	2.190	540	As actual	1.650	0
Venice	660+660	1.320	1.340+660	2.000	680	As actual	1.320	0
Florence	390+390	780	1.340+390	1.730	950	As actual	780	0
Rome	770+660	1.430	1.340+770	2.110	680	As actual	1.430	0
Naples	660+660	1.320	1.340+660	2.000	680	As actual	1.320	0
Palermo	376+376	752	1.340+376	1.716	964	As actual	752	0
Cagliari	575+350	925	1.840+575	1.915	990	As actual	925	0
SUM		9.767		15.801	6.043		9.767	0
RATIO		Step	64 (+61,8%)	(+0,0%	0

12



The construction of LR would require 61,8% more spinning reserve than today

prof.Marco Ricotti

- The construction of SMR does not cause any increments
- Impact on required spinning reserve supports SMR option

(assumption: 1 LR built in each area; penalty increase if 2 LRs per area are built; penalty reduces if >2 LRs are built per area)

prof.Marco Ricotti

POLITECNICO DI MILANO









- Vulnerability Index (VI) of the Power System (with LRs or with SMRs)
- LRs / SMRs must be located in the different generation nodes of the grid;
- for each new configuration, the calculation of VIs evaluates the effect of outages of different transmission lines.
- At same level of lines' congestion: the larger the site's output, the higher the risk of overloads.
 Differential impact (LR-SMRs) higher in case of generation nodes connected to the most congested transmission lines.
- Electric grid vulnerability is not differential considering multiple SMRs on the same site, with total equivalent power installed than LR.

	CONDITION	POWER (MWe)	AREAS 1-5	AREA 6
$5 + \Delta P + 2$	Normal	Generation	100	100
+ AP 6 + AP	working	Load	100	100
ALL ALL	Instant of unit	Generation	100	0
(3)	#6 outage	Load	84	80
	After	Generation	120	0
	activation of reserves	Load	100	100

EF Example-3: Mean Variance Portfolio

prof.Marco Ricotti

- Mean Variance Portfolio theory (MVP) is the most widely used method for the optimization of the electricity generation mix.
- Identify the best power plants portfolio from the investor point of view towards risk attitude: IRR as key profitability indicator, with its probability distribution.
- Optimal portfolio: the higher IRR expected value, with given IRR variance; OR

Optimal portfolio: lowest variance, with given IRR.

Best mix on IRR/variance: optimum frontier match the investor risk aversion and profitability requirements.

Large Electricity Markets: MVP analysis theoretical (best portfolio is a public governance issue)

Investment decisions taken by each Utility (in a liberalized market). Generation capacity is limited, similar to Small Electricity markets (e.g. 2 GW) "Large markets" made up by "Small Markets"

15

14

prof.Marco Ricotti

POLITECNICO DI MILANO







- The DCF is a capital budget method, which looks at projects in isolation. It determines the future cash flows the project may generate, and discounts those to today's value at a project-specific discount rate that reflects the perceived risk of the cash flows.
- DCF assumes that the firm will embark on a rigid and inflexible path forward, ignoring and failing to respond and adjust to any changes in the market place.
- DCF ignores the value of managerial flexibility to react to future uncertainties. In the future new information may arrive and the original investment plan will change.
- DCF ignores the fact that investments often come in natural, sequential steps with multiple "go" or "no-go" decisions

17	prof.Marco Ricotti	POLITECNICO DI MILANO	







An option arises when information can modify the outcome of future investment decisions. This methodology is particularly applicable when there is a high degree of uncertainty, some managerial flexibility, and not all the information is known at a given time.

prof.Marco Ricotti

POLITECNICO DI MILANO

19







REAL OPTIONS – Example for nuclear case

Options	Description
Abandon	Creating an abandonment option you have the right not to continue a project should the business conditions be negative (Brach, M.A. 2003), (Mun, Johnathan 2002).
Expand	Management can expand production or increase resource deployment if the market environment develops favorably (Rogers, Jamie 2002).
Delay/Defer	The option to delay is exercised when the firm owning the rights to the project decides when to invest on it (Brach, M.A. 2003), (Mun, Johnathan 2002).
Stage	It is the ability to break up investment into incremental, conditional step (Brach, M.A. 2003).
Learn	It is the firm's ability to reduce technical uncertainty through investment to obtain a reliable and precise understanding of the future (Brach, M.A. 2003).

21

prof.Marco Ricotti





Paris, 10 Nov. 2017





22

POLITECNICO DI MILANO

NEAL OPTIONS - preliminary results

prof.Marco Ricotti

Electricity Long run mean Electricity Long Run Mean 59,4 €/MWh FCFO without option FCFO with option % Option Value -317,9 117.9 137% 69,9 €/MWh 502,8 610.2 21% 80,4 €/MWh 1293,8 1337,8 3,4% 90,9 €/MWh 2054,3 2088,7 1.7%







REAL OPTIONS - preliminary results

Equipment Volatility Equipment volatility FCFO without option FCFO with option % Option Value 7.5% 501,3 536,7 7% 10% 499,8 556,4 11% 15% 502,8 610,2 21% 20% 488,3 670,3 37% 22,5% 487.4 712,1 46% % Option Value 50% 40%





- The flexibility allows the investor to react in an environment affected by uncertain conditions
- > The DCF techniques underestimate the value of SMR

prof.Marco Ricotti

- An investment in SMR has more degrees of freedom than an investment in LR
- The intrinsic SMR' investment flexibility can be fully quantified by Real Options
- > Real Options do not aim to predict the future, but evaluate in financial terms [€] the value of catching opportunities and/or heading negative risks

25	prof.Marco Ricotti	POLITECNICO DI MILANO		







Model development is still on-going, in the following areas:

- Risk evaluation & stochastic approach (DONE oct. 2011)
- Bottom-up cost-estimation of different SMR size and design
- External factors integration & MADM
- Real Options model

prof.Marco Ricotti





Short Info on the Collaboration Agreements between Politecnico di Milano and some Small Modular Reactor projects

In the recent period, and due to the expertise gained by POLIMI in the last 10 years through the participation to the IRIS international project, some Collaboration Agreements have been signed by POLIMI with some Small Modular Reactor projects, paving the way for possible R&D activities in the very next future. In particular:

- with DCNS company, a French organisation involved in the design of the FlexBlue reactor, an SMR of 100MWe size, PWR integral type, mounted into a submarine hull and to be located 100m deep in the sea, interested in collaborating on the design of passive safety systems and on experimental activities devoted to thermal fluid dynamics investigation of the passive systems;

- with NuScale company, a US organisation involved in teh design of the NuScale reactor, an SMR of 45MWe per module, PWR integral type, natural circulation, to be deployed in cluster of 12 modules, interested in collaborating on the design and investigation, both modelling and experimental, of helical coil steam generators and of passive safety systems, as well as on the investigation of the profitability and other financial and economic features for a multi-module deployment strategy.

Recently, also another US company, Flibe Energy, asked for a collaboration on the modellig of molten salt modular reactors, for the investigation of fluid dynamics and neutronics behavior, with Thorium as a reference fuel cycle.

Other relationships are under development and consolidation, mainly for the use, for R&D purposes, of the INCAS code, developed by POLIMI for the simulation of different strategies of deployment of SMRs and the analysis of the corresponding costs, profitability and other financial and economic features. An Agreement with the Ghana Atomic Energy Commission has been already signed, others are under discussion (e.g. with Finland, China, South Korea, Russia).





CURRICULUM SCIENTIFICO DEL GRUPPO DI LAVORO

Il gruppo di lavoro impegnato nell'attività è costituito da un professore ordinario di Impianti Nucleari del Politecnico di Milano (Dipartimento Energia), Marco Enrico Ricotti, e da una Assegnista di Ricerca, Sara Boarin.

Il prof. Ricotti svolge attività di ricerca da più di 20 anni al Politecnico nel campo della Ingegneria Nucleare, con particolare riferimento alla termoidraulica, alla sicurezza, agli aspetti economici dell'energia nucleare ed ha svolto o coordinato ricerche teorico-modellistiche e sperimentali nel gruppo Reattori Nucleari del Dipartimento di Energia del Politecnico di Milano, che attualmente guida, pubblicando i risultati su rivista e in atti di Congresso, quasi esclusivamente internazionali. Insieme all'ing. Boarin è anche autore di diversi rapporti nell'ambito dei PAR trascorsi.

L'ing. Boarin ha una esperienza pluriennale di collaborazione di ricerca con il Politecnico sulle tematiche economicofinanziarie dei reattori nucleari, nonché una esperienza lavorativa presso banche di affari internazionali. E' coautrice di paper scientifici e report per organizzazioni internazionali (OECD, IAEA).

Maggiori dettagli sulle attività di ricerca nonché l'elenco delle pubblicazioni più recenti si possono trovare sul sito Web del gruppo di ricerca del Politecnico di Milano (http://www.nuclearenergy.polimi.it).



