



RICERCA DI SISTEMA ELETTRICO

Implementation and validation of the NURISP platform

F. Bassenghi, G. Bornia, L. Deon, S. Manservisi, P. Meloni, M. Polidori



Report RdS/2011/126

IMPLEMENTATION AND VALIDATION OF THE NURISP PLATFORM

F. Bassenghi, G. Bornia, L. Deon, S. Manservisi – Unibo Dienca, P. Meloni, M. Polidori - ENEA

Settembre 2011

Report Ricerca di Sistema Elettrico

Accordo di Programma Ministero dello Sviluppo Economico – ENEA Area: Governo, Gestione e sviluppo del sistema elettrico nazionale Progetto: Nuovo nucleare da fissione: collaborazioni internazionali e sviluppo competenze in materia nucleare

Responsabile Progetto: Paride Meloni, ENEA



di

107

Titolo

Implementation and validation of the NURISP platform

Descrittori

Tipologia del documento:	Rapporto Tecnico
Collocazione contrattuale:	Accordo di programma ENEA-MSE: tema di ricerca "Nuovo
	nucleare da fissione"
Argomenti trattati:	Reattori nucleari evolutivi
	Termoidraulica dei reattori nucleari
	Codici di calcolo

Sommario

La piattaforma NURISP è un progetto europeo sviluppato per simulare il comportamento termoidraulico dei componenti degli impianti nucleari e facility sperimentali. Questa piattaforma è utilizzata per analizzare situazioni fisiche a diverse scale e consiste di numerosi codici che vengono utilizzati per generare mesh, visualizzare i risultati e risolvere equazioni che descrivono lo stato del sistema da simulare. La piattaforma di gestione SALOME e i codici TRIO U, NEPTUNE e CATHARE sono stati installati sul computer cluster CRESCO ENEA-GRID che si trova a Portici. TRIO U e il codice NEPTUNE risolvono le equazioni termoidrauliche in geometrie multidimensionali con particolare attenzione al flusso bifase. Il codice CATHARE è un codice a parametri concentrati che viene utilizzato per studiare il comportamento dipendente dal tempo di sistemi complessi. In questo rapporto sono discussi l'implementazione e la validazione della piattaforma effettuata attraverso il confronto con dati sperimentali. Il codice NEPTUNE è validato con dati sperimentali dell'impianto PERSEO e il codice TRIO U con alcuni dati sperimentali riguardanti una bolla che si stacca da pareti riscaldate. CATHARE è validato su dati sperimentali dell'impianto SPES-99.

Note

Il documento è stato realizzato all'interno della collaborazione ENEA-CIRTEN (UniBo).



REPORT LP5-A1 – PAR 2008-2009

Autori: F. Bassenghi^(*), G. Bornia^(*), L. Deon^(*), S. Manservisi^(*), P. Meloni^(**), M. Polidori^(**)

^(*) Università di Bologna – DIENCA ^(**) ENEA UTFISSM

Сорі	a n.		In	carico a:		
2			NOME			
			FIRMA			
1			NOME			
			FIRMA			
0	EMISSIONE	00/06.	NOME	Massimiliano Polidori	Stetania Baccaro	Paride Meloni
	EINISSIONE	00/04/2011	FIRMA	the ball.	Baccaro	Al
REV.	DESCRIZIONE	DATA		REDAZIONE	CONVALIDA	APPROVAZIONE

IMPLEMENTATION AND VALIDATION OF THE NURISP PLATFORM

September 1, 2011

F. Bassenghi, G. Bornia, L. Deon and S. Manservisi sandro.manservisi@unibo.it DIENCA - University of Bologna Via dei Colli 16, 40136 Bologna, Italy

P. Meloni and M. Polidori paride.meloni@enea.it ENEA - Via Martiri di Monte Sole 4, 40129 Bologna, Italy

Abstract. The NURISP platform is a European project developed to simulate the thermal-hydraulic behavior of the components of nuclear plants and facilities. This platform is used to investigate physical situations at different scales ranging from boiling bubbles to nuclear reactors. In this report the implementation and validation of the platform are discussed. The platform manager SALOME and the TRIO U, NEPTUNE and CATHARE codes have been installed on the CRESCO-ENEA GRID cluster located in Portici. The NURISP platform consists of many codes that are used to generate meshes, visualize results and solve equations describing the state of the system to be simulated. In this report we focus in particular on TRIO U, NEPTUNE and CATHARE codes. TRIO U and NEPTUNE codes solve the thermal-hydraulics equations in multidimensional geometries with particular attention to the two-phase flow. The CATHARE code is a lumped parameter thermal-hydraulic code that is used to investigate the time dependent behavior of complex systems. Validation of the platform on experimental data is reported. In this report NEPTUNE code is validated against PERSEO facility experimental data and TRIO U code against some experimental data involving bubble detaching from heating walls. CATHARE is validated on SPES-99 facility experimental data.

Contents

1	NU	RISP platform implementation	7
	1.1	CRESCO-ENEA GRID implementation	7
	1.2	Overview of NURISP platform codes	10
		1.2.1 SALOME	13
		1.2.2 NEPTUNE	15
		1.2.3 TRIO_U	17
		1.2.4 SATURNE	19
		1.2.5 CATHARE	21
	1.3	Platform pre- and post-processing operations	22
		1.3.1 Input/output formats	23
		1.3.2 Mesh input files	26
		1.3.3 The PARAVIEW application	29
	1.4	Platform code coupling and services	31
		1.4.1 SALOME clustering service system	31
		1.4.2 SALOME module and code integration	32
		1.4.3 Coupling codes inside SALOME platform	33
2	Vali	dation of the CATHARE model of the SPES-99 facility	35
	2.1	THE SPES-99 FACILITY	35
	2.2	CATHARE 2 Code and SPES-99 Model	37
	2.3	SPES-99 facility steady state	39
		2.3.1 Steady state	39
		2.3.2 Reference steady state	40
	2.4	SPES-99 IB LOCA transient	43
		2.4.1 Results of the experiment	43
		2.4.2 Comparison between post-test results and experimental data	45
3	Va	idation of a NEPTUNE-CATHARE model on PERSEO facil-	
-	itv		49
	3.1	The PERSEO facility test	49
		3.1.1 The PERSEO facility design	49
		·	

54 56 59 60 62 62 64
56 59 60 62 62 64
56 59 60 62 62 64
59 60 62 62 64
60 62 62 64
62 62 64
62 64
64
70
71
74
76
80
85
85
89
89
90
95

Introduction

This document reports some advances in the implementation, development and validation of the NURISP platform on the CRESCO-ENEA grid with the purpose of studying the thermal-hydraulic behavior of LWR evolutive nuclear reactors. The development of the NURISP platform reflects the new European policy of building a common suite of computational tools to investigate such complex problems. The NURISP platform project is funded by EC. ENEA is a user group member of the project and has obtained the use of several codes under a direct agreement. The University of Bologna participates in the effort of using, installing and validating these computational tools and other in-house codes on the CRESCO-ENEA GRID cluster located in Portici (near Naples).

The complexity of nuclear reactor thermal-hydraulics consists in the presence of different physical phenomena occurring at different geometrical scales. Therefore, multi-physics and multi-scale investigations arise in the study of nuclear reactor components. Clearly, these models cannot be analyzed simultaneously and the coupling between various codes is necessary to achieve an accurate and satisfactory result. Hence, the NURISP platform has been conceived not only to collect a series of codes that have been extensively used in this field but also to harmonize them with reference input and output formats. Reference mesh and output formats are standardized and conversion tools are developed. The aim of the platform is to solve complex problems exchanging information between different codes over large multiprocessor architectures. Validation of some models implemented on the platform codes is performed by comparison with available experimental data.

In Chapter 1 we give an overview of the organization of the platform. The purpose of solving complex problems is discussed together with the implementation of the NURISP platform on CRESCO-ENEA GRID. The reference mesh and output formats are introduced and the visualization open-source application PARAVIEW is described together with the main codes of the platform: NEPTUNE, TRIO_U and CATHARE.

In Chapter 2 a CATHARE model of the SPES-99 facility is presented. The model is developed in great detail including small components and geometries. First the steady state is calibrated by using the main termohydraulic parameters in order to reproduce the real behavior of the facility as accurately as possible. This calibration with the experimental data reduces the uncertainties related to the facility. Then the transient behavior of the SPES-99 facility for an intermediate break loss of coolant accident (IBLOCA) is simulated and verified against the experimental data.

Chapter 3 reports the analysis of the computational simulation of an experimental test of the PERSEO facility. The experiment is simulated by coupling CATHARE and NEPTUNE codes. The facility is simulated by using the monodimensional code CATHARE and then this solution is used as a boundary condition for the NEPTUNE simulation of a facility component. With the NEPTUNE code the overall pool and the injector of the PERSEO facility are simulated and the results are compared with the experimental data.

Finally Chapter 4 is devoted to the validation of the TRIO_U two-phase and tracking interface model. With TRIO_U we simulate the bubble detaching from heated walls in pool boiling configuration.

Chapter 1 NURISP platform implementation

The NURISP platform has been implemented on the CRESCO-ENEA grid system. This implementation is part of the FISSICU platform that contains also mesh generation and visualization tools together with other codes. In this chapter we first describe the CRESCO-ENEA grid system. Then we illustrate the NURISP platform and the implementation of each code. We describe the mesh and data file formats used by the codes and the software for their visualization. In the last section we discuss about the coupling between the codes that can be implemented with the SALOME software.

1.1 CRESCO-ENEA GRID implementation

CRESCO (Centro Computazionale di RicErca sui Sistemi Complessi, Computational Research Center for Complex Systems) is an ENEA Project, co-funded by the Italian Ministry of Education, University and Research (MIUR). The CRESCO project is located in the Portici ENEA Center near Naples and consists of a High Performance Computing infrastructure mainly devoted to the study of Complex Systems [10]. The CRESCO project is built around the HPC platform through the creation of a number of scientific thematic laboratories: Computing Science Laboratory (CSL), Computational Systems Biology Laboratory (CSBL) and Complex Networks Systems Laboratory (CNSL). The Computing Science Laboratory hosts activities on hardware and software design, GRID technology that also integrates the HPC platform management. The Computational Systems Biology Laboratory hosts the activities in the Life Science domain and the Complex Networks Systems Laboratory the activities on complex technological infrastructures.

There are four ways to access ENEA-GRID:

a) SSH client, with a terminal interface which can directly access to one of the front-end machines;

NURISP PLATFORM IMPLEMENTATION

Cluster name	Node Name	ACCESS SSH from ENEA	ACCESS SSH from world	OS
Brindisi	brindisi-fg1.brindisi.enea.it	yes	no	LINUX
Casaccia	crescoc1.casaccia.enea.it	yes	no	LINUX
Frascati	crescof01.frascati. enea.it	yes	no	LINUX
	lin4p.frascati.enea.it	yes	yes	LINUX
	sp5-1.frascati.enea.it	yes	no	AIX
Portici CRESCO				
	cresco1-f1.portici.enea.it	yes	yes	LINUX
	cresco1-f2.portici.enea.it	yes	no	LINUX
	cresco1-f3.portici.enea.it	yes	no	LINUX
	cresco2-f1.portici.enea.it	yes	no	LINUX
	cresco2-f2.portici.enea.it	yes	no	LINUX
	cresco2-f3.portici.enea.it	yes	no	LINUX
	cresco1-fg1.portici.enea.it	yes	no	LINUX
	cresco1-fg2.portici.enea.it	yes	no	LINUX
	cresco1-fg3.portici.enea.it	yes	no	LINUX
	cresco1-fg4.portici.enea.it	yes	no	LINUX
	cresco-fpga6.portici.enea.it	yes	no	LINUX

Table 1.1: CRESCO front-end machines.

b) Citrix client, that can be installed on windows machines to access ENEA servers, including a terminal window to ENEA-GRID (INFOGRID);

c) FARO (Fast Access to Remote Objects) ENEA-GRID, that is a Java web interface available for all operating systems;

d) NX client.

The access is limited to authorized users that are provided with ENEA-GRID username and password to be used on every machine of the grid. Table 1.1 shows a list of available nodes. There are a number of front-end nodes to access the platform from the external world.

After the login at the web interface FARO (http://www.cresco.enea.it/ nx.html), from any operating system a terminal can be used from where all the applications can be launched. Further details on the login procedure can be found on the CRESCO website (http://www.cresco.enea.it/helpdesk.php).

The CRESCO-ENEA GRID system hosts a platform of codes for the simulation of nuclear components and facilities called FISSICU platform, a part of which forms the NURISP platform. FISSICU platform contains also other codes that are not directly related to the NURISP project. The FISSICU project is located in the directory

/afs/enea.it/project/fissicu

The main directory fissicu consists of three sub-directories

- data, where the simulation data should be stored;
- html, where the web pages with help are located
 (http://www.afs.enea.it/project/fissicu/);
- soft, where all the codes are installed.

Inside the **soft** directory the FISSICU project has the following installed codes

- SALOME that runs with the command salome;
- CATHARE that runs with the command cathare;
- NEPTUNE that runs with the command neptune;
- TRIO_U that runs with the command triou;
- SATURNE that runs with the command saturne;
- FEM-UNIBO (the finite element Navier-Stokes solver developed at DIENCA
 University of Bologna).

For each code, a script (executable_env) is also available which simply sets the proper environment variables to execute the code. This procedure can be used to run the code directly without any graphical interface on a single node where the user is logged. This is also the first step for production runs which use the batch queue to run the code in parallel. Any code has a script in the directory

\code{/afs/enea.it/project/fissicu/soft/bin}

that allows the program to run. This directory should be added to the user environment variable PATH. In the bash shell the command line is

\$ export PATH=/afs/enea.it/project/fissicu/soft/bin:\$PATH

This command line can also be added to the configuration file .bashrc.

CRESCO supports the LSF (Load Sharing Facility) job scheduler, which is a suite of several components to manage a large cluster of computers with different architectures and operating systems. The basic commands are

- lshosts that displays the host information ;
- lshosts that displays the host information;
- bhosts that displays information about the hosts such as their status, the number of running jobs, etc.;
- **bqueues** that lists the available LSF batch queues and their scheduling and control status;
- bsub < job.lsf that submits a job to a queue. The script job.lsf contains job submission options as well as command lines to be executed.
- bjobs that reports the status of LSF batch jobs of the user.
- bkill JOBID that kills the job with number JOBID. This number can be retrieved with the command bjobs.

A typical script is

```
#!/bin/bash
#BSUB -J JOBNAME
#BSUB -q quename
#BSUB -n nproc
#BSUB -oo stdout_file
#BSUB -eo errout_file
#BSUB -i input_file
code_env
run_command datafile.data
```

where the options to BSUB specify respectively the name of the job, the name of the queue, the number of processors, the file names for the standard and error output, the input file. The last two lines set the environment variables for the code and launch the executable with a parameter file, without the graphical interface that clearly cannot be used when submitting a job with a batch queue.

1.2 Overview of NURISP platform codes

The study of nuclear reactor thermal-hydraulics involves physical phenomena of different nature (heat transfer, two-phase flow, chemical poisoning) that cannot be analyzed in a simultaneous manner. Improvements are necessary both for physical models (heat transfer coefficient at the interface between liquid and vapor, instabilities of the interface, diffusion coefficients) and for numerical schemes (accuracy, CPU time). Even when experimental correlations are available for a single equation the complexity of the problems does not allow a whole-system simulation. The problem can be segregated in sub-tasks but often each physical model requires a number of parameters that are determined by the other state variables and the coupling between the equations is necessary to achieve an accurate and satisfactory result. For all these reasons it is important to develop tools that can solve in a segregated manner coupled system of equations with codes that are specific for a single application. The problem of coupling codes is one of the main issues of the NURISP platform. In the NURISP platform there are three different types of coupling: weak, internal weak and strong coupling. In the first case the weak coupling is performed with the exchange of input and output files. In this case the file format must be shared by all the applications or external conversion tools are required. In the internal weak coupling all the equations are solved in a segregated way using memory to exchange data among them. The strong coupling is the solution of all the equations with a unique implicit and iterative algorithm.

Another aspect of the complexity of nuclear reactor simulations is the presence of different geometrical scales. In the nuclear reactor field one needs to investigate micro-scale, meso-scale and macro-scale problems with different characteristic dimensions ranging from millimeters to several meters. It is not possible to solve such complex problems in a single simulation. Therefore the computational tools should be able to model different scales with different equations and take into account the relevant physics for the selected geometries. The phenomena at the micro-scale level can be simulated by solving the three-dimensional Navier-Stokes equations with commercial software such as ANSYS-FLUENT, CFX and Comsol multiphysics or with open source software such as OPENFOAM and SATURNE. In the NURISP platform the three-dimensional CFD code that solves the Navier-Stokes equation is TRIO U. The high resolution and reliability of these codes lead to high accuracy and reproducibility of the results. Meso-scale codes rely on correlations and averaged equations. Typical examples are turbulence models and two-phase flows. Turbulence models are widely available but some two-phase correlations are specific of the applications. In the NURISP platform a code of this type for two-phase flows is NEPTUNE. The system codes are very specific to the application field. In order to simulate all the system they need heavy simplifications such as the use of mono-dimensional fluid equations. In the NURISP platform CATHARE is the code used in this type of analysis.

The NURISP platform project is funded by EC and ENEA is a user group member of the project. ENEA has obtained the use of several codes and the University of Bologna participates in the effort of using, installing and validating these computational tools on the CRESCO-ENEA GRID cluster. The FISSICU project inside the **soft** directory has the following NURISP codes:

- SALOME the platform manager and mesh generators;
- CATHARE, the code for integral system analysis (basically 1D);
- NEPTUNE, solver of the two-fluid model for two-phase flow (3D);
- TRIO_U, solver of Navier-Stokes equations and interface tracking (3D);
- SATURNE, solver of Navier-Stokes equations (3D);

We remark that only SALOME and SATURNE are open-source codes and the use of the other codes is under a direct agreement with the NURISP developers. The NEPTUNE code has many features in common with SATURNE but is not open source.

The implementation status of the codes is described in Table 1.2. For each code, we report under the labels "local libs" and "grid libs" the possibility of using the libraries provided with the code or the grid libraries respectively. For both cases we consider the status of the libraries for the graphical user interface ("GUI") and the multiprocessor MPI libraries ("MPI"). The label "model" indicates what type of simulation each code can perform.

NURISP PLATFORM ON CRESCO-ENEA GRID								
		loca	l libs	grid libs		model		
	INSTALL	GUI	MPI	GUI	MPI	DNS	CFD	system
SALOME	yes	yes	yes	yes	no			
SATURNE	yes	yes	yes	no	no	х	х	
TRIO_U	yes	yes	yes	yes	no	х	х	
NEPTUNE	yes	yes	yes	no	no		х	
CATHARE	yes	yes	yes	yes	no			X
PARAVIEW	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes			

Table 1.2: Implementation status of the NURISP platform on CRESCO-ENEAGRID

In the following we briefly present the codes and their implementations. For details see [2].

1.2.1 SALOME

SALOME

Developer(s)	Open CASCADE, NURISP, EDF
Stable release	5.1.4 / June 2010
Operating system	Unix/Linux
License	GNU Lesser General Public License
Website	www.salome-platform.org

CRESCO-ENEA GRID:

executable:	salome
install directory:	/afs/enea.it/project/fissicu/soft/SALOME



Figure 1.1: SALOME graphical user interface

SALOME is a free and open-source software released under the GNU Lesser General Public License. The source code and executables in binary form may be downloaded from its official website at http://www.salome-platform.org. There are several version available, such as Debian, Mandriva and a universal package

with all dependencies inside. On the CRESCO-ENEA GRID the Mandriva version (for 64 bits) is installed. SALOME provides a generic platform for numerical simulations and basic software for integration of custom modules and developing of the custom CAD applications. The main modules available are: KERNEL, GUI, GEOM, MESH, MED, POST and YACS. The KERNEL module is the general service manager. This module is the main module needed to perform the services and all the transfer files among the platform computers. SALOME can be used graphically by using the GUI module which enables the graphical user interface. The GEOM module can be used for CAD editing and import/export geometry. A mesh geometry may be constructed with this module that has all the CAD functions. Over the resulting geometry the mesh can be generated by using the MESH module. The MESH module is constructed for standard meshing and for support of some external mesh generators. The MED module is the MED data files management. All the input and output files are written in MED formats and this module is used in all external reading and writing. The dedicated post-processor to analyze the results of solver computations is the POST module. We do not use this module since the PARAVIEW program will be preferred. Finally the YACS module is the computational schema manager for multi-solver coupling and the supervision module which can be used for weak code coupling.

SALOME is mainly used as mesh generator but it has potential for interoperability between computational software, integration of new components into heterogeneous systems and multi-physics weak coupling. The platform may integrate different additional codes on which perform code coupling. At the moment the integration among codes of the NURISP platform is far to be completed but some basic functionality can be used. In SALOME the ideas of weak and internal coupling are implemented. In fact the weak coupling can be performed with the exchange of output and input files by using the YACS module. The idea of internal weak coupling, where all the equations are solved in a segregated way using sheared memory to exchange data between codes, is implemented in the the MED library and its MEDMEM API classes. SATURNE has already a module that links to the SALOME platform in development at EDF. NEPTUNE and CATHARE codes are also developing an integration module for the SALOME platform [31, 32].

The SALOME platform is located on CRESCO-ENEA GRID in the directory

/afs/enea.it/project/fissicu/soft/Salome

The salome platform can be run in two ways: from console and from FARO website. From console one must first set the access to the bin directory

/afs/enea.it/project/fissicu/soft/bin

by executing the script

\$ source pathbin.sh

The script pathbin.sh must be in the home directory. One must copy the template script pathbin.sh from the directory

/afs/enea.it/project/fissicu/soft/bin

and then execute the script to add the bin directory to the PATH. If the pathbin.sh script is not available one must enter the bin directory to run the program.

Once the bin directory is on your own PATH all the programs of the platform can be launched. The command needed to start the SALOME application is

\$ salome

The script **salome** consists of two commands: the environment setting and the start command. The environment script sets the environment of shell. The start command is a simple command that launches the **runSalome** command.

From FARO web applications the SALOME platform with remote accelerated graphics can be accessed. Once FARO has been started one must open an xterm. In the xterm console one must follow the same procedure as before. For details on FARO see [2] and the main CRESCO-ENEA web page. First, set the access to the bin directory

/afs/enea.it/project/fissicu/soft/bin

by executing the script

\$ source pathbin.sh

SALOME starts with the command

\$ salome

For details see [2].

1.2.2 NEPTUNE

NURISP PLATFORM IMPLEMENTATION

NEPTUNE

Developer(s) Stable release	EDF June 7, 2010;
Operating system	Linux and Cross-platform
License	not free (use under NURISP written agreement)
Website	no website

CRESCO-ENEA GRID:

executable:	neptune
install directory:	/afs/enea.it/project/fissicu/soft/Neptune



Figure 1.2: Neptune graphical user interface.

The NEPTUNE project is a joint research and development program between EDF and CEA. The project provides a two-phase thermohydraulics CFD software for studying nuclear reactor components. The code may perform three-dimensional computations of the main components of the reactors: cores, steam generators, condensers, and heat exchangers. NEPTUNE supports from one to twenty fluid fields (or phases) and includes thermodynamic laws for water/steam flows. It is based on advanced physical models, such as two-fluid equations combined with interface area transport and two-phase turbulence, and numerical methods such as unstructured finite volume solvers. The code is based on cell-centered type finite volume method which can use meshes with all types of cell and nonconforming connections. NEPTUNE uses co-localized gradients with reconstruction methods to compute face values and supports distributed-memory parallelism by domain splitting. NEPTUNE is written in Fortran and organized in modules. The enveloppe component manages the pre-processing and the post-processing functions, while edamox is the graphical user interface. One can introduce user functions by using the user Fortran module. The computational kernel is a FORTRAN program implemented in the Neptune_CFD module [19, 20, 21, 22, 23].

The NEPTUNE code is located on CRESCO-ENEA GRID in the directory

/afs/enea.it/project/fissicu/soft/Neptune

The PATH for the home directory is

/afs/enea.it/project/fissicu/soft/bin

and can be set by executing the script

\$ source pathbin.sh

as it is explained more in details in [2]. The NEPTUNE GUI on CRESCO-ENEA GRID starts with the command

\$ neptune

Not all libraries are yet available at the moment for the CRESCO architecture. We suggest to run NEPTUNE GUI on a personal workstation. The GUI is used to set up the simulation creating a **param** file. The solution of the case must be run in command line mode or in batch mode. For details see [2].

1.2.3 TRIO U

TRIO U

Developer(s)	CEA
Stable release	1.61 / June, 2010;
Operating system	Linux
License	not free (use only under written agreement)
Website	http://www-trio-u.cea.fr

CRESCO-ENEA GRID:

executable:	triou
install directory:	/afs/enea.it/project/fissicu/soft/Triou

Trio_U 1.6.1 - Mozilla Ella Edit Vienu History P.	Firefox			۲	• •
	file://home/aslash/HTML/HOME.html			7 🗸 🛃 🖌 Google	
🔯 Most Visited 🛩 🍵 Getti	ing Started SLatest Headlines ¥				
Trio_U 1.6.1	+				•
TrioU	Trio_U Version 1.6.1				
Main What's new Downloads MyTrioU MyStudy Xterm Htnl classes User's manual Validation note Helps&Tips&Links	HyperText Documentati	on			
Done					_
🔣 🛯 🖛 🗩	Trio_U 1.6.1 - Mozilla Firefox	Trio_U : triou	🥵 KPackageKit	ሳ 🚥 永 🗋 😒 🙉 🕕 04:32 p	om 🍙

Figure 1.3: TRIO_U graphical user interface.

Trio_U is a project that aims to develop numerical simulation software for fluid dynamics. It is developed at the Laboratory of Modeling and Software Development of the Directorate of Nuclear Energy of the CEA, This project starts as an object-oriented, parallel code dedicated to scientific and industrial applications in the nuclear field. The variety of physical models and numerical methods implemented in this code allow to simulate various problems ranging from the local two-phase flow simulations to turbulent flows in industrial facilities or in components of nuclear reactors.

Inside the code two modules are available: a VDF module (finite difference volume) and a VEF module (finite element volume not to be confused with the finite element method). The VDF and VEF modules are designed to process the 2D or 3D flow of Newtonian, incompressible or slightly incompressible fluids where the density is a function of a local temperature and concentration values (Boussinesq approximation). Non-Newtonian fluid by using the Otswald law are possible [34, 35, 36].

It is planned to interface Trio_U with other simulation software supported or developed by the CEA. For example SALOME-NEPTUNE or NEPTUNE-CATHARE. In particular the SALOME platform may be used for different stages of the Trio_U calculation: creation of CAD and mesh editing of the data set [33]. The coupling NEPTUNE-CATHARE has been used in many physical situations when one plans to study a system component in full three-dimensional geometry. The domain is divided into two parts: the component and the rest of the system. NEPTUNE code is solved in the three-dimensional domain of the component with boundary conditions determined by the mono-dimensional CATHARE code the solves the rest of the domain.

The TRIO_U code is located on CRESCO-ENEA GRID in the directory

/afs/enea.it/project/fissicu/soft/Triou

The PATH for the home directory is

/afs/enea.it/project/fissicu/soft/bin

The path can be set by executing the script

\$ source pathbin.sh

The TRIO_U GUI on CRESCO-ENEA GRID starts with the command

\$ triou

The GUI, shown in Figure 1.3, is used to set up the simulation creating a param file. The solution of the case must be run in command line mode or in batch mode. For details see [2].

1.2.4 SATURNE

SATURNE

Developer(s)	EDF
Stable release	2.0.0 RC2 / July 7, 2010;
Operating system	Linux and Cross-platform
License	GNU General Public License
Website	http://www.code-saturne.org

CRESCO-ENEA GRID:

executable:	saturne
install directory:	/afs/enea.it/project/fissicu/soft/Saturne

👽 🖶 🌔 🥂 Coda Sauma GUI «Quintoza»	
Eile Tools Window Help	
	۰×
Study:	
Case:	
XML file	
Welcome to SaturneGUI	
Welcome to Code_Saturne GUI.	

Figure 1.4: SATURNE graphical user interface.

SATURNE is a general purpose CFD free software. Developed since 1997 at EDF R&D, SATURNE is now distributed under the GNU GPL license. It is based on a collocated Finite Volume approach that accepts meshes with any type of cell. The code works with tetrahedral, hexahedral, prismatic, pyramidal and polyhedral finite volumes and any type of grid structures such as unstructured, block structured, hybrid, conforming or with hanging node geometries.

Its basic capabilities enable the handling of either incompressible or compressible flows with or without heat transfer and turbulence. Many turbulence model are implemented such as mixing length, κ - ϵ models, κ - ω models, v2f, Reynolds stress models and Large Eddy Simulation (LES). Dedicated modules are available for additional physics such as radiative heat transfer, combustion (gas, coal and heavy fuel oil), magneto-hydro dynamics, compressible flows, two-phase flows (Euler-Lagrange approach with two-way coupling), extensions to specific applications (e.g. for atmospheric environment) [29, 30].

SATURNE can be coupled to the thermal software SYRTHES for conjugate heat transfer. It can also be used jointly with the structural analysis software CODE_ASTER, in particular in the SALOME platform. Both SYRTHES and CODE_ASTER are developed by EDF and distributed under the GNU GPL license.

The SATURNE code is located on CRESCO-ENEA GRID in the directory

/afs/enea.it/project/fissicu/soft/Saturne

The PATH for the home directory is

/afs/enea.it/project/fissicu/soft/bin

This PATH can be set by executing the script

\$ source pathbin.sh

The SATURNE GUI on CRESCO-ENEA GRID starts with the command

\$ saturne

The GUI, shown in Figure 1.4, is used to set up the simulation creating a param file. The solution of the case must be run in command line mode or in batch mode. For details see [2].

1.2.5 CATHARE

CATHARE

Developer(s)	CEA
Stable release	Cathare $2 v2_5;$
Operating system	Linux and Cross-platform
License	licence agreement from CATHARE
Website	http://www-cathare.cea.f

CRESCO-ENEA GRID:

executable:	cathare
install directory:	/afs/enea.it/project/fissicu/soft/cathare

CATHARE or Code for Analysis of thermohydraulics during an Accident of Reactor and safety Evaluation (CATHARE) is a system code for PWR safety analysis, accident management, definition of plant operating procedures and for research and development. It is also used to quantify conservative analysis margins and for licensing.

The CATHARE code is located on CRESCO-ENEA GRID in the directory

/afs/enea.it/project/fissicu/soft/Cathare

The PATH for the home directory is

/afs/enea.it/project/fissicu/soft/bin



Figure 1.5: CATHARE graphical user interface (GUITHARE).

The <code>CATHARE</code> GUI (GHITHARE) on CRESCO-ENEA GRID starts with the command

\$ cathare

The GUI, shown in Figure 1.5, is used to set up the simulation creating a param file. The solution of the case must be run in command line mode or in batch mode. For details see [2].

1.3 Platform pre- and post-processing operations

The way in which a code writes and reads input and output data is a very important and sensitive issue. Each software supports a limited number of input and output formats that are often not supported by other codes. An important aim of any platform is to uniform all the reading/writing formats so that all the codes can use the same format for input and output files. Concerning the input format we consider mainly the MED and XDMF formats. Both MED and XDMF are driver files that access the information stored by files in HDF5 format. The HDF5 library is capable of storing large amounts of data in a hierarchically structured form. Regarding the output viewer we choose PARAVIEW because it is open source and can manage a large number of formats.



1.3.1 Input/output formats

Figure 1.6: HDF data viewer

One of the most interesting input/output formats is the HDF5 format. Hierarchical Data Format, commonly abbreviated HDF5, is the name of a set of file formats and libraries designed to store and organize large amounts of numerical data [16]. The HDF format is available under a BSD license for general use and is supported by many commercial and non-commercial software platforms, including Java, Matlab, IDL, and Python. The freely available HDF distribution consists of the library, command-line utilities, test source, Java interface, and the Java-based HDF Viewer (HDFView). Further details are available at http://www.hdfgroup.org. This format supports a variety of datatypes, and is designed to give flexibility and efficiency to the input/output operations in the case of large amounts of data. HDF5 is portable from one operating system to another and allows forward compatibility. In fact old versions of HDF5 are compatible with newer versions.

The great advantage of the HDF format is that it is self-describing, allowing an application to interpret the structure and contents of a file without any external information. There are structures designed to hold vector and matrix data. One HDF file can hold a mixture of related structures which can be accessed as a group or as individual objects. The HDF5 has a very general model which is designed to conceptually cover many specific data models. Many different kinds of data can be mapped to HDF5 objects, and therefore stored and retrieved using HDF5.

NURISP PLATFORM IMPLEMENTATION

The HDF5 project provides also a visualization tool, HDFVIEW (shown in Figure 1.6), to access raw HDF5 data. This program can be run from terminal by simply typing

\$ hdfview



Figure 1.7: XDMF data view

XDMF (eXtensible Data Model and Format) is a library providing a standard way to access data produced by HPC codes. The information is subdivided into Light data, that are embedded directly in the XDMF text file, and Heavy data that are stored in an external file. Light data are stored using XML, Heavy data are typically stored using HDF5. A Python interface exists for manipulating both Light and Heavy data. ParaView, VisIt and EnSight visualization tools are able to read XDMF [39].

The organization of XDMF begins with the Xdmf element. Any element can have a Name or a Reference attribute. A Domain can have one or more Grid elements. Each Grid must contain a Topology, a Geometry, and zero or more Attribute elements. Topology specifies the connectivity of the grid while Geometry specifies the location of the grid nodes. Attribute elements are used to specify values such as scalars and vectors that are located at the node, edge, face, cell center, or grid center. As an example, the following file displays the Figure 1.7

```
<?xml version="1.0" ?>
<!DOCTYPE Xdmf SYSTEM "Xdmf.dtd" []>
<Xdmf> <Domain> <Grid Name="TestGrid">
  <Topology Type="Hexahedron" NumberOfElements="2" >
     <DataItem Format="XML" DataType="Float" Dimensions="2 8">
       0 1 7 6
                                       4 5 11 10
                 3 4 10 9 1 2 8 7
     </DataItem>
  </Topology>
  <Geometry Type="XYZ">
   <DataItem Format="XML" DataType="Float" Precision="8"</pre>
   Dimensions="4 3 3">
     0.0
           0.0
                 1.0
                         1.0
                               0.0
                                      1.0
                                            3.0
                                                          2.0
                                                    0.0
     0.0
           1.0
                 1.0
                               1.0
                                            3.0
                                                    2.0
                                                          2.0
                         1.0
                                      1.0
                                                3.0
     0.0
           0.0
                  -1.0
                          1.0
                                0.0
                                       -1.0
                                                       0.0
                                                             -2.0
     0.0
           1.0
                 -1.0
                          1.0
                                1.0
                                       -1.0
                                                3.0
                                                       2.0
                                                             -2.0
   </DataItem>
  </Geometry>
  <Attribute Name="NodeValues" Center="Node">
   <DataItem Format="XML" DataType="Float" Precision="8"</pre>
   Dimensions="4 3" >
                        100 200 300
                        300 400 500
                        300 400 500
                        500 600 700
    </DataItem>
   </Attribute>
   <Attribute Name="CellValues" Center="Cell">
     <DataItem Format="XML" DataType="Float" Precision="8"</pre>
     Dimensions="2" >
                        100 200
     </DataItem>
   </Attribute>
</Grid> </Domain> </Xdmf>
```

The MED (Modèle d'Echange de Données) data exchange model is the format used in the SALOME platform for communicating data between different components. It manipulates objects that describe the meshes underlying scientific



Figure 1.8: MED library

computations and the value fields lying on these meshes. This data exchange can be achieved either through files using the MED-file formalism or directly through memory with the MED Memory (MEDMEM) library.

Two codes running on different machines can thus exchange meshes and fields. These meshes and fields can easily be read/written in a MED file format, enabling access to the whole SALOME suite of tools (CAD, meshing, visualization, other components) [31].

With MED Memory any component can access a mesh or field object generated by another application. Though the MEDMEM library can recompute a descending connectivity from a nodal connectivity, MEDMEM drivers can only read MED files containing the nodal connectivities of the entities. In MEDMEM, constituent entities are stored as MED_FACE or MED_EDGE, whereas in MED File they should be stored as MED_MAILLE.

1.3.2 Mesh input files

Two-dimensional and three-dimensional codes such as SATURNE, NEPTUNE and TRIO_U need mesh input files which describe the geometry of the system that



Figure 1.9: SALOME Mesh generator

one plans to study. In order to generate a mesh file one needs a mesh generator and a converter to fit the mesh generator output to the code mesh input. There are a few good open-source mesh generators since this market is now very lucrative in the commercial sector. In the platform we have implemented the SALOME and the GMSH mesh generators shown in Figure 1.9 and 1.10. Also some mesh capabilities from TRIO_U can be used to generate and convert mesh files.

The SALOME application on CRESCO-ENEA GRID is not fully operational as file transfer and code coupling manager. At the moment the most important use of the SALOME platform is the mesh generation. SALOME offers a good open source application able to generate meshes for other applications such as SATURNE, NEPTUNE, TRIO_U and many others. The SALOME mesh output format is the MED format and for this reason it is important to use the MED format or convert such a format to more popular formats. The mesh functionality of SALOME is performed by the MESH module. In the SMESH module there is a functionality allowing importation and exportation of meshes from MED, UNV (I-DEAS 10), DAT (Nastran) and STL format files. These formats are not very popular and local converters may be necessary. A SALOME user guide on how to generate a simple mesh can be found in [2]. More substantial help for mesh creation can be found at

http://www.salome-platform.org/user-section/salome-tutorials

where ten exercises from EDF in mesh generation can be found.

Another mesh generator in the platform is the *GMSH application* implemented under the TRIO_U directory. GMSH is a freeware to build 2D/3D unstructured meshes with tetrahedral or hexahedral meshes. Meshes generated by GMSH must be translated to Trio_U format by a converter located in **\$TRIO_U_ROOT/GMSH** directory. It can also be run from the GUI of Trio_U using the button GMSH.



Figure 1.10: GMSH mesh generator

The *TRIO_U* application implemented in the platform has some mesh and converter capabilities. Mesh converters from Fluent to MED and UNV formats can be found inside this code. Details are available in the TRIO_U documentation. A mesh file may be created for Trio_U by using one of the following software:

a) Xprepro mesh generator for Cartesian 2D/3D domain;

b) GMSH freeware mesh generator for VEF 2D/3D domain;

- c) Trio_U mesh generator for simple geometries;
- d) ICEM, IDEAS, SIMAIL mesh generator for VEF 3D domains;

e) translator for mesh format from Gambit to Trio_U.

Xprepro is a new tool for Trio_U code that can create very complex 2D, 3D VDF meshes. You can run Xprepro either from a study opened with the GUI of Trio_U through a button named Mesh, or by running the command line Xprepro.

An instruction in the TRIO_U data set is available to reread meshing issued by Gambit/Tgrid (tools from Fluent) using Trio_U. This instruction is as follows:

Lire_Tgrid dom nom_fichier_maillage

where dom corresponds to the domain name, nom_fichier_maillage corresponds to the file containing the mesh. 2D (triangles or quadrangles) and 3D (tetra or hexa elements) meshes may be read by Trio_U. The template for the Gambit/MED converter can be found in the directory

/afs/enea.it/project/fissicu/soft/triou/data

The file is as following

dimension 3 Domaine dom Lire_tgrid dom mesh.msh ecrire_med dom mesh.med Fin

An instruction in the TRIO_U data set is available to read MED mesh issued for example from SALOME. This instruction is as follows

Lire_Med [vef][fam_name_from_gr_name] mesh_name filename.med dom_name

The dom_name corresponds to the domain name, filename.med is the file (written in MED format) containing the mesh named mesh_name. Option vef is obsolete and is kept for backward compatibility. The option fam_names_from_gr_name uses the group names instead of the family names to detect the boundaries into a MED mesh.

1.3.3 The PARAVIEW application

Various applications are available inside the platform for visualization. The two main visualization applications implemented on the FISSICU platform are VISIT and PARAVIEW. In order to uniform the output and the visualization we use only the PARAVIEW software and therefore the output files must be saved in a PARAVIEW readable format. Sometimes the use of VISIT could be convenient with the TRIO_U application since VISIT can read its own natural format. The use of PARAVIEW does not impose substantial limitations since PARAVIEW reads a large number of formats. It is an open-source, multi-platform application designed to visualize large size data sets. PARAVIEW uses the Visualization Toolkit (VTK) as the data processing and rendering engine and has a user interface written using the Qt libraries [38]. The Visualization Toolkit (VTK) provides



Figure 1.11: Basic interface for PARAVIEW

the basic visualization and rendering algorithms. VTK incorporates several other libraries to provide basic functions such as rendering, parallel processing, parallel rendering. It is built on an modular structure and runs on distributed and shared memory parallel as well as single processor systems.

A brief explanation of the PARAVIEW GUI is given in Figure 1.11. The GUI has many panels that control the visualization. The two main panels are the View Area and the Pipeline Browser panel. The data are loaded in the View Area which displays visual representations of the data in 3D View, XY Plot View, Bar Chart View or Spreadsheet View. The visualization on the View Area is managed by the Pipeline Browser panel. The **Open** and **Save data** buttons perform the loading and saving operations for all the supported file formats. The Object Inspector panel contains controls and information about the reader, source, or filter selected in the Pipeline Browser. The most important menu is the Filters menu that is used to manipulate the data. For example one can draw the isolines of any dataset using the Contour filter. The Sources menu is used to create new geometrical objects while the Animation toolbar navigates through the different time steps of

the simulation [27]. In ENEA-CRESCO grid, the PARAVIEW application can be run in two different modes:

a) X11 console mode;

b) remote application mode through FARO application.

From X11 console the command

\$ paraview-3.10.0

runs the latest version of PARAVIEW (3.10.0). The other versions are available by typing the version number in the command after the - sign. PARAVIEW can be launched only from machines with graphical capability. The machines with graphical capability have a letter g in the name. For example PARAVIEW can run from crescol-fgl.portici.enea.it.

As a remote application one must login from the FARO web page and then select the PARAVIEW button. If the program runs in this mode the rendering is pre-processed on the remote machine, which guarantees higher speed image processing (see [2]).

1.4 Platform code coupling and services

The platform SALOME has many capabilities. Among them there are the SA-LOME clustering system service, the SALOME module service and YACS code coupling service. The clustering service system allows file transfers inside the grid and therefore from one computer to another. The SALOME module service can integrate a new code as a SALOME module transferring all the SALOME graphical capabilities to this module. Reading and writing parameter data can be done using the SALOME graphical built-in functions. The application YACS allows different codes to run in sequence and transform output data of an application into input data for another application.

1.4.1 SALOME clustering service system

In a SALOME application, distributed components, servers and clients use the CORBA middleware for communication. The main services of the clustering system are: component services, file transfer services and batch services. The component services define the container such a machine, an operative system, etc. This component can then be identified and used for various operations. The file transfer services transfer files between one computer and another. This is fundamental for many input/output and graphical operations. The batch services run

programs in batch mode. For some general purpose services, the CORBA interface is encapsulated in order to provide a simple interface. Encapsulation is generally done in C++ classes. All the different CORBA interfaces are available for users in Python. A Python SWIG interface is also generated from C++, to ensure a consistent behavior between C++ modules and Python modules or user scripts. SALOME_FileTransferCORBA is responsible for file transfer. There is a class for file service written in C++ and a corresponding file transfer service in Python. Python interface is obtained by using the SWIG library from C++. The following example shows how to transfer a file from a remote host to the client computer. Remote hostname is computer0 and we would like to copy path/file.tar.gz from remote to local computer. A full pathname is required. A container is created on remote computer if it does not exist, to handle the file transfer.

Another way to have a service access is through the SALOME batch service. The interested reader can consult the SALOME documentation.

1.4.2 SALOME module and code integration

In order to integrate a code inside the Salome platform one can run the code as a SALOME module. For this reason one must develop a simple SALOME module which contains the application code. This module and the associated program can then be loaded in the SALOME GUI in order to have a graphical interface and use all the graphical resources available inside the SALOME platform.

The steps in the module development are as follows:

1) create a module tree structure;

2) create a SALOME component that can be loaded by a C++ or Python SA-LOME container, then configure the module so that the component is known to SALOME; 3) add a graphic GUI.

The first step in the development process is the creation of the module tree file structure. The typical SALOME module usually includes some set of the configuration files (used in the build procedure of a module), Makefiles, IDL file that provides a definition of CORBA services implemented in a module and a set of source Python files which implement the module CORBA engine and (optionally) its GUI.

The module command will not work until the following environment variables have been set

```
export KERNEL_ROOT_DIR=<KERNEL installation="" path>="">
export MYMODULE_ROOT_DIR=<MYMODULE installation="" path>="">
```

In order to activate a module in the SALOME GUI desktop, the user should press the *module* button on the Modules toolbar or select the name of the module in the combo box on this toolbar. The image file to be used as an icon of a module should be exported by the module build procedure. The icon file name is defined in the corresponding SalomeApp.xml configuration file

```
<section name="MYMODULE">
  <parameter name="name" value="MYMODULE"/>
  <parameter name="icon" value="MYMODULE.png"/>
  <parameter name="library" value="SalomePyQtGUI"/>
</section>
```

In order to run the module one must go to the

MYMODULE_module_installation_dir

directory and type

./bin/salome/runAppli

This command runs SALOME session configured for KERNEL and the module.

1.4.3 Coupling codes inside SALOME platform

The SALOME platform contains the YACS module that is an application that allows calculation schemes. These calculation schemes can be generalized to input/output file exchange to provide a first approach to weak coupling between applications.

The basic element of a calculation scheme is the calculation node. A calculation node is an elementary action ranging from a simple multiplication to a local execution of a script or a remote execution of a SALOME component service. The calculation scheme is a complex set of calculation nodes which are connected by input and output ports. Through these ports, data may be exchanged between nodes. Loops, switch- and if-statements are used to modularize a calculation scheme and define iterative processes.

If one considers a port as a datastream port then it can be used to exchange data during execution. At the moment this type of port is only supported by nodes related to SALOME components. A datastream port has a name, a direction, which goes from input to output, and a type. This type is the type of a CORBA object that manages the data exchange. It is not a simple task to implement a datastream port and therefore SALOME provides a ready made port called CALCIUM datastream port. This port is designed to ease scientific code coupling.

The CALCIUM library enables fast and easy coupling of Fortran/C/C++ codes in a simple and not very intrusive manner. By using these functions one can control the number of simultaneous executions of the different codes and transmission links between connection points. Connection points, which are typed by simple types, can operate on the time or iterative mode. Data are produced and read in codes by a call. When non-existing data are requested the reader can obtain interpolated data in time mode. The program is paused if the reader is waiting for data that will never be produced. In this case the application proposes either the stop of the execution or the extrapolation of the requested data.
Chapter 2

Validation of the CATHARE model of the SPES-99 facility

2.1 THE SPES-99 FACILITY



Figure 2.1: SPES-99 layout

In this chapter we validate a CATHARE model of the SPES-99 facility against

an Intermediate Break LOCA experimental test. The SPES-99 circuit is a full pressure, full height, two-loop experimental test facility simulating a commercial size PWR, with an overall scaling factor of about 1 : 400. It basically consists of a primary and a secondary circuit up to the steam isolation valves together with accumulators. The steam isolation valves and the accumulators are part of the safety system to protect the plant in case of accident. The SPES facility, located at SIET laboratories in Piacenza (Italy), was modified after five years of inactivity from the SPES-2 configuration [28] to SPES-99 [14], in view of possible future programs leading to investigate intermediate break transient phenomena, which had never been simulated before in the facility. The current scheme of the SPES-99 facility is shown in Figure 2.1. Each of the two primary loops includes a Hot Leg (HL) and two Cold Legs (CL). The hot leg connects the reactor pressure vessel to the steam generator. The two cold legs detach from a single primary coolant pump vertical discharge line. A device simulating a 10 inch equivalent break test.

Cooling Fluid	water
Number of loops	2
Number of pumps	2
Design Primary Pressure [MPa]	20
Design Secondary Pressure [MPa]	20
Primary Design Temperature [C]	365
Design Secondary Temperature [C]	310
Maximum Power [MW]	9
Height Scaling factor	1:1

Table 2.1:Main Characteristics of SPES-99

The reactor pressure vessel is composed of the lower plenum, the riser, where the rod bundle is placed, the upper head and the down-comer. The down-comer consists of an annular section, where the four cold legs and the two Direct Vessel Injection (DVI) nozzles are attached, and an outer pipe connecting the annular section to the lower plenum. The rod bundle is electrically heated and consists of 97 skin heated Inconel rods with a maximum power of 7MW. The pressurizer consists of a cylindrical flanged vessel equipped with two immersed heaters and six external ones. It is connected to the hot leg of the intact loop A. The pumps are centrifugal, single stage, horizontal shaft type. The suction line is horizontal and the delivery is vertical, discharging downwards into a pipe upstream of the two cold legs. The facility has two identical steam generators (SG) to transfer thermal power from the primary to the secondary circuit. The SG primary side consists of a 13 Inconel600 tube bundle, assembled in a square lattice, and inlet/outlet plena. The secondary side has full elevation up to the top of the steam separator and the secondary separators (dryers) are located at the SG top. The main characteristics of the SPES 99 facility are reported in Table 2.1.

2.2 CATHARE 2 Code and SPES-99 Model



Figure 2.2: Vessel nodalization

CATHARE (Code for Analysis of THermalhydraulics during an Accident of Reactor and safety Evaluation) is a system code developed for the transient and accident analysis in PWRs. It was used to support the licensing process of French power plants (N4, EPR) [37, 26]. The present CATHARE2 code, started in 1979, is the result of a collaboration among CEA (Commissariat à l'Energie Atomique), IRSN (Institut de Radioprotection et de Sureté Nucléaire), EDF (Electricité de France) and AREVA NP. CATHARE [8, 4] treats the thermal-hydraulics of the heat-transfer fluid by means of a non-homogeneous and non-equilibrium two-fluid model (liquid and vapor) based on 4 scalar equations (mass and energy), 2 vector equations (momentum), for the 6 main parameters: liquid and gas enthalpy (Hl, Hg), liquid and gas velocity (Vl, Vg), pressure (P) and void fraction (α). It includes the transport equations to take into account up to 4 non-condensable gases and 12 radio-chemical components. A fully implicit solution scheme is adopted for 0-D and



Figure 2.3: Loop A nodalization

1-D modules and a semi-implicit scheme for 3-D module. The modular structure of the code allows the parallel computation. The code relies on a unique set of qualified physical correlations and generic component models (1-D, 0-D and 3-D and Boundary Conditions modules) for the closure relationship to calculate mass, momentum and energy exchange between the two phases and between each phase and the boundaries of the thermal-hydraulic system. Sub-modules for special components and processes are also available: source, sink, valve, pump, break, SG, etc. In addition, special process models are foreseen for active walls, fuel etc. The high degree of maturity achieved by the CATHARE 2 code makes it a tool able to simulate practically every kind of LWR with high confidence, including conventional thermal-hydraulic loops like the integral test facility.

The latest version V2.5_1 of CATHARE 2 is adopted to simulate the SPES-99

facility; the related nodalization is compliant with the geometrical dimensions of the different parts and components as well as the topology of the circuits [40]. In particular, the modular structure of the code allows to find a good balance to preserve heights, flow areas and fluid volumes. It is worth reminding that the facility is scaled 1 : 1 in height and 1 : 397 in volume with respect to the AP-600 reactor. In Figures 2.2 and 2.3 the nodalization schemes of the primary vessel and of the loop A that includes the pressurizer are reported.

Some choices on the nodalization of the facility can strongly influence the results of the simulation. The vessel annular downcomer is represented with a 0-D component (DWC_ANN) in order to easy describe the high number of connections in this part of the circuit (4 cold legs, downcomer-upper head bypass, accumulators injection, tubular downcomer). On the other hand this component does not allow to take into account the inertial forces (the internal velocity is neglected) and the possible multi-D effects. The employment of axial or 3D components is not considered in the present work but will be evaluated with future sensitivity analysis. The accumulators are simulated by the specific CATHARE sub-modules that do not allow a detailed description of the discharge line. The thermal capacity of the wall structure could have effect on the gas expansion and therefore on the water injection behavior.

Steady State Conditions	Experimental Values	CATHARE Values
Heater Rod Power (MW)	4.97	4.92
Pressurized Pressure (MPa)	15.37	15.36
Core Inlet Temp. $[^{o}C]$	277.9	273.4
Core Outlet Temp. [°C]	320.4	312.7
Core Mass Flowrate [kg/s]	23.55	23.55
DC-UH bypass Mflow [kg/s]	0.13	0.13
Pressurizer level [m]	3.77	3.72

2.3 SPES-99 facility steady state

Table 2.2: Steady state main parameters (1)

2.3.1 Steady state

The CATHARE code has an algorithm (PERMINIT) that provides the initial steady state conditions of the thermal-hydraulic parameters starting from a set of guess values and introduces the necessary corrections to achieve the convergence.

VALIDATION OF TH	he CATHARE mode	l of the SPES-99 facility

Steady State Conditions	Exp Val	CATH Val	Exp Val	CATH Val
CL Temp. (A1, B1) [°C]	279.7	277.6	273.8	272.9
CL Temp. $(A2, B2)$ [^o C]	279.4	277.6	273.8	272.9
HL Temp. (A, B) [^o C]	315.8	316.9	312.5	312.5
CL MFlow (A1,B1) $[kg/s]$	6.04	5.56	6.23	5.78
CL MFlow $(A2,B2)$ [kg/s]	6.24	5.82	6.05	5.65
Pump speed (A, B) [rpm]	3057	2769	2453	2299
SG pressure (A , B) [MPa]	4.97	4.94	4.96	4.96
SG Dome level (A , B) [m]	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8
SG FW Temp. (A ,B) $[^{o}C]$	225.6	226.9	226.0	226.0
SG Dome Pres. (A ,B) [MPa]	5.16	5.08	4.97	4.97
SG FW flowrate (A ,B) $[kg/s]$	2.00	2.20	1.41	1.32

Table 2.3: Steady state main parameters (2)

This is the first step to obtain the reference steady state that was recorded on the facility before running the Intermediate Break LOCA test. In order to get thermal-hydraulic conditions closer to the reference steady state a calibration procedure of the main parameters is adopted. This objective is achieved according to a procedure recommended for CATHARE [9] by running the transient computation algorithm (TRANSIENT) and controlling the main parameters directly in the input-deck. To this purpose, we act on the boundary through a proportional-integral adjustment. The following parameters: primary mass flowrate, liquid level in the pressurizer, primary pressure, fluid inventory in the steam generators and feed-water mass flowrate are controlled for 3500 seconds until the acceptance criteria are satisfied. After stopping all the adjustments, we run the code for other 1500 seconds to verify the stability of the achieved steady state. The results are compared with the experimental values in Tables 2.2-2.3.

Important deviations are related to the temperatures in the primary circuit that are about 4 °C lower than the measured values, and the pump rotation velocities that result 20% lower than the experimental ones.

2.3.2 Reference steady state

The adjustment towards the experimental data allows us to reduce the uncertainties related to certain phenomenologies and to obtain a reference steady state in good agreement with the experimental results. The lower values of the primary temperatures calculated by CATHARE indicate an over-prediction of the SG heat transfer that is justified by the uncertainties on the heat transfer coefficients and on the fouling degree of the SG tubes. In order to compensate this difference the exchange area of the SG tubes has been reduced by 15% to match the experimental average primary temperature.

Steady State Conditions	Experimental Values	CATHARE Values
Heater Rod Power (MW)	4.97	4.93
Pressurized Pressure (MPa)	15.37	15.36
Core Inlet Temp. $[^{o}C]$	277.9	276.5
Core Outlet Temp. [°C]	320.4	315.2
Core Mass Flowrate $[kg/s]$	23.55	23.68
DC-UH bypass Mflow [kg/s]	0.13	0.13

Table 2.4: Main parameters of the reference steady state (1)

Steady State Conditions	Exp Val	CATH Val	Exp Val	CATH Val
CL Temp. (A1, B1) [°C]	279.7	277.6	277.0	276.0
CL Temp. $(A2, B2)$ [^o C]	279.4	277.6	277.0	276.0
HL Temp. $(A, B) [^{o}C]$	315.8	316.9	315.1	315.1
CL MFlow (A1,B1) $[kg/s]$	6.04	5.56	6.16	5.70
CL MFlow (A2,B2) $[kg/s]$	6.24	5.82	6.14	5.67
Pump speed (A, B) [rpm]	3057	2769	2723	2603
SG pressure (A , B) [MPa]	4.97	4.94	4.96	4.96
SG Dome level (A , B) [m]	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8
SG FW Temp. (A ,B) $[^{o}C]$	225.6	226.9	226.0	226.0
SG Dome Pres. (A ,B) [MPa]	5.16	5.08	4.97	4.97

Table 2.5: Main parameters of the reference steady state (2)

The under-prediction of the pump speed at the end of the fine adjustment phase is due to an underestimation of about 20% of the total pressure drop in the primary circuit. The comparison between the measured and calculated pressure drops in the different parts of the circuit reveals that there are larger discrepancies concerning the annular downcomer (some internal structures implemented to represent the pressure drops expected in the AP600 are not simulated in the model), the connections between upper plenum and hot legs and the steam generators. These last two differences can be attributed to the uncertainties in calculating the geometrical pressure drops at the entrance and exit of the large plenum. Moreover, in the U-tubes of the SG the fouling could be responsible of an increase of the distributed pressure drops with respect to the ideal situation considered in the CATHARE computations. The following geometrical coefficients used to calculate some concentrated pressure drops are re-calibrated to match the experimental data: connection between cold-legs and annular downcomer, entrance of

Test Boundary Conditions	Specified	Actual
	Time (s)	Time (s)
Break opening	0	0
Steam Line A closure	P<12.41 MPa + 2s	5.
Steam Line B closure	P<12.41 MPa + 2s	5.
Scream Signal	P<12.41 MPa + 3.5s	6.5
FW A Closure	P<11.72 MPa+ 2s	7
FW B Closure	P<11.72 MPa + 2s	8
PRZ empty	-	8
UP in saturation cond.	-	4.5
Pump A trip (coastdown)	P<11.72 MPa + 16.2s	25 (4)
Pump B trip (coastdown)	P<11.72 MPa + 16.2s	25 (8)
SG A PORV open/close	P (SG) = 6.30/6.20	13/20
SG B PORV open/close	P (SG) = 6.30/6.20	14/17
Two-flow at break	-	17
First dry-out (starting)	-	38
Secondary P > Primary P		46.8
ACC A Injection start	P (DVI)<4.8 MPa	74.5
ACC B Injection start	P (DVI)<4.8 MPa	73
ACC A Injection stop	-	725
ACC B Injection stop	-	646
Second dry-out (starting)	=	1908
Electrical Power shut-off	Rod Clad Temp>664	2600
	°C	
End of test	-	2712

Table 2.6: Test boundary conditions

hot legs from the upper plenum, connections between hot/cold-legs and SG plena. The final results of the reference steady state computation are reported in Tables 2.4-2.5.

It can be noticed that all the thermal-hydraulic parameters computed by CATHARE are in good agreement with the experimental data except for the feedwater mass flowrate in both secondary loops. In fact for this parameter CATHARE provides a value of 1,4 kg/s against the value of 2 kg/s as indicated on the experimental report. A thermal balance carried out on both secondary loops shows



Figure 2.4: PRZ, SG-A, SG-B pressure and core power

that the calculated value is realistic whereas the experimental data is affected by a relevant error whose reason is not clear from the information indicated on the experimental report.

2.4 SPES-99 IB LOCA transient

2.4.1 Results of the experiment

The Intermediate Break LOCA test consists of a 10 inch equivalent break in Cold Leg B2 starting from full power and full pressure conditions. The test was defined



Figure 2.5: ACC-A/B flow rate and heater rod temperature

by a working group of ENEA, ANPA, JRC Ispra, ANSALDO, Pisa University and SIET [11]. The experimental boundary conditions of the test are reported in Table 2.6 together with the relevant thermal-hydraulic events.

The main phases of the transient can be summarized in the following steps: - a fast depressurization after the break;

- the first heater rod heat-up due to DNB;

- the intervention of the accumulator with quench of the first heat-up;

- the continuous loss of mass from the break and the second heat-up due to dry-out of the core;

- the end of the test for electric power supply interruption at the cladding temperature of 664 $^o\mathrm{C}$.

The trends of the main quantities with the indication of the events are shown in Figure 2.4 and Figure 2.5.

Time (s)	Power (kW)	Time	Power (kW)
10 54	1.58	(s)	
0	4991.54	27.5	401.28
5.75	4991.54	30	381.70
5.76	978.74	35	347.45
12.38	978.74	40	318.09
14.5	978.74	45	293.62
15	753.63	50	278.94
17.5	636.18	70	234.89
20.82	548.09	100.	195.78
22.5	450.22	200	156.59
25	425.75	500	127.24
		1000	107.66

10002.1.1000100100100

2.4.2 Comparison between post-test results and experimental data

The IB LOCA test is computed with the CATHARE model described in the previous paragraph. The transient computation starts from the reference steady state conditions achieved after the fine adjustment phase (Tables 2.4-2.5). The boundary conditions reported in Table 2.6 as well as the power curve provided in the experiment and described in Table 2.7 are imposed by the transient algorithm of CATHARE. The main calculated parameters are compared with the experimental trends in Figures 2.6-2.10.

The Figure 2.6 shows the fast depressurization of the primary system. After the initial period of the transient where this behavior is well predicted by CATHARE,



Figure 2.6: Pressurizer pressure



Figure 2.7: Accumulator A injection mass flow rate

the computed depressurization is a bit quicker than in the experiment. The slightly earlier intervention of the accumulators highlighted in Figures 2.7-2.8 is a direct consequence of the quicker depressurization of the loop, whereas the prediction of the injection behavior without interruption is due to the lack of the short period of re-pressurization in the CATHARE computation. Both discrepancies could be related to the low resolution used in the geometrical description of the downcomer and accumulator injection line.

In order to improve the simulation of the quick depressurization phase of the transient a more detailed model than the present 0-D volume should be adopted for the downcomer. We must take into account the inertial forces and the multi-D effects of the 3-D components together with a more realistic description of the thermal capacity of the internal structures.



Figure 2.8: Integral mass injected by the accumulators



Figure 2.9: Rod Clad Temperature in Lower Power Channel (left) and Lower/Middle Power Channel (right)

In spite of these discrepancies the occurrence of DNB phenomena is well predicted by the code. In particular, at the lower/middle level (Figure 2.9 on the right) the rod heat-up time is precisely computed. The first peak in the clad temperature is higher than that in the experiment and the effect of the mesh refinement in the power channel should be investigated. The Figures 2.7-2.10, that report the rod clad temperature at four different levels, show that the intervention of the accumulator is effective in quenching the first heat-up of the heated rods. The second part of the transient, showing the mass loss from the break until the core dry-out, is computed in good agreement with the experiment. The occurrence of the second heat-up at about 2000 s is well predicted by the code at the different levels of the power channel, but with a slight delay compared to experimental data. Again, the mesh refining in the power channel could be beneficial in improving the results of the simulation. The correct computation of the dry-out occurrence may denote a correct evaluation of the primary fluid inventory and therefore of the integral



Figure 2.10: Rod Clad Temperature in Middle/Upper Power Channel (left) and Upper Power Channel (right

break mass flow rate but experimental values are unfortunately not available.

Chapter 3

Validation of a NEPTUNE-CATHARE model on PERSEO facility

3.1 The PERSEO facility test

3.1.1 The PERSEO facility design

The PERSEO facility was designed to test heat removal system of PWR reactors when energy removal systems using in-pool heat exchangers were proposed to be installed in the GE-SBWR and the Westinghouse AP-600. In particular two heat removal systems were considered for these reactors: the Isolation Condenser (IC) and the Passive Residual Heat Removal (PRHR). Both heat removal systems start the heat transfer by opening a valve installed on the primary side. This concept was modified many times. A first proposal, called Thermal Valve concept or TV, was studied by CEA and ENEA [5, 24]. In this proposal the primary side valve, which was at high pressure and temperature, was moved to the pool side. Later in the design the valve was relocated on steam side but at the top of a bell covering the pool with the immersed heat exchanger. The value in emergency condition should start the steam discharge formed under the bell and the heat transfer from the primary to the pool side. In this case the main problem was the large valve needed to avoid flow instabilities. Again the idea to move the primary side valve to the pool side was proposed by ENEA and SIET [1] as an evolution of the TV concept. This configuration installs the triggering valve on the liquid side on the line connecting the two pools. A new experimental facility was designed and built at the SIET laboratories by modifying the existing PANTHERS IC-PCC facility (Performance Analysis and Testing of Heat Removal System Isolation Condenser



Figure 3.1: The PERSEO (in-pool Energy Removal System for Emergency Operation) facility

- Passive Containment Condenser). The scheme of the PERSEO (in-pool Energy Removal System for Emergency Operation) facility is depicted in Figure 3.1.1. The PERSEO system mainly consists of a primary and a secondary side. The primary side contains the pressure vessel and the heat exchanger interconnected by the steam feed line and a condensate drain line. The secondary pool side consists of two pools connected at the top by a steam duct ending with an injector flowing into the Overall Pool (OP) and at the bottom by a water line with the triggering valve. The Heat Exchanger (HX) is contained in the HX pool. The overall pool contains the water that can be used to cool the primary side.

Primary side pressure	MPa	4
Primary side temperature	K	523.15
Primary steam flowrate	kg/s	8
HX extracted power	MW	14
HX pool side pressure	MPa	0.12
HX pool steam flowrate	kg/s	6.5

Table 3.1: The main PERSEO test parameters at full power operation during the transient phase of the test n.9

A test campaign has been conducted on the PERSEO facility in order to verify the correctness of the proposal and in particular the effectiveness of the decay of the heat removal. During operation the pressure vessel is maintained in saturation conditions with a pressure of about 7 MPa which is typical of BWR primary sides or PWR secondary sides for steam generators. The pressure, maintained by supplying properly de-superheated steam generated from a nearby power station, is kept constant by controlling the steam supply valve. At the same time the water level in the vessel is maintained at the specified value by discharging water through the condensate discharge line. At the beginning of the test the HX, the feed line and the drain line are full of saturated steam. The HX pool, depending on the test, is full of air or steam and the OP is full of cold water at the nominal level with the triggering valve closed. Once the system reaches the initial test conditions the triggering valve opens and the HX pool is flooded by cold water which condensates the steam inside the HX tubes with power transfer from the primary side to the pool side. As soon as pool water boiling starts, the steam produced in the HX pool is driven towards the OP through the steam duct. The injector below the water level contributes to mix the OP water avoiding temperature stratification. The water inside the OP heats up until the boiling point is reached. The steam produced in the OP flows outside the system at atmospheric pressure through the boil-off outlet. When the water level is below the injector no condensation is present anymore in the OP and the steam flows outside directly through the boil-off pipe. The water reserve in the OP decreases according to the heat transfer rate in the HX pool. During the system operation, the natural circulation which becomes stable on both primary and secondary sides determines the power evacuated by the system. In some tests, water is discharged from the OP bottom to accelerate the transient phase with water level decreasing.

Event	Time (s)
Beginning of the test	0
Triggering valve opening	142 (in 21 s)
OP water discharge opening	2790
Onset of OP water boiling	3200
OP water discharge closure	4840
Triggering valve closure	4887 (in 93 s)
End of test	7708

3.1.2 PERSEO experimental test 9

Table 3.2: Chronology of main events characterizing test n.9

Many different tests have been performed during the experimental campaign on the PERSEO facility [13]. Among all of them, test 9 shows the different phases of a long accidental transient. Therefore we are planning to investigate this test that should show the performances of the model during the different phases. Test 9 starts the operations with total HX pool fill-up. Then, after reaching the boiling conditions, the pool level decreases. This test was devoted to investigate the system when the HX pool is filled up and the thermal regime in both pools is reached. Furthermore, this test studies the effectiveness of the injector in mixing the OP water and the power and flow regime variation after the OP level decreases below the injector outlet. The main PERSEO test parameters at full power operation during the transient phase are listed in Table 3.1. The chronology of the main events characterizing the test is shown in Table 3.2.

The overall behavior of the system is illustrated in Figures 3.2 and 3.3. After opening the triggering value at t = 163 s, the low water level in the HX pool quickly increases close to the top of the tube bundle. The progressive decreasing of the OP level is started by the water discharge from the bottom at t = 2790 s, approximately 400 s before the onset of boiling. The water discharge is stopped at t = 4840 s, that is 140 s before the complete triggering value closure. As a consequence, the OP level drop is terminated in conjunction with the beginning of the decrease of the extracted power which tends to zero at the end of the test.



Figure 3.2: Test 9. Overall pool water level behavior (top) and HX pool relative pressure (bottom)

The power extracted by the HX decreases with the decreasing of the primary system pressure. The decreasing is caused by the enhanced pressure losses in the feed line with consequent reduction of natural circulation of primary mass flowrate. Another cause of the decreasing is the lower saturation temperature resulting in reduced temperature difference between primary and secondary sides. The extracted power is 14 MW and the onset boiling in the OP takes place around t = 3200 s. As expected the temperature stratification phenomenon in the OP disappears as soon as boiling is reached in the pool.



Figure 3.3: Test 9. HX exchanged power (top) and overall pool temperatures (bottom)

3.2 CATHARE-NEPTUNE coupled simulation

We are tempted to analyze the system with the three-dimensional NEPTUNE code but the complexity of the geometry of the PERSEO facility does not allow this. For this reason we may try to simulate only some components. The most interesting components are the overall pool and the injector. In this section we present a simple study with the NEPTUNE code of the overall pool and the injector. A detailed sketch of the overall pool and the injector is shown in Figures 3.4-3.5



Figure 3.4: PERSEO facility steam duct and liquid line between the pools

with dimensions and geometric details. In order to analyze these components we must know the input and output mass fluxes together with the inlet temperatures. Again, due to the complexity of the geometry the knowledge of the boundary conditions of a component can be known only through the use of some monodimensional system software applied to the whole system. In order to do this we use the CATHARE code that will be coupled with the NEPTUNE simulation. We did not perform a full coupling. The full coupling implies that CATHARE and NEPTUNE are solved in separate domains and the CATHARE-NEPTUNE solutions are iterated until convergence is reached. We use a very weak coupling that allows first the solution of the CATHARE code over all the domain and then the solution of the overall pool and injector components with the NEPTUNE code using the previously obtained results as boundary conditions.

The weak coupling between CATHARE and NEPTUNE is sketched in Figure 3.6. The boundary conditions needed for this weak coupling between the OP-injector system and the rest of the PERSEO facility are the temperatures and mass flows through the water discharge, water-to-HX-pool, boil off and steam line sections. The OP-injector system may be considered at standard atmospheric pressure. In the OP-injector three fluids are present: steam, water and air, as shown in Figure 3.7. In order to solve the problem with NEPTUNE code we need to enforce boundary conditions. Since the state variables in CATHARE are mono-



Figure 3.5: Injector or ending part of the steam duct in the overall pool

dimensional along the axes of the components, we consider uniform fields across each section. The steam line is the main line where the steam flows from the HX pool to the injector. The boundary velocity of the steam for NEPTUNE code can be computed from the steam mass flow of CATHARE code. The water discharge line is a line that allows the discharge of water needed to accelerate the drop of the water level. The water-to-HX-pool line is the feed line from OP to HX pool. In a two-dimensional simulation these two lines can be combined as in Figure 3.7. In this case the boundary conditions for the water velocity field in NEPTUNE can be computed from the water mass flow of the CATHARE solution. The boil-off outlet boundary conditions can be imposed directly on the air fluid.

3.3 Cathare solution of the PERSEO facility

3.3.1 Cathare modeling of the PERSEO facility

The CATHARE nodalization scheme of the PERSEO facility is described in Figure 3.8. Details on CATHARE solutions and dicretization can be found in [3]. In this Section we do not discuss the use of CATHARE nodalization technique since



Figure 3.6: Coupling CATHARE-NEPTUNE



Figure 3.7: Boundary conditions for the overall pool and injector components



Figure 3.8: CATHARE discretization of the PERSEO test 9

the main focus here will be on the NEPTUNE code. The 0-D two-node module (volume) is used to represent the primary vessel, the HX collectors, the HX pool lower and upper plenum and the OP (complete mixing). The volumes are interconnected by axial elements (pipe) representing the HX tube bundle, the water lines and the steam lines. Axial elements with cross-flow junctions are used to better simulate 2-D recirculation within the HX pool and the HX submerging and uncovering during the transient phase. Empirical correlations are used in the system code in order to reproduce the experimental data. In particular the EPICE correlation for boiling heat transfer in the HX pool and the SUPERCLAUDIA correlation for direct contact condensation in the OP are implemented in the code [6]. A pressure boundary condition at the HX pool top was kept active for 100 s during start-up to avoid large numerical instabilities. These numerical instabilities are likely generated by natural circulation within the HX pool leading to an immediate strong condensation of steam in the upper plenum when cold water is injected at the pool bottom. The evaluation of pressure drop through the steam injector is needed to well reproduce the HX pool relative pressure and thus the overall system behavior. Therefore, friction losses through the conic-shape injector are calibrated on differential pressure measurements. To this aim, appropriate singular pressure loss coefficients are taken into account in the injector trying to reproduce the test measurements.

3.3.2 Cathare solution of test n.9



Figure 3.9: HX pool water level behavior (left) and overall pool water level (right) with CATHARE solution in pink.



Figure 3.10: HX pool relative pressure (left) and HX exchanged power (right) with CATHARE solution in pink.

The code results for test 9 regarding the water levels, the HX pool relative pressure and the HX exchanged power are shown in Figures 3.9 and 3.10 in comparison with test measurements. The CATHARE code results are shown in pink color. The initial water level behavior in the HX pool is very well captured by CATHARE as can be shown on the left of Figure 3.9. After this initial interval the level is overestimated in the first part of the transient (900-2100 s) and then largely underestimated from t = 2900 s until the triggering valve is closed at about t = 5000 s. The drop of the HX pool level during the last phase of the transient is quite well reproduced until the end of the test. The OP drop of the water level behavior is well predicted by CATHARE during the whole transient as can be seen



Figure 3.11: Overall pool temperatures (left) and HX tube wall temperature (right) with CATHARE solution is pink.

in Figure 3.9 on the right. The oscillatory behavior of the OP water level observed in the test before boiling might be caused by sudden steam condensation at the injector outlet, inducing fluctuations at the pool free surface. The lack of oscillations in the calculated OP level occurs in conjunction with much smaller fluctuations in the HX pool level and in the HX relative pressure values calculated by the code in the interval t = 500-3000 s as shown on the left of Figure 3.10. In this figure we remark that the large HX pool relative pressure overestimation between t = 2700-5000 s is consistent with the corresponding HX pool level underestimation. As expected, the differences with previous results become significant only after onset of OP water boiling around t = 3200 s. The computed power, shown on the right of Figure 3.10, is 15 MW while the experimental extracted power is 14 MW. The power drop predicted by the code after triggering valve closure at about t = 5000s is slightly quicker than the one observed in the test. The onset of boiling in the OP, which takes place around t = 3200 s, is well captured by the code as shown on the left of Figure 3.11. The onset of HX tube wetting is well predicted by the code (see Figure 3.11 on the right) but the HX tube wall temperature is over predicted under wetting conditions.

3.3.3 Boundary conditions for NEPTUNE

From the CATHARE solution we can compute the boundary conditions for the NEPTUNE code. Since the state variables in CATHARE are mono-dimensional we consider uniform fields across every section. In Figure 3.12 we report the steam mass flow through the steam line which is the main line where the steam from the HX pool comes to the injector. On the left of Figure 3.13 we show the CATHARE solution of the water discharge line, which is a line that allows the discharge of water needed to accelerate the drop of the water level. On the right of this Figure,



Figure 3.12: Steam Mass flow rate to OP pool



Figure 3.13: Water Mass flow rate to HX pool (left) and water discharge Mass flow rate (right)

the mass flow of water to the HX pool line is shown, which feeds the HX pool from the overall pool (OP). In a two-dimensional simulation these two water lines can be combined as indicated in Figure 3.13.





3.4 NEPTUNE on CRESCO set up simulation

3.4.1 Code setup

The NEPTUNE project provides a two-phase flow thermal hydraulics software for two/three-dimensional computations of the main components of nuclear reactors: cores, steam generators, condensers, heat exchangers. NEPTUNE supports from one to twenty fluid fields (or phases) and includes thermodynamic laws for water/steam flows. It is based on advanced physical models (two-fluid equations combined with interface area transport and two-phase turbulence) and on the cellcentered type finite volume method which can use meshes with all types of cell and nonconforming connections.

The NEPTUNE code is located on CRESCO-ENEA GRID in the directory

/afs/enea.it/project/fissicu/soft/Neptune

NEPTUNE requires a specific structure for the configuration and input files. The simulation directory is denoted as SIMUL. We can therefore create a CASES directory and put all NEPTUNE simulations inside. To create a folder tree for the study SIMUL we use the available script

\$ buildcase_nept -study SIMUL

This generates the correct directory structure. There is a MESH directory, where all the meshes are stored. Inside this directory, the case SIMUL will have its own directory as

```
CASES
+-- CASE1
+-- SIMUL + working directory
...
+-- MESH
```

As shown in Figure 3.14, the working directory SIMUL contains the four sub-directories

- DATA, where the XML configuration file is stored;
- RESU, that is used for the outputs;
- SCRIPTS, that hosts the execution scripts;
- SRC, in which we can put some additional source file.

Once the PATH is set the NEPTUNE GUI starts with the command

\$ neptune

At the moment not all the libraries required for the GUI are available in the CRESCO architecture and therefore we run the NEPTUNE GUI on a simple workstation through which we create the **param** file. Then we copy this file on CRESCO in the appropriate position and we run NEPTUNE code in batch running mode. In order to execute the application in a shell we set the environment by using the script **neptune_env** that is located in the **bin** directory. The recommended way to run NEPTUNE from command line is to use the **runcase** script. There is a template available inside the directory

/afs/enea.it/project/fissicu/soft/Neptune/data

One has to enter the SCRIPTS directory inside the case under analysis and run

\$./runcase

On the top of the **runcase** template the configuration options for LSF are listed. We set

BSUB -J Test9 BSUB -n 1 BSUB -oo journal_o BSUB -eo journal_e

. . .

where the option -J sets the job name Test9, -n the number of processors 1, -oo the file journal_o for the standard output and -eo the error output journal_e. Once the script is ready the command

```
$ bsub < runcase</pre>
```

starts the batch execution. Further information on batch commands are available in [2].

3.4.2 Initial, boundary condition and physical property files

NEPTUNE requires a dataset file, named **param**, and a mesh file. The mesh file may have the UNV or MED format. In our implementation NEPTUNE is not able to read the MED format and therefore we must use the UNV format files for the mesh geometry. The geometry chosen for this first approach is the two-dimensional geometry shown in Figure 3.7. This geometry includes the injector and the pool and considers the water discharge and the water OP-to-HX line as a unique line. The **param** file contains all the physical properties and boundary conditions. As shown in Figure 3.15 in the overall pool and the injector system we have three fluids: water (**eau**), steam (**vapeur**) and air (**air**). The physical properties of these three fluids are taken as in Table 3.3. The label "Cathare table" refers to

fluid	T (K)	$\rho(Kg/m^3)$	$\mu(Pas)$	$Cp(W/m^3K)$
steam	297.75	Cathare table	Cathare table	Cathare table
water	297.75	Cathare table	Cathare table	Cathare table
air	gas law	2	2×10^{-5}	1006

Table 3.3: Fluid and flow properties selected for PERSEO test 9

an internal database that correlates pressure and temperature of water and steam with the physical properties. The reference temperature and pressure for all the phases are the room temperature T = 297.75 K and standard pressure p = 1 atm respectively. The turbulence model in water is the $R_{ij} - \epsilon$ model recommended by NEPTUNE. We set the mixing length model for air, while no turbulence model is set in the steam component. On the wall we use friction boundary conditions for

VALIDATION OF A NEPTUNE-CATHARE MODEL ON PERSEO FACILITY

×			edamor c@slin	knnt>			
File Options Headings	XU	Help					
	? NEPTUNE CFD V1.0	.8		param			
	Number of phases	3					
	GENERALITIES						
	fluid name	e 201	yanaur	air			
		eau	Aabear	au			
NEPTUNE CFD V1.0.8	fluid state		gas _	gas 💷			
adin	THERMO						
	density	1000	10	3			
	Town Twof	997 CE	997 65	1. 10			
Special modules Input-output-con	Temp Iter	237.03	257.05	16-10			
	Dyn. visc.	0.002	1e-05	0.0002			
	Diameter	0.003	0.001	0.001			
Flud&flow prop Generalities	Heat cap. (Cp)	4183	1400	1005			
	alpha init	0	0	0			
	elast. coef.	0.9	0.9	0.9			
	rad tranef	-	-	-			
	Tau. transr.		- [-		
	TIPPIN FNOT						
	TORDOL ENCE						
	model	Rij-eps —	none 💷	nix. length =			
	mix. length	0	0	0.1			
	TURBULENT REVERSI						
	influence -> 1						
	PARTICLE-PARTICU						
	frict. model	none 🛁	none -	none -			
	oran. model	none	none	none			
	kine. model	none	none	none			
	waximum particle						
	WALL BC						
	Wall BC						
	INTER-PHASE FORCES						
	drag<->1	non	e =	Ishii	none -		
	Added mass	none		Zuber -	none 💴		
	lift	1	none 💷	none	none 🖃		
	turb. disp.	none	-	none 🖃	none 💷		
	wall force	none		none -	none 🖃		
	Close Cancel Help						
💫 😰 🖃 📰 📰 🔡	es – Dolphi 🕅 📉 edamox	Untitled – K	ate 🕜 paris tex – Kile	137.204.240.12	💫 🚔 🔚 🏏 o 📶 🛛	🖬 🗋 💽 🕢 04:32 pm 🎴	

Figure 3.15: Physical properties.

water and air and zero velocity normal derivative for steam. The Ishii drag model for the steam phase is used.

As shown in Figure 3.16 the boundary consists of seven regions: steam inlet, boil-off, water line, injector wall, top pool wall, pool wall and symmetry boundary region. The names are self describing; the steam inlet is the inlet of the steam in the injector and the boil-off is the outlet boundary at the top of the pool. The injector wall, top pool wall and pool wall appear in Figure 3.16 as a unique column since all these regions can be considered as standard walls with the same kind of boundary conditions. The mesh imported by NEPTUNE is three-dimensional with unitary thickness. Since in finite volume discretization, fields are constant in the cell and located at the center of the cell the symmetry boundary conditions are needed to simulate two-dimensional geometries with three-dimensional meshes. For details see [19]. On the steam inlet region only steam can enter with direction normal to the surface and mass flux defined in the appropriate file as a function of

	alumnum orn ut							
rile Uptions Heading	gs TNEPTONE CFD VI	1.0.8		para	n			He
	BENERAL						ī	
1 1 1	Addber of zones	» J						
	zone name	steam inlet	boil off	water line	wall_injector	symmetry		
EPTINE CED VI 0.8	zone ref(s)	1	2	3	456	7		
adin	BC type	inlet 🖃	outlet 🖃	inlet 🖃	wall -	symmetry -		
	Pref BC	0	101325	0	0	0		
<u> </u>	FLUID 1							
pecial modules 1	nput o Ul in	0	0	0	0	0	A	
	V1 in	0	0	-1	0	0		
huid&flow prop	Jenera VI in	0	0	0	0	0		
	alp1 in	0	0	1	0	0		
	Flow imp							
	Flow1 in	0	0	0	0	0		
	r11 in	5e-05	5e-05	5e-05	5e-05	5e-05		
	r12 in	5e-05	5e-05	5e-05	5e-05	5e-05		
	r13 in	5e-05	5e-05	5e-05	5e-05	5e-05		
	r22 in	5e-05	5e-05	5e-05	5e-05	5e-05		
	r23 in	5e-05	5e-05	5e-05	5e-05	5e-05		
		fr or	fr or	le or	fr or	le or		
	FLUID 2							
	U2 in	-1	0	0	0	0		
	V2 in	0	0	0	0	0		
	W2 in	0	0	0	0	0		
	alp2 in	1	0	0	0	0		
	Flow imp	- -						
	Flow2 in	0	0	0	0	0		
	FLUID 3							
	U3 in	0	0	0	0	0		
	V3 in	0	0	0	0	0		
	W3 in	0	0	0	0	0		
	alp3 in	0	1	0	0	0		
	Flow imp							
	Flow3 in	0	0	0	0	0		
	SCALAR1							
	Olara Omal I							
	Close Cancel H	erb						

Figure 3.16: Boundary conditions.

time by the previously solved CATHARE solution. The temperature condition is set to **Sat** option which denotes the saturation temperature at the corresponding pressure. The boil-off boundary condition is the standard outflow condition for air while water and steam are not allowed to exit. Concerning the water line boundary condition, temperature is fixed to room temperature and mass flow is imposed through a file as a function of time. At the wall we enforce standard turbulent boundary conditions for water and air.

Many numerical schemes and options can be activated in NEPTUNE. In this simulation we use the *Special Modules* option which allows to enable special features of the two-phase flow. We enable the option *water/steam module* which allows the use of Cathare table for water/steam systems. We leave this option as recommended in the tutorial. In the section *scalar* of the NEPTUNE code we set three scalars, the total enthalpies for each fluid. Two scalars are enabled automatically when the water/steam module is selected in *Special modules*, i.e. the two



Figure 3.17: Overall pool temperature measurement positions.



Figure 3.18: Overall pool temperatures for different probes.

total enthalpies for water and steam. The state variable is not therefore the temperature but the total enthalpy, from which one can compute the corresponding temperature by using the appropriate thermodynamical law.

In this test we would like to reproduce temperature behaviors from the PERSEO test. In Figure 3.17 we have a layout of the overall pool temperature measurement positions. We are going to study the computed temperatures at the probe positions denoted by TP6, TP8, TP23 and TP25. The experimental results are reported in Figure 3.18. The exact location of the probes can be found in Table

position	Х	Y	Z	Code
overall pool under the Injector	500	3400	2195	TP006
overall pool under the Injector	500	3400	1795	TP007
overall pool under the Injector	500	3400	1195	TP008
overall pool central area	1910	3745	2695	TP021
overall pool central area	1910	3745	2195	TP023
overall pool central area	1910	3745	1195	TP025
overall pool central area	1910	3745	50	TP028
overall pool central area	3540	3745	2695	TP030
overall pool central area	1910	3745	2495	TP022

Table 3.4: Exact locations (in mm) of the probes for temperature measurements with respect to the reference frame indicated in Figure 3.17.

3.4. The NEPTUNE code has a probe system that allows the monitoring of any

point in space and time.

In this simulation we must introduce some user subroutines. To compile them it is necessary to copy the corresponding file from the USERS directory into the SRC directory. The boundary conditions are imposed by using the CATHARE solution. In order to impose the boundary conditions as a function of time one must modify the file /src/usclim.F. On the injector inlet boundary, the mass flow function m(t) for phase 1 (steam) over the interval $t \in [1.43E + 02, 1.68E + 02]$ is given by

$$m(t) = \frac{-(-5952.98 + 74.3234 t - 0.228571 t^2)}{54.7} .$$
(3.1)

By using the FORTRAN language we write it as

```
IF ((TTCABS .GE. 1.43E+02)
& .AND. (TTCABS .LT. 1.68E+02)) THEN
DEBCL(1,2)=-FACT_W*( -5952.98+74.3234*TTCABS-0.228571
& *TTCABS*TTCABS)
ENDIF
```

Here, DEBCL(j, α) is the mass flux on boundary j (steam inlet boundary is denoted by 1 in order) for phase α (steam is phase 2). Time is denoted in this file by TTCABS.

The initial conditions must be defined in the file /src/usiniv.F. Since in the OP we start with a water level of 4.5 m and air above with no steam we must write

```
D0 IEL = 1,NCEL
IF (XYZCEN(1,IEL) .GT. 4.5 ) THEN
RTP(IEL,IALPR(2)) = 1.D0
RTP(IEL,IALPR(1)) = 0.D0
RTP(IEL,IALPR(3)) = 0.D0
ELSE
RTP(IEL,IALPR(1)) = 1.D0
RTP(IEL,IALPR(2)) = 0.D0
RTP(IEL,IALPR(3)) = 0.D0
ENDIF
ENDD0
```

The RTP(e, α) function gives the value of the volume fraction in the element e with phase α .

The physical laws and properties must be added in the file /src/usphysv.F. The air needs the gas law and therefore we must add

DO IEL=1,NCEL

where we define the air temperature as $T = h/c_p$ and the expression for the enthalpy. Details can be found in [2].

3.5 NEPTUNE simulation of PERSEO Test 9



Figure 3.19: Chronology of the temperature main events for the probe TP6

If one observes the chronology of the temperature main events for test 9 as reported in Figure 3.19, one can divide the experiment evolution into four parts:

- 1. Beginning;
- 2. Temperature stratification (pool heating);
- 3. Steam injection (pool boiling);
- 4. Injection above water level.

The interval of time of each period is summarized in Table 3.5.

n	Description	Time (s)
1	Beginning of the test	0 -500
2	pool heating	500-3200
3	pool boiling	3200-4200
4	Low water level	4200-7700

Table 3.5: Chronology of the Temperature main events

In the next section we analyze each of these stages.

3.5.1 Beginning of the test

During the initial part of the simulation the pool temperature is low. At t = 0 the initial conditions of the system are reported in Table 3.6. The boundary condi-

Parameter	Unit	Value
OP water level	m	4.50
OP water temperature	^{o}C	24.5
HX pool water level	m	1.222
HX pool water temperature	^{o}C	47

Table 3.6: Initial conditions of OP and HX pool.

tions for NEPTUNE during the time interval 0-500 s as computed by CATHARE are shown in Figures 3.20-3.21. In Figure 3.20 and on the left of Figure 3.21 the water mass flow rate from OP to HX pool and the water discharge mass flow rate are shown, respectively. These two flows are set as boundary conditions over the boundary that defines the water line. In order to do this we interpolate the CATHARE values at the time nodes and write the resulting interpolating functions on the file uslimv.F as described in the previous section. The steam mass flow rate from the HX pool to the OP is reported on the right of Figure 3.21. This mass flow generates the velocity at the steam inlet. Since the CATHARE solution provides a unique scalar value, the velocity profile is assumed to be constant. During the interval $t \in [0, 143]$ s all the boundary mass flow rates are set to zero so that the system can reach a stable condition. At t = 150 s the water level of



Figure 3.20: Water mass flow rate to HX pool over the time interval [0 - 500]s computed from CATHARE simulation.



Figure 3.21: Water discharge mass flow rate and steam mass flow rate to overall pool over the time interval [0 - 500]s computed from CATHARE simulation.

the simulation is almost everywhere uniform as one can see in Figure 3.22. During the interval $t \in [143 - 204]$ s a water level adjustment occurs. As one can see in Figure 3.20, the water moves from the overall pool to the HX pool since the corresponding level in the HX pool is low due to steam formation. The resulting level in the OP is shown in Figure 3.23. The water levels in the injector and in the overall pool are different due to the steam pressure. In the final interval $t \in [204 - 500]$ s the steam enters the injector with a significant mass flow rate



Figure 3.22: Water level at t = 150 s.

and the overall pool temperature increases in an appreciable manner. One can see this from the temperature probes in Figure 3.24. In this Figure the temperature values in probes TP6 (called 1) and TP8 (called 2) computed by NEPTUNE are reported as a function of time, along with the experimental measurements. It is important to remark that the agreement between computed and measured temperature profiles is not very good. The computed temperatures increase jointly and no temperature stratification, that is no temperature difference between the two probes, takes place. The CATHARE simulation of this facility does not exhibit a temperature stratification as well, due to the mono-dimensional nature of the model. The NEPTUNE computations are two-dimensional and therefore the code should be able to capture the stratification phenomenon. This means that either the initial or the boundary conditions or the modeling equations are not correctly implemented. Nevertheless, many attempts have been made with different conditions and different physical models with the purpose of reproducing stratification, but none of them has been successful. This may be due to a wrong modeling of the injector for which the hypothesis of adiabatic walls is made.



Figure 3.23: Water level at t = 204 s.

3.5.2 Temperature stratification

During the interval $t \in [500 - 3200]$ s the pool temperature increases until it reaches boiling. For the time interval under study, the steam mass flow rate to the overall pool is shown in Figure 3.25; the water mass flow rates to the HX pool and to the discharge line are reported in Figure 3.26 on the left and right respectively. In two-dimensional geometry these two flows may be added to define the boundary condition for the water line. The NEPTUNE simulation shows a great mixing with no temperature stratification. The mixing is generated by the cyclic behavior of the injector. The injector is periodically filled with steam. The steam cannot enter the pool and condensates near the injector outlet. The steam mixes with water and the water enters the injector again. The steam condensates and the water is sucked until it fills the injector almost completely. Then the cycle repeats again. This can be seen in Figures 3.27-3.28. On the top of Figure 3.27 we see that the injector is filling with steam which then pushes the water level down (Figure 3.27 on the bottom). On the top of Figure 3.28 the water level drops



Figure 3.24: Computational and experimental temperature profiles in probes TP6 (1) and TP8 (2) as a function of time.



Figure 3.25: Steam mass flow rate to overall pool over the time interval [500 - 3000]s defined from CATHARE simulation

down and water and steam mix quickly to fill again the injector completely as shown on the bottom of Figure 3.28. The resulting temperatures at the probes is



Figure 3.26: Water mass flow rate to HX pool and water discharge mass flow rate over the time inteval [500 - 3000]s defined from CATHARE simulation

shown in Figure 3.29. The experiment exhibits the temperature stratification while the simulation shows a strong turbulent mixing. In Figure 3.29 on the right we zoom over a temperature oscillation and try to relate it with the injector behavior. During the initial part of the injection the temperature increases when the injector fills with steam. The maximum temperature (heated phase) is obtained after the steam is injected into the pool. Then the temperature decreases since the cold water is sucked into the injector. The surrounding water temperature remains at the mixing pool temperature. This temperature is lower than the corresponding experimental temperature showing a high rate of mixing. In order to have a stratification in temperature the injector should behave in a substantially different manner. As we said before this means that the enforced conditions or the assumed physical models are not correct.

3.5.3 Steam injection on boiling pool

Around t = 3200 s the pool starts boiling. During the interval $t \in [3200 - 4200]$ s the steam is injected directly into a boiling pool. In Figure 3.30 the steam mass flow rate injected into the overall pool is reported as a function in the considered time interval. The steam mass flow has a steady value around 6.6 Kg/s. Figure 3.31 shows the water mass flow rate to the HX pool and the water discharge mass flow rate on the left and right, computed by CATHARE and used as boundary conditions for NEPTUNE simulation. The water discharge mass flow rate is kept to a constant value of 18 kg/s so as to rapidly decrease the water level in the overall pool. In Figure 3.32 we can see the water temperature distribution at t = 4000 s. All the water is boiling. We recall that the temperature field is extended from the water region to the whole pool region even if in these parts there is only steam or





77

Figure 3.27: Steam entering the injector (top) and injection of steam into water (bottom)





78

Figure 3.28: Steam and water mixing (top) and inverse flow (bottom)



Figure 3.29: Temperature of the probes TP6 (red) and TP8 (green) from experiment and NEPTUNE computation (blue and violet) and zoom of the temperature oscillations



Figure 3.30: Steam mass flow rate to overall pool over the time interval [3200 - 4200]s defined from CATHARE simulation.

air. On the top of Figure 3.33 we can see the injection of steam into the boiling pool when the water level is below the injector outlet. In this case the condensation is minimal and all the steam goes above the level of the water pool as shown in Figure 3.33 on the bottom. Condensation may take place in some areas of the pool, especially near the boil-off where the cold air may condensate the saturated steam.



Figure 3.31: Water mass flow rate to the HX pool and water discharge mass flow rate over the time interval [3200 - 4200]s defined from CATHARE simulation.



Figure 3.32: Extended water temperature distribution in boiling pool

3.5.4 Injection above water level

During the interval $t \in [4200 - 7700]$ s the pool level decreases until it is below the injector outlet. In Figure 3.34 we can see the evolution of the steam mass flow rate entering the overall pool in this last time interval. The temperature of this flow is the saturation temperature. In a similar way in Figure 3.35 the water mass flow





81

Figure 3.33: Steam injection on boiling pool (top) and steam release to the water surface (bottom)



Figure 3.34: Steam mass flow rate to overall pool over the time interval [4200 - 7700]s defined from CATHARE simulation.



Figure 3.35: Water mass flow rate to the HX pool and water discharge mass flow rate over the time interval [4200 - 7700]s defined from CATHARE simulation.

rate to the HX pool and the water discharge mass flow rate are shown on the left and right respectively. The water discharge mass flow rate is kept constant to 18.8 kg/s till about t = 4700 s and then it is set to zero when the water discharge line is closed. The temperature on this boundary region is room temperature. With these boundary conditions we can compute the velocity and temperature profiles of all the phases. On the top of Figure 3.36 we can see the steam injection into the water pool. In Figure 3.36 on the bottom the steam is injected above the water level. There is no mixing with water and the energy is released directly to





Figure 3.36: Steam injection above the water level.

the pool surface. From the pool surface the steam mixes with the air and then it goes out of the system through the boil-off boundary. In this case we believe that the NEPTUNE code simulates pretty well the heat exchange between the different phases.

Chapter 4

Validation of TRIO_U interface model on bubble detachment

4.1 Set up of the TRIO U code

This section is devoted to a simple validation of the TRIO_U code. With TRIO_U we propose a three-dimensional simulation of a bubble detaching from a heated wall in pool boiling configuration. Trio_U was developed at the Laboratory of Modeling and Software Development of the Directorate of Nuclear Energy of the CEA with the specific purpose of studying two-phase flows with interfaces [34, 35, 36]. The two-phase model is based on the Navier-Stokes equations coupled with an advection equation for the phase. Since the phases are assumed to be immiscible the knowledge of the phase is related to the tracking of the interface. The location of the interface is defined by a set of markers that are advected by the velocity field.

In order to start the TRIO_U code on CRESCO-ENEA GRID we must set the TRIO_U environment, the mesh grid and the file data with the physical and numerical parameters. The TRIO_U platform is located on CRESCO-ENEA GRID in the directory

/afs/enea.it/project/fissicu/soft/Triou

The TRIO_U application will be run in console mode. From console one must first set the access to the **bin** directory

/afs/enea.it/project/fissicu/soft/bin

by executing the script

\$ source pathbin.sh

Once the **bin** directory is in the **PATH** environment variable, all the programs of the platform can be launched from anywhere. The command needed to start the TRIO U application is

\$ triou

The dataset file must be labeled with the extension .data and contains all the parameter values and all the options. The options are introduced in the code through keywords. For example, consider the Lire keyword. The interpreter allows the Lire object to be defined in various ways:

a) with brackets

```
Lire object1 {
....}
```

In this case this keyword provides the object object1 defined between the braces; b) by command line

```
Lire_fichier object1 namefile
```

The keyword Lire_fichier indicates that the object object1 be read in the file namefile. This is notably used when the mesh of the calculation domain has already been generated and the mesh contains the file namefile;

c) with _bin

Lire_fichier_bin object1 namefile

for an unformatted file.

For all the keywords one can read the TRIO_U tutorial inside the doc directory which is located in

/afs/enea.it/project/fissicu/soft/Triou/doc

We are planning to consider a bubble in a simple three-dimensional rectangular domain. Since this is a very simple domain we generate the mesh with the TRIO_U internal generator.

In order to do this we need to include the commands for mesh generation in the data file. These commands can be written as

```
dimension 3
domaine domain
# Mesh description #
Mailler domain
{ pave pave1
{
origine 0. 0. 0.
longueurs 1. 1. 1.
nombre_de_noeuds 51 51 51
}
{
              X = 0.
                          0. <= Y <= 1. 0. <= Z <= 1.
bord paroi
               Z = 1.
bord haut
                        0. \le X \le 1. 0. \le Y \le 1.
               Z = 0.
                          0. \le X \le 1. 0. \le Y \le 1.
bord bas
                        0. \le Y \le 1. 0. \le Z \le 1.
bord paroi
              X = 1.
              Y = 0.
                          0. <= X <= 1. 0. <= Z <= 1.
bord paroi
                       0. <= X <= 1. 0. <= Z <= 1.
bord paroi
              Y = 1.
}
}
transformer dom x*0.002-0.001 y*0.002-0.001 z*0.002
```

The first line indicates the use the three-dimensional coordinate system. The domain is described in the Mailler block which consists of two blocks. The first block describes the origin coordinate and the number of nodes. The second block defines the geometrical boundary and its labeling. The domain is then transformed with the command transformer to fit the dimension of the bubble.

The properties of the fluid are assigned inside the same file. We have three blocks: fluid, gas and fluid-gas. These blocks are reported below

```
Fluide_Incompressible
                      eau
Lire eau
{
  mu champ_uniforme
                           1 70.e-6
  rho champ_uniforme
                           1 610
  lambda champ_uniforme
                           1 461.e-3
                           1 8270
  cp champ_uniforme
}
Fluide_Incompressible air
Lire air
{
```

```
mu champ_uniforme
                           1 22.e-6
  rho champ_uniforme
                           1 100
                           1 111.e-3
  lambda champ_uniforme
  cp champ_uniforme
                           1 11000
}
Fluide_diphasique fluide
Lire fluide
{
  fluide1 eau
  fluide0 air
  sigma
                               0.002
          constant
  chaleur_latente constant
                               -50000
}
```

The properties of water, steam (gas) and the water-steam interface can be changed depending on the problem.

In Trio_U there is a specific module called Discontinuous Front Tracking Problem to solve multiphase problems; this module is recalled by the keyword **Probleme_ft_Disc_gen**. The equations required to solve the detachment of the bubble from a plain surface are three and one must provide a name identification for each of them. The three equations are:

- the momentum equation, i.e. the Navier-Stokes equation, which is referred to as Navier_Stokes_ft_Disc;
- an interface equation referring to the interface between two fluids, indicated by Transport_Interfaces_ft_Disc;
- the temperature equation for a phase, i.e. the energy equation; the keyword for this equation is Convection_diffusion_temperature_ft_disc.

The previous equations need to be associated with an object of type

Probleme_ft_Disc_gen

in the Trio_U language this instruction is provided by the keyword Associer. The list of equations in the .data file looks as follows:

pb
hydraulique
interf

```
Convection_diffusion_temperature_ft_disc thermique
Associer pb hydraulique
Associer pb thermique
Associer pb interf
```

There are different output file formats:

- name.lml: standard storage file for post-processing. The .lml format files allow the results to be viewed with Data Visualizer or AVS Express;

- name.lata: this is similar to the .lml format but comprises several files;

- name.ijk: this outputs the results in the form of tables;

- name.tv: to be used with the freeware *VisIt* viewing tool;

- name.son: standard storage files for physical values measured by probes located by the user in the calculation domain. This file can be read by the *Gnuplot* application.

We intend to print the temperature, velocity, pressure and interface fields with .lata format. To this purpose we write a block in the .data file as follows:

```
Postraitement_ft_lata post1
 {
  dt_post 0.005
  nom_fichier lata/post
  champs elements
    ſ
     indicatrice_interf
     temperature_thermique
     vitesse
     pression
     temperature_mpoint
     }
   interfaces interf
   ſ
    champs sommets { courbure }
    }
  }
```

4.2 Numerical results

4.2.1 Simulation of bubble detachment in pool boiling

The boiling simulation is carried out in a three-dimensional rectangular domain with standard water-steam data. The initial temperature and the corresponding



Figure 4.1: Constant heat flux boundary condition on the bottom wall

density are linear from the bottom to the top of the domain. The top of the boundary is kept at 10° C less than the bottom which is at the saturation temperature. Symmetry conditions are considered as boundary conditions on the other sides of the three-dimensional domain. For the velocity field no-slip boundary conditions are imposed on the top and bottom of the domain and symmetry conditions on the remaining sides. The gravity is taken into account and a small initial bubble is located at the center of the bottom surface. The wall is under a constant heat flux as described in Figure 4.1. The bubble temperature starts to grow by heat diffusion adjacent to the wall until it reaches the saturation value. The change of phase starts and the bubble grows as shown in Figures 4.2-4.5. When the bubble achieves the critical volume and the corresponding departure diameter it detaches from the wall. This can be seen in Figures 4.5-4.6. The detached bubble is unstable. If its size is smaller than the critical bubble radius then the bubble rises up and its diameter decreases. In this case the bubble disappears before reaching the upper boundary. In our simulation the bubble is stable and large enough such that a bubble collapse is avoided. As one can see in Figures 4.7-4.9, after the detachment the bubble rises and changes its shape till it reaches the upper boundary of the domain.

4.2.2 Bubble diameter as a function of gravity

In this section we study the diameter of the bubble at departure as a function of gravity. From the previous simulation we can determine the diameter of the bubble at departure by changing the gravity constant g. From static force balance one expects that the bubble departure diameter D_d satisfies the following relation

$$D_d = A/\sqrt{g} \tag{4.1}$$

where A is a constant. In order to match the static theoretical result in (4.1)



Figure 4.2: Simulation of bubble detachment from a wall in pool boiling (1)





Figure 4.3: Simulation of bubble detachment from a wall in pool boiling (2)



Figure 4.4: Simulation of bubble detachment from a wall in pool boiling (3)



Figure 4.5: Simulation of bubble detachment from a wall in pool boiling (4)



Figure 4.6: Simulation of a bubble detachment from a wall in pool boiling (5)





Figure 4.7: Simulation of bubble detachment from a wall in pool boiling (6)



Figure 4.8: Simulation of bubble detachment from a wall in pool boiling (7)



Figure 4.9: Simulation of bubble detachment from a wall in pool boiling (8)



Figure 4.10: Bubble diameter at departure as a function of gravity g.

we perform several computations with a fixed contact angle of 60° and different gravity g ranging from 30 to 100m/s^2 . In order to determine the bubble diameter we consider the two instants immediately before and after the actual release of the bubble and we compute the bubble departure volume as an average of the two. Assuming an approximate spherical form we can compute the diameter based on the bubble volume. We fit the computational results with the function

$$f(g) = a g^b \tag{4.2}$$

with a and b constants to be determined. The best fit gives a = 9.49 and b = -0.49. The results are shown in Figure 4.10. The circles represent the simulation results and the solid line is the theoretical prediction in (4.1). If we want to fit the data with b = -0.5 as suggested by (4.1) then the best result is obtained for a = 10.02. The result are pretty good especially if one takes into account that (4.1) is obtained in static conditions [17, 7, 12, 18].



Figure 4.11: Bubble diameter at departure as a function of surface tension sigma.

4.2.3 Bubble diameter as a function of surface tension

Here we study the diameter of the bubble at departure as a function of surface tension σ . From static force balance one expects that the bubble departure diameter D_d satisfies a function of σ as

$$D_d = B\sqrt{\sigma} \tag{4.3}$$

where B is a constant. In order to match the static theoretical result in (4.3) we perform several computations with a fixed contact angle of 60° and different surface tensions σ ranging from 0.03 to 0.08 N/m. We can compute the bubble volume at departure as before and therefore obtain the diameter, by assuming an approximate spherical form. We fit the computational results with the function

$$f(\sigma) = c \, \sigma^d \tag{4.4}$$

with c and d some constants to be defined. The best fit gives $f(\sigma) = c \sigma^d = 5.17535 \sigma^{0.432545}$. The results are shown in Figure 4.11. The circles represent the simulation results and the solid line is the theoretical prediction in (4.3). If we want to fit the data with d = 0.5 as suggested by (4.3) then the best result is obtained for c = 6.23. The numerical results are in good agreement with the theoretical predictions.



Figure 4.12: Coalescence test from a wall in pool boiling (1)





Figure 4.13: Coalescence test from a wall in pool boiling (2)





Figure 4.14: Coalescence test from a wall in pool boiling (3)



Figure 4.15: Simulation of bubble detachment from a wall in pool boiling (4)



Figure 4.16: Simulation of a bubble detachment from a wall in pool boiling (5)



Figure 4.17: Coalescence test from a wall in pool boiling (6)



Figure 4.18: Coalescence test from a wall in pool boiling (7)



Figure 4.19: Coalescence test from a wall in pool boiling (8)

4.2.4 Interface coalescence test

After simulating the behavior of a single bubble, we can study the growth and the interaction between multiple bubbles within the same domain. The main goal of these simulations is to determine whether Trio_U can simulate in an accurate manner the phenomenon of coalescence among different interfaces. Inside the configuration file you need to add a new instruction in order to introduce other interfaces within the domain, i.e. you need to add the desired number of bubbles. The fonction instruction followed by ajout_phase0 must be provided. These keywords allow you to change the initial field that can be set by providing the functions in the coordinates (x, y, z) in the same way as for the single bubble case. For example, to obtain four bubbles the following code must be written as

conditions_initiales
{fonction ((x-0.0015)^2+(y-0.0005)^2+(z-0.0002)^2-0.0003^2),
fonction ajout_phase0
((x-0.0005)^2+(y-0.0005)^2+(z-0.0002)^2-0.0003^2),
fonction ajout_phase0
((x-0.0005)^2+(y-0.0015)^2+(z-0.0002)^2-0.0003^2),
fonction ajout_phase0
((x-0.0015)^2+(y-0.0015)^2+(z-0.0002)^2-0.0003^2)}

In this way, four bubbles are created with center coordinates (0.0015, 0.0005, 0.0002), (0.005, 0.002), (0.0005, 0.0015, 0.002), (0.0015, 0.0015, 0.0015, 0.0002) and radius 0.0003m. The physics of the problem is left unchanged compared to the study of a single bubble. The four bubbles, subject to a constant heat flux, tend to grow and interact with each other because of their very close positions. In order to see the time evolution of these simulations we employ the VisIt software. Figures (4.12-4.19) show the rise and coalescence of these four bubbles. The bubbles tend to grow because of the heat flux from the lower wall, they coalesce and form a single bubble. The resulting bubble detaches and begins its rise towards the top of the domain.

Bibliography

- A. Achilli et al., *PERSEO Project: Experimental Facility Set-up and RE-LAP5 Code Calculations*, Proc. 2nd EMSI and 40th European Two-Phase Flow Group Meeting, Stockholm, Sweden, June 10-13, Royal Institute of Technology (KTH), Paper F3 (2002) 49
- [2] F. Bassenghi, S. Bna, G. Bornia, A. Cervone, S. Manservisi and R. Scardovelli, Fissicu platform on cresco-enea grid for thermal-hydraulic nuclear engineering, rapporto AdP ENEA-MSE CIRTEN, CERSE-UNIBO RL1302/2010 (2010) 12, 15, 17, 19, 21, 22, 28, 31, 64, 70
- [3] G. Bandini, C. Lombardo, P. Meloni and M. Polidori, Validation of cathare v2.5 thermal-hydraulic code against full-scale person tests for decay heat removal in LWRs, Proceedings of the 18th International Conference on Nuclear Engineering, ICONE18, Xi'an, China (2010) 56
- [4] D. Bestion, G. Geffraye, *The CATHARE code*, CEA, Grenoble (F), Apr. 2002.
 37
- [5] F. Bianchi et al., Thermal Valve System for LWR Applications, Proc. Post-SMIRT14 Seminar, Pisa, Italy (1997) 49
- [6] F. Bianchi et al., Assessment of RELAP5 MOD3.3 and CATHARE 2 V1.5a against a Full Scale Test of PERSEO Device, Proc. 12th International Conference on Nuclear Engineering (ICONE12), Arlington, Virginia, USA (2004) 58
- Y.A. Buyevich, B.W. Werbon, Dynamics of vapour bubbles in nucleate boiling, Int. J. Heat Mass Transfer 39 2409 (1996) 94
- [8] CEA, CATHARE2 V2.5_1: User's Manual, SSTH/LDAS/EM/2005-035, (2006) 37
- [9] CEA, CATHARE2 V2.5_1: User's Guidelines, DER-SSTH-LDAS-EM-2005-034, (2006) 40

- [10] CRESCO-ENEA GRID Project, http://www.cresco.enea.it. 7
- [11] F. D'Auria, G.M. Galassi, F. Bianchi, P. Meloni, G. Cattadori, R. Ferri, SPES-99 IBLOCA Relap5/Mod3 pre-test and post-test analysis, CAMP 2000, Palermo (I) (2000) 44
- [12] V.K. Dhir, Mechanicistic prediction of nucleate boiling heat transfer: achievable or a hopeless task ?, J Heat Transfer 128, 1 (2006). 94
- [13] R. Ferri et al., PERSEO Project Experimental Data Report, SIET 01 014 RP 02, Piacenza, Italy, (2002) 52
- [14] R. Ferri, SPES-99 10: IB-LOCA in Cold Leg. Experimental data report, SIET 00 777 RP 99, Piacenza; Nov. 26th, (1999) 36
- [15] G. Geffraye et al., CATHARE 2 V2.5_2: a Single Version for Various Applications, Proc. 13th International Topical Meeting on Nuclear Reactor Thermal-Hydraulics (NURETH-13), Kanazawa, Japan (2009)
- [16] HDF5 library: http://www.hdfgroup.org/HDF5/ 23
- [17] G. Hazi, A. Markus, On the bubble departure diameter and release frequency based on numerical simulation results, International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer 52, pp. 1472–1480 (2009) 94
- [18] J. Kim, M.H. Kim, On the departure behaviors of bubble at nucleate pool boiling, Int. J. Multiphase Flow 32, 1269 (2006). 94
- [19] R. F. Kulak and C. Fiala, NEPTUNE: A System of Finite Element Programs for Three-Dimensional Nonlinear Analysis, Nuclear Engineering and Design, 106, pp. 47-68 (1988) 17, 65
- [20] R.F. Kulak and C Fiala, NEPTUNE: A system of finite element programs for three-dimensional nonlinear analysis, Nuclear Engineering and Design, Vol. 106 (1), pp.47-68 (1988) 17
- [21] D. Bestion and A. Guelfi, Status and perspective of two-phase flow modelling in the NEPTUNE multi-scale thermal hydraulic platform for nuclear reactor simulation, Nuclear Engineering and Technology, vol. 37 (6), (2005). 17
- [22] J. Lavieville, E. Quemerais, S. Mimouni, and N. Mechitoua, NEPTUNE CFD V1.0 theory manual, EDF (2006) 17

- [23] Botjan Konar and Borut Mavko, Simulation of Boiling Flow Experiments Close to CHF with the NEPTUNE CFD Code, Hindawi Publishing Corporation Science and Technology of Nuclear Installations, Article ID 732158, 8 (2008) 17
- [24] P. Meloni et al., Theoretical Design and Assessment of Isolation Condenser System Controlled with Thermal Valve, Proc. 6th International Conference on Nuclear Engineering (ICONE6), San Diego, USA (1998) 49
- [25] P. Meloni et al., Experimental Campaign and Numerical Analysis for the Inpool Energy Removal System for Emergency Operation, Proc. 13th International Conference on Nuclear Engineering (ICONE13), Beijing, China, (2005)
- [26] C. Medich, M. Rigamonti, M. Tarantini, SPES-2 the experimental test facility simulating the AP600 plant, Energia Nucleare, anno 13, gennaio-aprile (1996) 37
- [27] PARAVIEW visualization software: http://www.paraview.org. 31
- [28] M. Rigamonti, SPES-2 Facility description, SIET 00 183 RI 92, Rev.1. Piacenza, 6-12-95 (1995). 36
- [29] SATURNE CFD software, http://www.code-saturne.org. 20
- [30] F. Archambeau, N. Mehitoua and M. Sakiz, Code SATURNE: A Finite Volume Code for Turbulent flows, Int. J. Finite Volumes (2004) 20
- [31] SALOME platform, http://www.salome-platform.org. 14, 26
- [32] G. David, T.Chevalier and G. Meunier, Unification of Physical Data Models. Application in a Platform for Numerical Simulation: SALOME Magnetics IEEE Transactions, Volume: 43 (4), pp. 1661-1664 (2007) 14
- [33] TRIO_U CFD software: http://www-trio-u.cea.fr. 18
- [34] T. Hohnea, S. Kliema and U. Bieder, Modeling of a buoyancy-driven flow experiment at the ROCOM test facility using the CFD codes CFX-5 and TRIO_U, Nuclear Engineering and Design Volume 236, Issue 12, pp. 1309-1325 (2006) 18, 85
- [35] U. Biedera, and E. Graffardb, Benchmarking of CFD Codes for Application to Nuclear Reactor Safety Qualification of the CFD code TRIO_U for full scale reactor applications, Nuclear Engineering and Design Volume 238, Issue 3, pp 671-679 (2008) 18, 85

- [36] U. Biedera, G. Faucheta, S. Betinb, N. Kolevc, and D. Popovd, Simulation of mixing effects in a VVER-1000 reactor, Nuclear Engineering and Design Volume 237, Issues 15-17, pp. 1718-1728 (2007) 18, 85
- [37] USNRC, Three Mile Island Accident, Backgrounder, Office of Public Affair 37
- [38] VTK visualization software: http://www.vtk.org 29
- [39] XDMF library: http://www.xdmf.org 24
- [40] M. Zaccarelli, Sviluppo di un modello termo-fluidodinamico dell'impianto sperimentale SPES-99 con il codice di sistema nucleare CATHARE-2, Thesis, University of Bologna (Italy), Engineering Faculty (2010) 39

List of Figures

1.1	SALOME graphical user interface	13
1.2	Neptune graphical user interface	16
1.3	TRIO_U graphical user interface	8
1.4	SATURNE graphical user interface	20
1.5	CATHARE graphical user interface (GUITHARE)	22
1.6	HDF data viewer	23
1.7	XDMF data view	24
1.8	MED library	26
1.9	SALOME Mesh generator	27
1.10	GMSH mesh generator	28
1.11	Basic interface for PARAVIEW	30
2.1	SPES-99 layout	35
2.2	Vessel nodalization	37
2.3	Loop A nodalization 3	38
2.4	PRZ, SG-A, SG-B pressure and core power	13
2.5	ACC-A/B flow rate and heater rod temperature	14
2.6	Pressurizer pressure	15
2.7	Accumulator A injection mass flow rate	46
2.8	Integral mass injected by the accumulators	46
2.9	Rod Clad Temperature in Lower Power Channel (left) and Lower/Middle	:
	Power Channel (right)	17
2.10	Rod Clad Temperature in Middle/Upper Power Channel (left) and	
	Upper Power Channel (right	17
0.1		
3.1	The PERSEO (in-pool Energy Removal System for Emergency Op-	- 0
	eration) facility)()
3.2	Test 9. Overall pool water level behavior (top) and HX pool relative	
	pressure (bottom))3
3.3	Test 9. HX exchanged power (top) and overall pool temperatures	
	(bottom) 5	j 4

3.4	PERSEO facility steam duct and liquid line between the pools	55
3.5	Injector or ending part of the steam duct in the overall pool	56
3.6	Coupling CATHARE-NEPTUNE	57
3.7	Boundary conditions for the overall pool and injector components .	57
3.8	CATHARE discretization of the PERSEO test 9	58
3.9	HX pool water level behavior (left) and overall pool water level	
	(right) with CATHARE solution in pink.	59
3.10	HX pool relative pressure (left) and HX exchanged power (right)	
	with CATHARE solution in pink.	59
3.11	Overall pool temperatures (left) and HX tube wall temperature	
	(right) with CATHARE solution is pink.	60
3.12	Steam Mass flow rate to OP pool	61
3.13	Water Mass flow rate to HX pool (left) and water discharge Mass	
	flow rate (right)	61
3.14	Directory of the NEPTUNE code	62
3.15	Physical properties.	65
3.16	Boundary conditions.	66
3.17	Overall pool temperature measurement positions	67
3.18	Overall pool temperatures for different probes	68
3.19	Chronology of the temperature main events for the probe $TP6$	70
3.20	Water mass flow rate to HX pool over the time interval $[0 - 500]s$	
	computed from CATHARE simulation.	72
3.21	Water discharge mass flow rate and steam mass flow rate to overall	
	pool over the time interval $[0 - 500]s$ computed from CATHARE	
	simulation.	72
3.22	Water level at $t = 150$ s	73
3.23	Water level at $t = 204$ s	74
3.24	Computational and experimental temperature profiles in probes TP6	
0.05	(1) and $TP8$ (2) as a function of time. \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots	75
3.25	Steam mass flow rate to overall pool over the time interval [500 –	
2.20	3000 s defined from CATHARE simulation	75
3.26	Water mass flow rate to HX pool and water discharge mass flow	
	rate over the time inteval $[500 - 3000]s$ defined from CATHARE	70
2.07	Simulation	70
3.27	Steam entering the injector (top) and injection of steam into water	77
ഉ വാ	(DOLLOIII)	11
0.20 2.00	Temperature of the probes TP6 (red) and TP8 (green) from summer	10
5.29	imperature of the probes 110 (red) and 110 (green) from exper-	
	the temperature oscillations	70
		19

3.30	Steam mass flow rate to overall pool over the time interval $[3200 -$	
	4200]s defined from CATHARE simulation.	79
3.31	Water mass flow rate to the HX pool and water discharge mass flow	
	rate over the time interval $[3200 - 4200]s$ defined from CATHARE	
	simulation	80
3.32	Extended water temperature distribution in boiling pool	80
3.33	Steam injection on boiling pool (top) and steam release to the water	81
3 3/	Steam mass flow rate to overall pool over the time interval [4200 –	01
0.04	7700]e defined from CATHARE simulation	89
2 25	Water mass flow rate to the HX pool and water discharge mass flow	02
0.00	rate over the time interval $[4200 - 7700]$ e defined from CATHARE	
	simulation	82
3 36	Steam injection above the water level	82
0.00		00
4.1	Constant heat flux boundary condition on the bottom wall	90
4.2	Simulation of bubble detachment from a wall in pool boiling (1) .	91
4.3	Simulation of bubble detachment from a wall in pool boiling (2) .	91
4.4	Simulation of bubble detachment from a wall in pool boiling (3) .	91
4.5	Simulation of bubble detachment from a wall in pool boiling (4) .	92
4.6	Simulation of a bubble detachment from a wall in pool boiling (5) .	92
4.7	Simulation of bubble detachment from a wall in pool boiling (6) .	92
4.8	Simulation of bubble detachment from a wall in pool boiling (7) .	93
4.9	Simulation of bubble detachment from a wall in pool boiling (8) .	93
4.10	Bubble diameter at departure as a function of gravity g	94
4.11	Bubble diameter at departure as a function of surface tension <i>sigma</i> .	95
4.12	Coalescence test from a wall in pool boiling (1)	96
4.13	Coalescence test from a wall in pool boiling (2)	96
4.14	Coalescence test from a wall in pool boiling (3)	96
4.15	Simulation of bubble detachment from a wall in pool boiling (4) .	97
4.16	Simulation of a bubble detachment from a wall in pool boiling (5) .	97
4.17	Coalescence test from a wall in pool boiling (6)	97
4.18	Coalescence test from a wall in pool boiling (7)	98
4.19	Coalescence test from a wall in pool boiling (8)	98
List of Tables

1.1	CRESCO front-end machines.	8
1.2	Implementation status of the NURISP platform on CRESCO-ENEA	
	GRID	12
2.1	Main Characteristics of SPES-99	36
2.2	Steady state main parameters (1)	39
2.3	Steady state main parameters (2)	40
2.4	Main parameters of the reference steady state (1)	41
2.5	Main parameters of the reference steady state (2)	41
2.6	Test boundary conditions	42
2.7	Power curve	45
3.1	The main PERSEO test parameters at full power operation during	
	the transient phase of the test n.9	51
3.2	Chronology of main events characterizing test n.9	52
3.3	Fluid and flow properties selected for PERSEO test 9	64
3.4	Exact locations (in mm) of the probes for temperature measure-	
	ments with respect to the reference frame indicated in Figure 3.17.	68
3.5	Chronology of the Temperature main events	71
3.6	Initial conditions of OP and HX pool	71